Mouth Mask versus Pursed Lip Breathing on Ventilatory Functions and Dyspnea Index in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
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Abstract

Background: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is a rising health problem. Dyspnea causes reduction of functional status and quality of life in it. Pursed Lips Breathing (PLB) and mouth mask use to reduce dyspnea and improve quality of life.

Aim of Study: To compare the effect of mouth mask versus pursed lip breathing on ventilatory functions and dyspnea index in patient with chronic obstructive lung disease.

Subjects and Methods: Forty men patient presented with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (FEV1/FVC <0.70) were selected from outpatient clinic at Chest Department Kasr El-Ainy Hospital, age ranged from 50-65 years old. Ventilatory functions, Dyspnea index, oxygen saturation, CATscore questionnaire, were measured pre and post training program. Patients were assigned in two groups. Group (A): Consisted of 20 patients were treated by expiratory breathing through Mouth Mask plus standard chest physiotherapy. Group (B) consisted of 20 patients were treated by pursed lip breathing plus standard chest physiotherapy Program was for five days per week for 8 weeks.

Results: There was a significant increase in FVC, FEV1 and FEV1/FVC post-treatment compared with that pre-treatment with a percent of improvement 19.25%, 44.29%, 21.5% respectively in the group A and 8.7%, 18.37%, 8.9% respectively in group B (p<0.001). There was a significant decrease in CAT post-treatment compared with that pre-treatment with a percent of improvement 61.07% and 46.07% respectively in the group A and B (p<0.001).

CHRONIC obstructive pulmonary disease is a progressive respiratory disease that has a significant impact on the patient’s ability to work and the quality of life [1].

Conclusion: Mouth mask and pursed lip breathing are effective in improving ventilatory functions, improving of dyspnea, improving of health status and physical activity in patient with chronic obstructive lung disease. As there is improvement in CAT score questionnaire ventilatory parameters and Dyspnea index in COPD.
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Introduction

COPD caused approximately 3.2 million deaths worldwide in 2017 and there was a 17.5% increase
in the number of deaths between 2007 and 2017. It is due to continued exposure of risk factors especially smoking and aging population [4].

In Egypt, the prevalence of COPD among high-risk Egyptians was 9.6%. By GOLD criteria. A higher prevalence of grade 2 (69%) and grade 3 (17%) and lower prevalence of grade 1 (3%) COPD. So increasing awareness of COPD in the general population and specifically among high-risk individuals can aid in the early diagnosis of this disease [5].

COPD is defined as a common, preventable and treatable disease characterized by persistent respiratory symptoms and airflow limitation that is due to airway and/or alveolar abnormalities, usually caused by significant exposure to noxious particles or gases and it was defined spirometrically according GOLD based FEV1/FVC ratio less than 0.7 and the severity of airflow obstruction is defined through GOLD severity grades based on the ratio of FEV1 to its predicted value, with GOLD 1, 2, 3 and 4 defined around cut-off points of 80%, 50%, and 30% [6,7].

Dyspnea, productive cough, reduced maximum expiratory flow and slow expiratory volume are cardinal features of COPD. Also there is degree of expiratory airflow limitation which defines the severity of COPD. Decline in forced expiratory volume in first second (FEV1) and airflow limitation provides a useful description of the severity of the pathological changes in COPD and are essential in the diagnosis of COPD [8].

Dyspnea is the most common and debilitating symptom in COPD and it is the primary symptom that limits physical activity in more advanced disease. It is a core feature of panic attacks in COPD patient fear of dyspnea may lead to avoidance of physical activity, deconditioning, reduction in functional status, reduction in quality of life and disability and anxiety in COPD [9].

Pursed Lip Breathing (PLB) is an excellent technique that decrease dyspnea in COPD, increase the tolerance of activities, increase oxygen saturation and improve ventilation and gas exchange. These improvements observed from an increase in minutes of ventilation, tidal volume increases, and optimization of functional lung capacity. It simply imposes a slight obstruction to expiratory air flow at the mouth which generates back pressure throughout the airway causing stenting effect which helps the airways opened and assists exhalation and thereby, better lung emptying it was reported that pursed lip breathing showed [10].

Mouth Mask was used recently instead of mouth taping that hold the aperture of mouth as of pursed lip breathing, giving continuous feedback to the patient and decrease perioral muscle fatigue. Both taping and mask work on the same principle as pursed lip breathing, help in maintaining the sustained effect of PLB, add more uniform resistance to the expiratory flow, prolong the breathing cycle, avoid the perioral muscle fatigue, give a continuous feedback, and easier to administer. It can be used as an alternative for PLB, especially when patients find it difficulty in pursing their lips voluntarily to exhale effectively or even when PLB is required for a prolonged duration [11].

Mouth mask used instead of mouth taping because application of mouth taping regularly can be very difficult for the patient and removal of the tape can be painful for the patient due to its adhesive effects, so a mouth mask was designed. It has an improvement in dyspnea and functional capacity in patients who received breathing through it [12].

The purpose of the study was to compare the effect of mouth mask versus pursed lip breathing on ventilatory functions and dyspnea index in patient with chronic obstructive lung disease. As there is little researches about the mouth mask and how much it effects on ventilatory functions and dyspnea in COPD patients.

**Subjects and Methods**

Forty men COPD patients included in the study aged from 50-65 years old. They were recruited from outpatient chest clinic at Kaser El-Ainy Hospital, from April 2019 to October 2019. Patients randomly assigned into 2 groups (Group A and Group B) equal in number. They were diagnosed by chest physician based on history, physical examination, smokers more than 15 years, chest X-ray and that post bronchodilator ratio of FEV1/FVC is less than 0.7 to diagnose COPD according to (GOLD) guidelines and with BMI less than 30 kg/m². All patients are free from recent eye surgery, hernia, any significant musculoskeletal disorders and progressive neuromuscular disorders did not have psychiatric disorders and malignant disease.

Patients were assigned in two groups. Group (A): Consisted of 20 patients were treated by expiratory breathing through Mouth Mask plus standard chest physiotherapy (this mask was designed in a way that only only 1/4 th of the total lip length is kept open) group (B) consisted of 20 patients were treated by pursed lip breathing plus.
standard chest physiotherapy program was for five
days per week for 8 weeks.

**Ethical consideration:** Purposes of the study,
methodology and experiments protocol were ex-
plained to every patient participated in the study
and they agreed to share in this study.

**Ethical committee:** Ethical approved from Sci-
entific Researches Ethical Committee of Faculty
of Physical Therapy, Cairo University. NO.P.T.
REC/012/002237.

II- Measures and equipment:

**Evaluating equipment:**

1- Measurement of pulmonary function tests by
spirometer to assess pulmonary function tests
(FVC, FEV₁/FVC, FEV₁) (Jaeger Vyntus IOS
spirometer was used) pre and post intervention.

2- **Measurement of the sensation of breathlessness**
(dyspnea) by: Dyspnea index (fifteen count
breathlessness score): The patient was asked to
take deep initial breathe and count from 1 to 15
within about 8 second and the therapist counted
how many breathes that the patient took in
between to complete the counting [13]. This
index was performed when the patient was at
rest and immediately after 3 minute step test.

3- **The 3-min step test:** The patient was asked to
step up and down single step which was 20cm
high 22cm in depth. The stepping rate was
between 14 and 16 step per min for 3min [14].

4- **Assessment of health status in COPD patient**
by: COPD Assessment Test Questionnaire. CAT
Questionnaire consists of 8 questions and scores
of each question range from 0 to 5, total scores
range from 0 to 40 [15]. The more higher the
score, the more severity of the disease. The
score was recorded pre intervention and post
intervention and changes in score was
recorded by statistical analysis.

**Therapeutic equipment:**

**Mouth mask:** The mask was designed in a way
that only central aperture, (only 1/4 of the total
lip length is kept open). All patients who received
mouth mask were asked to wear the mask and
demonstrate the breathing pattern, Inhale from
nose and exhale from mouth [12].

**Treatment procedures:**

1- **Group A (Moth mask):** The patient assumed
comfortable position, asked to wear mask and
inhale slowly through his nose for two counts,
then patient held the breath for account of three,
finally the patient was asked to exhale slowly
and gently not do forceful exhalation through
mask.

2- **Group B (pursed lip breathing):** The patient
assumed comfortable position and asked to relax
his neck and shoulder muscles. Then inhale
slowly through his nose for two counts, while
keeping mouth closed. The patient held the
breath for account of three and informed to
pucker or purse his lips as if trying to whistle
or gently flicker the flame of a candle. Then
exhale slowly and gently through the pursed lip
while counting to four.

IN both groups the patient started with quite
breathing for 3 repetitions take rest for 5 seconds,
then performed diaphragmatic breathing for 3
repetitions, took rest for10 seconds and finally
performed pursed lip breathing for 8 repetitions
(with 5 second rest between each 4 repetitions of
PLB). At first week the patient did 3-4 sets per
session with one minute rest between each set,
number of sets increased gradually till reached 5
sets at the last week [16].

**Results**

**Subject characteristics:**

Table (1) showed the subject characteristics of
the group A and B. There was no significant dif-
fERENCE between both groups in the mean age,
weight, height and BMI ($p>0.05$).
Table (1): Comparison of subject characteristics between group A and B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>59.4±1.98</td>
<td>58.8±3.34</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>69.75±10.46</td>
<td>71.7±11.7</td>
<td>−1.95</td>
<td>−0.55</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>171.1±5.45</td>
<td>172.3±3.9</td>
<td>−1.2</td>
<td>−0.8</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.73±2.6</td>
<td>24.06±3.1</td>
<td>−0.33</td>
<td>−0.36</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X : Mean.
SD : Standard Deviation.
MD : Mean Difference.
p : Probability value.

**effect of treatment on FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁/FVC, CAT and DI:**

- **Within group comparison:**
  
  There was a significant increase in FEV₁, FVC and FEV₁/FVC post-treatment compared with that pre-treatment in the group A and B (p<0.001).
  
  There was a significant decrease in CAT post-treatment compared with that pre-treatment in the group A and B (p<0.001) (Table 2), Figs. (1,2,3).

Table (2): Mean FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁/FVC and CAT pre and post-treatment of the group A and B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (L):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>1.4±0.37</td>
<td>1.47±0.34</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment</td>
<td>2.02±0.4</td>
<td>1.74±0.44</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.03 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>−0.62</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of change</td>
<td>44.29%</td>
<td>18.37%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-value</td>
<td>−18.84</td>
<td>−3.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>p=0.0001 *</td>
<td>p=0.01 *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| FVC (L): |              |               |     |         |         |
| Pre-treatment | 2.65±0.42   | 2.76±0.51    | −0.11 | −0.79    | 0.43    |
| Post-treatment | 3.16±0.47   | 3.2±0.51     | 0.16 | 1.08     | 0.28    |
| MD        | −0.51        | −0.24        |     |         |         |
| % of change | 19.25%       | 8.7%         |     |         |         |
| t-value   | −9.02        | −9.35        |     |         |         |
| p-value   | p=0.0001 *   | p=0.0001 *   |     |         |         |

| FEV₁/FVC (%) |               |               |     |         |         |
| Pre-treatment | 52.81±9.72   | 53.7±10.14   | −0.89 | −0.28    | 0.78    |
| Post-treatment | 64.16±9.3   | 58.48±12.57  | 5.68 | 1.62     | 0.11    |
| MD        | −11.35       | −4.78        |     |         |         |
| % of change | 21.5%        | 8.9%         |     |         |         |
| t-value   | −6.67        | −2.25        |     |         |         |
| p-value   | p=0.0001 *   | p=0.0001 *   |     |         |         |

| CAT: |              |               |     |         |         |
| Pre-treatment | 32.75±4.35  | 33.1±3.09    | −0.35 | −0.29    | 0.77    |
| Post-treatment | 12.75±4.08  | 17.85±6.1    | −5.1  | −3.1     | 0.004*  |
| MD        | 20           | 15.25        |     |         |         |
| % of change | 61.07%       | 46.07%       |     |         |         |
| t-value   | 17.16        | 12.99        |     |         |         |
| p-value   | p=0.0001 *   | p=0.0001 *   |     |         |         |

X : Mean.
SD : Standard Deviation.
MD : Mean Difference.
p : Probability value.
*: Significant.

There was a significant decrease in DI pre and post 3min step test post-treatment in the group A and B compared with that pre-treatment (p<0.0001) (Table 3), Fig. (4).
- Between groups comparison:

There was no significant difference in FEV$_1$, FVC, FEV$_1$/FVC and CAT between both groups pre-treatment ($p>0.05$). Comparison between both groups post-treatment revealed a significant increase in FEV$_1$ and a significant decrease in CAT of the group A compared with that of the group B ($p<0.05$) (Table 2), Figs. (1,2,3).

There was no significant difference in DI pre and post 3min step test between both groups pre-treatment ($p>0.05$). Comparison between the A and B post-treatment revealed a significant decrease in DI pre and post 3min step test of the group A compared with that of the group B ($p<0.01$) (Table 3), Fig. (4).

Table (3): Median values of DI pre and post-treatment of group A and B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DI</th>
<th>Group A Median</th>
<th>Group B Median</th>
<th>U-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DI pre 3min step test:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-value</td>
<td>-4.17</td>
<td>-3.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>p=0.0001**</td>
<td>p=0.0001*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI post 3min step test:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-value</td>
<td>-4.06</td>
<td>-3.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>p=0.0001**</td>
<td>p=0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U-value : Mann-Whitney test value.
Z-value : Wilcoxon signed ranks test value.
p-value : Level of significance.
: Significant.

Discussion

The present study conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of mouth mask versus pursed lip breathing on ventilatory functions and dyspnea index in COPD patients. Forty men COPD patients were enrolled in this study with their age ranged from 50 to 65 years old. Participants assigned into 2 groups, 20 patients in group A and 20 patient in group B.

The current study reflected that improvement of the ventilatory function tests included measurements of forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV$_1$), ratio of forced expiratory volume in one second to forced vital capacity (FEV$_1$/FVC), improvement of dyspnea index at rest and after 3min step test (exertional dyspnea), and improvement in COPD assessment test questionnaire score (CAT) in both groups (A & B), but mouth mask group (A) was better than group (B).

Regarding the effect of pursed lip breathing on ventilatory functions, the current work demonstrated that has caused a significant improvement in ventilatory function as forced vital capacity (FVC) which showed a significant increase with a percentage of change 8.7%, forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV$_1$) showed statistical significant increase with percentage of change 18.37%, FEV$_1$/FVC showed statistical significant increase with a percentage of change 8.9%. This result comes in agreement with the study done by SADE et al., [17] who found that breathing strategies including pursed lip breathing, diaphragmatic breathing has a significant increase in FEV$_1$ and FEV$_1$/FVC values, when compared with control group.

To our knowledge few studies addressed the effect of mouth mask on ventilatory functions, dyspnea and CAT in COPD. Our study showed a significant increase in ventilatory parameters (FEV$_1$, FVC, FEV$_1$/FVC), CAT and dyspnea index more than PLB, this expressed in improvement in health status, physical activity and confidence of the COPD patient because dyspnea improved and became better than before intervention. On one side the result of these study was supported by the study done by with Sachdeva et al., [12] that proved that pursed lip breathing through Mouth Mask showed significantly higher improvement in relieving dyspnea and in increasing the functional capacity. When performed 5 days per week for (5 days in a week, 2 times per day for 20 minutes per session).
A possible explanation for that mouth Mask has a higher improvement in dyspnea and functional capacity than pursed lip breathing, was attributed to that mouth mask was used as an alternative mouth taping because application of mouth taping regularly can be very difficult for the patient and removal of the tape can be painful for the patient due to its adhesive effects. So a mouth mask was designed [12]. A possible explanation for the increase was attributed to The mask works on the same principle as PLB helped to hold the aperture of the mouth as of PLB and gave the continuous feedback to the patients. So, it helped to reduce dyspnea by reversing dynamic hyperinflation through increased intraluminal pressure in the airways resulting in a shift of equal pressure point from distal to proximal and helped in preventing airway collapse.

On the other side the result of these study opposes to the study conducted by Maind et al., [18] who proved that Moth Taping and PLB both were equally effective in improving the response to exercise in terms of increased treadmill walking time and post-test dyspnea status and recovery of vital parameters, without any significant differences between the two techniques.

The COPD Assessment Test (CAT) questionnaire is a short, easy-to-complete tool, is likely to be relevant alternative to complex tool as the St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) as CAT not time consuming that has been developed to help patients and clinicians to assess the health status and assessing the symptoms in COPD and enable better communication between patients and physicians about these consequences of their disease on life. It has a broader coverage of the impact of COPD on the patient’s daily life and well-being [19].

This study demonstrate that both PLB and mouth mask has positive effect on the CAT score, as improvement in the CAT represent improvement in health status, physical ability, confidence and symptoms in COPD patients. The more decline in the score, the more improvement of the patient. Percent of improvement in mouth mask and PLB is 61.07% and 46.07% respectively.

This result comes in agreement with the study conducted by Smid et al., [20]. Who demonstrated that pulmonary rehabilitation for 8 weeks, 5 session per week showed that CAT are responsive to pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with moderate to very severe COPD.

Mouth mask has a high significant improvement in CAT score and FEV, and this in agreement with randomized controlled trial conducted by Lin et al., [21] demonstrated that in patients with severe COPD, breathing against Positive End Expiratory Pressure (PEEPi) was modestly beneficial in terms of the CAT score and health status. A breathing exercise program strategy employing an appropriate PEEP may improve their pulmonary functional capacity, exercise tolerance and health-related quality of life. The effects of the treatments on the COPD assessment test (CAT) score, there were statistically significant differences in the, CAT scores and FEV1% pred values between the baseline and month 18 (p<0.0001) in the intervention group.

As there was little researches about the effect of mouth mask in COPD patients, so this study investigated the effect of mouth mask on dyspnea and ventilatory function and there was higher improvement that may be attributed to first, the mask has a psychological motivation and giving feedback for patient. Second, patient perform exercise regular as the mask easy to use and to maintain positive pressure for long time without any fatigue in perioral muscles. Third, difference in diameter of lip opening in PLB according to perception and performance of each patient in contrast to the mask that has a constant diameter mask the effect of the mask higher than PLB. So according this result mouth mask is recommended to use in pulmonary rehabilitation as an alternative to PLB.

Conclusion:

Mouth mask and pursed lip breathing are effective in improving ventilatory functions, improving of dyspnea, improving of health status and physical activity in patient with chronic obstructive lung disease. Als there is improvement in CAT score questionnaire ventilatory parameters and Dyspnea index in COPD.
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التنفس بقناع الفم مقابل الشفاه المضمنة على وظائف التنفسية
ومؤشر ضيق التنفس في مرضى الإنسداد الرئوي المزمن

الخليطية المفهومة: يعد مرض الإنسداد الرئوي مشكلة صحية مزدادة، ويعتبر ضيق التنفس سبب من أسباب تدهور الحالة الوظيفية ونوعية الحياة فيه. ويستخدم التنفس بالشفاه المضمنة وتنفس بقناع الفم في التقليل وتحسين ضيق التنفس وتحسين نوعية الحياة في مرضى الإنسداد الرئوي المزمن.

الهدف: كان الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو دراسة ومقارنة تأثير التنفس بقناع الفم مقابل الشفاه المضمنة على وظائف التنفسية ومؤشر ضيق التنفس في مرضى الإنسداد الرئوي المزمن.

المواض والعناصر: تم اختيار 20 مريضاً من الذكور يعانون من مرض الإنسداد الرئوي المزمن (FEV1/FVC أقل من 0.70 من العيانة الخارجية للصدر في مستشفى القصر العيني. تراوح أعمارهم بين 40 و 65 عاماً. وقاموا بعمل وظائف التنفسية، وتقديم مؤشر ضيق التنفس (إثناء الراحة وبعد اختبار 2 دقائق خطوة) ثم تقديم تسجيل درجة إستيتيال الإنسداد الرئوي قبل وبعد وبرنامج التدريب. وقد تم تعيين المرضى في مجموعتين. تتكون من المجموعة أ: 20 مريضاً يعانون من مرض الإنسداد الرئوي المزمن في مراقبة، وتم توزيعهم على المجموعتين من خلال قناع الفم بالإضافة إلى العلاج الطبيعي الروتيني للصدر. تتكون المجموعة ب من 20 مريضاً يعانون بالتنفس بالشفاه المضمنة بالإضافة إلى برنامج علاج طبيعي روتيني للصدر لمدة خمسة أيام في الأسبوع لمدة 8 أسابيع.

النتائج: كانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في CAT بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 61.8% في المجموعة أ و 50.5% في المجموعة ب. كانت هناك إضافة كبيرة في FEV1 بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 70% في المجموعة أ و 67% في المجموعة ب. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في FEV1 بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 83% في المجموعة A و 80% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك إضافة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد العلاج بنسبة تحسن 50% في المجموعة A و 48% في المجموعة B. وكانت هناك زيادة كبيرة في DI بعد الال