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Abstract

Background: Cancer breast is the most common cancer in women and 2nd most common cancer overall. Post-Mastectomy Pain Syndrome (PMPS) is a term used to describe chronic pain following both partial mastectomy or mastectomy. It is one of many post-surgical persistent pain syndromes. Studies estimate that PMPS develops in about 25% to 60% of women leading to disability, psychological distress, and diminished quality of life.

Aim of Study: The aim of the study was to compare analgesic efficacy and duration of stellate ganglion block when using both dexamethasone and magnesium sulfate with the local anesthetic lidocaine in comparison to using dexamethasone alone with lidocaine in cases of post mastectomy pain syndrome.

Patients and Methods: Current double-blind study was conducted from September 2019 to September 2020 at outpatient pain clinic in Oncology Center Mansoura University (OCMU). Fifty female patients between age of 20 and 60 years, ASA physical status I and II underwent breast surgery. The patients were randomly assigned by computer generated sequence of random numbers method according to the drugs used into 2 equal groups, each group consisted of 25 patients, one group had received Dexamethasone and Lidocaine (group D) and the other group had received Magnesium, Dexamethasone, and Lidocaine (group DM). After block, pain score was obtained using Visual Analog Scale (VAS). The shoulder movements were measured using goniometry. The skin temperature of the ipsilateral arm to the block was also measured.

Results: After block, pain score was obtained using Visual Analog Scale (VAS). The shoulder movements were measured using goniometry. The skin temperature of the ipsilateral arm to the block was also measured.

Conclusion: Adding magnesium sulfate to lidocaine and dexamethasone for ultrasound guided stellate ganglion block in cases of post mastectomy pain syndrome increases the time to first request of analgesia, decreases VAS values and the total amount of analgesia needed in the follow-up.
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Introduction

CANCER breast is the most common cancer in women and 2nd most common cancer overall. Post-Mastectomy Pain Syndrome (PMPS) is a term used to describe chronic pain following either partial mastectomy or mastectomy and includes women treated with sentinel lymph node dissection or complete axillary lymph node dissection. It is one of many post-surgical persistent pain syndromes. Women may describe pain in the breast, axilla, arm, or combination. This chronic condition is reported infrequently in the surgical literature and is likely under recognized by surgeons. However, the problem is not new for patients and has been recognized and described in the pain literature [1,2]. Studies estimate that PMPS develops in about 25% to 60% of women [3]. Leading to disability, psychological distress, and diminished quality of life. There is no standardized definition for PMPS it has been described as a type of neuropathic pain, a complex chronic pain state commonly associated with nerve fiber injury. Pain is typically localized to the anterior/lateral chest wall, maxilla, and/or medial upper arm and persists more than 3 months after surgery when all other causes of pain have been excluded [4].

Stellate Ganglion Block (SGB) is used in order to alleviate sympathetically maintained pain in conditions such as upper extremity complex regional pain syndrome, acute vascular insufficiency of the face and upper extremities, post-herpetic
neuralgia, phantom pain and upper extremity hyperhidrosis [5].

This technique was first described as a blind procedure using landmarks, there are 2 main approaches for SGB: C6 transverse process approach and C7 anterior paratracheal approach. Now there are new techniques other than blind technique such as fluoroscopic technique, ultrasound guided technique, and CT guided technique, to decrease complications of blind technique such as vascular injury, arrhythmia, seizure and heart failure [5].

Local anesthetics are widely used in clinical practice to prevent and alleviate pain during surgery; however, their mechanisms of action are complex and incompletely understood. In addition to a prominent blocking effect on voltage-gated Na channels, local anesthetics also modulate many other neuronal channels [6].

Dexamethasone is a synthetic adrenocorticosteroid with potent long-acting glucocorticoid activity. Strong anti-inflammatory properties of dexamethasone have caused introduction of “dexamethasone induced post-operative pain reduction” theory. Although analgesic mechanism of dexamethasone is still unclear, it seems that a decrease in cyclooxygenase and lipoxygenase production via inhibition of peripheral phospholipase plays a main role [7].

Locally infiltrated dexamethasone can decrease pro inflammatory factors and cytokine production in damaged tissue via lipocortin1 (also known as annexin A1), a glucocorticoid inducible protein that has been identified as a potential endogenous mediator of the anti-inflammatory activities of glucocorticoids [8].

Although magnesium has no direct analgesic effect, it has been shown to have antinociceptive effect by blocking the N-Methyl-D-Aspartate (NMDA) receptors and associated calcium channels, thus preventing central sensitization caused by peripheral nociceptive stimulation [9].

When mixed with local anesthetic, magnesium may also show beneficial effects in regional anesthesia. In one study, magnesium was added to lidocaine for intravenous regional anesthesia, and was found to improve quality of anesthesia and analgesia, specifically, sensory and motor block onset times were shorter and post-operative analgesia was better with magnesium [10].

This study hypothesized that adding magnesium sulfate to dexamethasone and lidocaine combination in ultrasound guided stellate ganglion block might be better than lidocaine and dexamethasone combination regarding the analgesic effect and duration of analgesia in cases of post mastectomy pain syndrome. The primary outcome was the time to first request of analgesia while the secondary outcomes were the total amount of rescue analgesia needed and pain intensity on Visual Analogue Scale (VAS).

So, the aim of the study was to compare analgesic efficacy and duration of stellate ganglion block when using both dexamethasone and magnesium sulfate with the local anesthetic lidocaine in comparison to using dexamethasone alone with lidocaine in cases of post mastectomy pain syndrome.

**Patients and Methods**

This prospective double blind randomized controlled study was conducted from September 2019 to September 2020 at outpatient pain clinic in Oncology Center Mansoura University (OCMU). Fifty female patients between the age of 20 and 60 years old, ASA physical status I and II, underwent elective breast surgery (modified radical mastectomy) and referred to pain clinic with post-mastectomy pain were included in this study. They were informed about the procedure and its possible consequences and written informed consents were obtained before the procedure.

Participants had to fulfill the following criteria: Postmastectomy neuropathic pain lasting more than 6 months after modified radical mastectomy with any of the following symptoms: Allodynia, burning pain, shooting pain, hyperalgesia, Visual Analog Scale (VAS) ≥ 4 and limitation of the shoulder movement at the side of mastectomy.

Patients were excluded from this study if one or more of these criteria were met: Patient refusal, neuromuscular diseases as myopathies or myasthenia gravis, bleeding or coagulation disorders, any psychiatric disorders, chronic pain disease, BMI more than 35kg/m², infection at the site of injection, participants younger than 20 years or older than 60 years, allergy to any medications used in this study.

Also, before the block all patients were evaluated regarding their systemic diseases, general condition, and coagulation status. Shoulder mobility was assessed while the patients were sitting using goniometry, the Range of Motion (ROM) was measured in degrees in different positions (flexion, extension, abduction and external rotation). Skin
temperature was recorded in the distal portion of ipsilateral upper extremity using fever scan with values in degree Celsius. The visual analog scale was explained to the patients during assessment as 0 equal no pain and 10 equal worst pain imaginable.

Randomization: Eligible 50 patients were randomly assigned using computer generated sequence of random numbers into two equal groups (every group included 25 patients):

First group had received “Dexamethasone and Lidocaine” were termed them group (D), they had US guided stellate ganglion block using 4ml dexamethasone (4mg/ml) + 5ml lidocaine 2% (20mg/ml) + 1ml saline 0.9%, a total volume of 10ml and the second group had received “Magnesium, Dexamethasone, and Lidocaine” and we termed them group (DM), they had US guided stellate ganglion block using 4ml dexamethasone (4mg/ml) + 1ml magnesium sulfate 10% (100mg) + 5ml lidocaine 2% (20mg/ml), a total volume of 10ml.

Preparation of the patients:

Before the block, an intravenous cannula was inserted and secured, all suitable resuscitation equipment and drugs were available. Vital signs, Heart Rate (HR), blood pressure and oxygen saturation (SpO\textsubscript{2}) were monitored throughout the procedure and up to 1 hour after the block performance. Midazolam 0.02µg/kg was administered intravenously as premedication if needed.

Technique of stellate ganglion block: This technique was carried out using C6 transverse process approach. The patient was lying in the supine position with the neck extended by putting a pillow under the shoulder to stretch the oesophagus away from the transverse process, and also head was slightly rotated to the side contralateral to the block and patient was asked to keep her mouth slightly opened in order to keep neck muscles relaxed. Under complete aseptic technique, a 5-10MHz linear probe of Siemens ultrasound was initially positioned at the level of the cricoid cartilage and the C6 transverse process was recognized by the prominent anterior tubercle (Chassaignac’s tubercle). Placement of the ultrasound transducer helped to retract the carotid sheath and sternocleidomastoid to the lateral aspect. Pressure was applied with the ultrasound transducer to decrease the distance between the skin and tubercle and to depress the dome of the lung to decrease the possibility of pneumothorax. A typical sonographic appearance at the C6 level included the transverse process and anterior tubercle of C6, longus colli muscle (LC), longus capitis muscle, carotid artery, and thyroid gland. A 22-gauge needle was inserted and directed towards the chassaignac tubercle and after contact, it was redirected inferomedial towards the body of C6, and the needle was then withdrawn 1-2mm to bring it out of longus colli muscle while still staying within the prevertebral fascia. After negative aspiration for blood and CSF was performed, the prepared drugs (10ml) were injected with the ultrasound imaging which demonstrated spread of the local anesthetic agent at the area of the lower sympathetic chain. Before and after each 2ml bolus, the syringe was aspirated. Successful procedure was evidenced by distension of the longus colli muscle. The head of the patient’s bed could be raised after injection to allow the solution to travel caudally toward the stellate ganglion to reach T4 level. The classical physical findings that appeared after a successful stellate ganglion block were the clinical manifestations of Horner’s syndrome (ptosis, myosis, anhidrosis, enophthalmos) of the ipsilateral side of the block, Guttman’s sign (presence of stuffing nostril), flushing of the conjunctiva and lacrimation, and increased surface temperature on the ipsilateral side of the block [1].

Monitoring:

Monitoring was carried out using a pulse oximeter for oxygen saturation, non-invasive MAP for mean ABP, five lead ECG for HR, fevers cap for temperature. Baseline levels of HR, BP, SpO\textsubscript{2}, skin temperature difference between limb on the injected side and the other side were recorded. Also, within the first hour after injection, patients were asked about VAS score following explaining it to them as zero means no pain and 10 the worst pain and baseline VAS was recorded, after injection and at interval 2 weeks, 1, 2, and 3 months from the last injection, Gabapentin (300 mg/ 8hrs) was given to patients if VAS was 4 or higher. Shoulder movements (flexion, extension, abduction, internal, and external rotation) were assessed using goniometry at the same intervals of VAS score.

**Visual Analogue Scale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No Pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Annoying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Horrible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. (1): visual analogue scale](12)
Collected data:

Time to first request of analgesia, VAS after injection and at interval 2 weeks, 1, 2, and 3 months from injection, doses of gabapentin needed, number of next injections needed, skin temperature of the affected extremity, development of Horner syndrome (ptosis, miosis, anhidrosis, enophthalmos, and nasal congestion), development of complications such as hematoma, hoarseness of voice, limb weakness, pneumothorax, and hypoxia, development of hypotension which managed by administration of 5mg ephedrine if occurred after injection and the movements of the shoulder after injection.

Statistical analysis and data interpretation:

Data were fed to the computer and analyzed using IBM SPSS Corp. Released 2013. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. Qualitative data were described using number and percent. Quantitative data were described using median (minimum and maximum) for non-parametric data and mean, standard deviation for parametric data after testing normality using Kolmogrov-Smirnov test. Significance of the obtained results was judged at the (0.05) level.

Data analysis:

Qualitative data: Chi-Square test for comparison of 2 or more groups, Fischer Exact test was used as correction for Chi-Square test when more than 25% of cells have count less than 5 in 2×2 tables.

Quantitative data between groups: The parametric data were expressed as mean ± SD and was analyzed utilizing student t-test. VAS score was analyzed among the studied groups utilizing the Mann-Whitney test. Categorical data were presented as patients’ number or frequencies (%) and were analyzed utilizing the Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test when appropriate. p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

This double blinded prospective randomized study was done on 50 ASA 1, ASA 2 female patients between age of 20 and 60 years, underwent elective breast surgery (modified radical mastectomy) and referred to pain clinic with postmastectomy pain. Patients characteristics were comparable in both study groups and there was no significant difference in patient characteristics and ASA classification as presented in (Table 1).

![Consor Flow Chart](image-url)
Table (1): Patient's characteristics of the studied groups (data expressed as mean ± SD or number %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Group D N=25</th>
<th>Group DM N=25</th>
<th>Test of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age/years</td>
<td>46.92±9.18</td>
<td>45.20±9.27</td>
<td>p=0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.71±2.39</td>
<td>31.01±2.58</td>
<td>p=0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16 (64.0%)</td>
<td>19 (76.0%)</td>
<td>p=0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9 (36.0%)</td>
<td>6 (24.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group D: Lidocaine and dexamethasone group.
Group DM: Magnesium sulfate, lidocaine, and dexamethasone group.
N: Number
p: Probability value.

VAS was lower in the two study groups after the block through the study period compared to basal values and was significantly lower in group DM than group D after 1 month as p-value=0.005 as in (Table 2) and Fig. (3).

Table (2): VAS score distribution among studied groups (data expressed as median (range)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Group D N=25</th>
<th>Group DM N=25</th>
<th>Test of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 minutes before injection</td>
<td>8 (6-9)</td>
<td>8 (6-9)</td>
<td>p=0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 minutes after injection</td>
<td>2 (2-3)</td>
<td>2 (2-3)</td>
<td>p=0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2 weeks</td>
<td>2 (1-5)</td>
<td>2 (0-5)</td>
<td>p=0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 1 month</td>
<td>4 (1-5)</td>
<td>2 (0-5)</td>
<td>p=0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2 months</td>
<td>3 (2-4)</td>
<td>3 (1-4)</td>
<td>p=0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 3 months</td>
<td>3 (2-4)</td>
<td>2 (1-4)</td>
<td>p=0.078</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. (3): Median (range) VAS score distribution among studied groups.

The different movements of shoulder (flexion, extension, abduction, internal and external rotation) increased significantly after SGB compared to pre-block in the two groups as in (Table 3) and Figs. (4-8).

Table (3): Shoulder mobility mean degree before and after injection among studied groups (data expressed as mean ± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>Group D N=25</th>
<th>Group DM N=25</th>
<th>Test of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexion:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min before injection</td>
<td>100.52±13.91</td>
<td>100.48±14.82</td>
<td>p=0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min after injection</td>
<td>135.28±15.69</td>
<td>138.40±15.26</td>
<td>p=0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paired t-test</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min before injection</td>
<td>45.92±7.11</td>
<td>46.06±6.58</td>
<td>p=0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min after injection</td>
<td>61.92±5.51</td>
<td>63.16±5.10</td>
<td>p=0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paired t-test</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min before injection</td>
<td>100.12±15.08</td>
<td>100.80±16.34</td>
<td>p=0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min after injection</td>
<td>132.24±12.62</td>
<td>136.0±10.02</td>
<td>p=0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paired t-test</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal rotation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min before injection</td>
<td>56.96±9.99</td>
<td>55.88±13.89</td>
<td>p=0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min after injection</td>
<td>80.20±8.87</td>
<td>82.64±12.28</td>
<td>p=0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paired t-test</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External rotation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min before injection</td>
<td>62.60±8.13</td>
<td>60.36±9.29</td>
<td>p=0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min after injection</td>
<td>83.72±6.37</td>
<td>85.40±6.89</td>
<td>p=0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paired t-test</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All parameters described as mean ± SD
p<0.05 (unpaired t-test), p<0.01 (paired t-test)
*Statistically significant (if p<0.05).

Fig. (4): Mean shoulder mobility in flexion position among studied groups.

Fig. (5): Mean shoulder mobility in extension position among studied groups.
The time to first request to analgesia was significantly longer in group DM than group D as $p$-value=0.0016 as in (Table 4). The total amount of analgesia (gabapentin) taken was statistically significantly lower in group DM than group D as $p$-value=0.006 as in (Table 4) and Figs. (9-11).

Table (4): Analgesic needed data and number of needed injections among studied groups (data expressed as mean ± SD or number %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>Group DM</th>
<th>Test of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time to first request</td>
<td>31.24±14.84</td>
<td>45.08±23.95</td>
<td>$p=0.016^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of analgesia/days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total amount of</td>
<td>10176±4008.04</td>
<td>7128±4943.38</td>
<td>$p=0.006^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>analgesia (gabapentin)/mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of injections needed:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$p=0.16$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 (16.0%)</td>
<td>9 (36.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11 (44.0%)</td>
<td>11 (44.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10 (40.0%)</td>
<td>5 (20.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Horner syndrome in the form ptosis, miosis, anhidrosis, enophthalmos and nasal congestion were observed after blocks within 5-15 minutes after blocks in both groups. The skin temperature of ipsilateral arm to the block was elevated by 1 to 3ºC above the basal value in both study groups. Hypotension occurred in 10 patients in DM group and 11 patients in D group after injection and was treated by giving 5mg ephedrine. There were no recorded cases of hematoma, pneumothorax or hypoxia after injection in both study groups. Three patients in magnesium, dexamethasone and lidocaine (DM) group developed hoarseness of voice while four patients in dexamethasone and lidocaine (D) group developed also hoarseness. No respiratory insufficiency or convulsions or weakness in the limb were observed in the study groups.

Discussion

Persistent pain following mastectomy has been described as early as 1978. However, pain can develop after any type of breast surgery and not only mastectomy. Chronic pain of this nature can be disabling and a source of considerable distress. The incidence of PBSPS is described to be anywhere between 20% and 60% [13]. The resulting debilitating pain persists for years with reported incidence as high as 40% in 3 years post breast surgery. This is partially due to the poor understanding of the syndrome but also the lack of appropriate treatment [14].

Kojima et al., [15] performed a survey among medical providers in Japan regarding identification and treatment of this syndrome. The authors yielded a 34.7% response rate and found that 70.5% recognized PBSPS but only 47.7% treated it. The majority prescribed NSAIDs to treat PBSPS, therefore the treatment was ineffective, so focusing on the importance of physician education in managing PBSPS is a must. It is important to remember that PBSPS is a chronic pain syndrome and as such, it is a multifactorial condition, linked to anatomical, behavioral and socioeconomic factors [16].

To the best of our knowledge, there were fewer researches that discussed stellate ganglion blockade in PMPS [17]. However, it is broadly considered to be an efficient therapeutic approach for a lot of diseases which include complex regional pain syndrome, pain in the face or head [18].

There was no prior recorded study about effect of magnesium sulphate (MgSO4) as an adjuvant in stellate ganglion block for chronic pain management.

This double blind prospective randomized study was designed to assess using magnesium sulfate as an adjuvant to lidocaine and dexamethasone in ultrasound guided stellate ganglion block for cases of post mastectomy pain syndrome. The primary outcome was the time to first request of analgesia while the secondary outcome was the total amount of rescue analgesia needed and pain intensity on VAS.

This study showed that there was significant prolongation of the analgesic duration and time of the first request of analgesia after the block in cases of PMPS in group (DM) more than group (D) when using magnesium sulfate as an adjuvant to lidocaine and dexamethasone for US guided SGB.

Magnesium sulphate is an effective analgesic adjuvant for postoperative pain [19]. Its analgesic property seems to be associated with the regulation of calcium influx into the cells, or antagonism of N-Methyl-D-Aspartate (NMDA) receptors in the central nervous system [20,21]. Additionally, magnesium is known to have an anti-inflammatory effect. Inflammatory state may accompany with pain via peripheral or central sensitization [22].

Another mechanism for analgesic effect of magnesium is the systemic absorption; serum magnesium levels decreases the post-synaptic activity of slow conducting un-myelinated C-fibers which are the main afferent fibers transmitting pain signals from the periphery to central nervous system [23,24].

In agreement with our results, Gunduz; et al., 2006 [25] concluded that adding 200mg magnesium
sulfate to prilocaine significantly increase the duration of sensory blocks and time of first request of analgesia when used in the axillary plexus block without systemic side effects or neurotoxicity.

In this study, VAS score was lower in the two study groups compared to basal and lower in magnesium group than the other group. This is in agreement with Farzanegan, et al., 2018 [26] who concluded that co-administration of epidural magnesium with morphine and bupivacaine for post-operative epidural analgesia could decrease VAS score and the amount of opioid consumption without causing adverse outcomes.

In agreement with our results, Ashraf, et al., 2019 [27] concluded that the addition of 100 mg MgSO4 as an adjuvant to Bupivacaine HCL 0.5% in patients undergoing elective forearm and hand surgeries under ultrasound guided supraclavicular brachial plexus block anesthesia extended the motor and sensory block durations and provided more efficient post-operative analgesia. In addition, MgSO4 improved the quality of post-operative recovery which is evinced by the improvement in the sleep quality on the first post-operative night and patient's satisfaction.

Lee, et al., 2012 [28] assessed the effect of the addition of magnesium to bupivacaine-epinephrine mixture in interscalene brachial plexus block and concluded that the use of magnesium as an additive improved the post-operative pain score and the duration of the post-operative analgesia without significant effect on the onset or the duration of sensory or motor blockade.

Abd-El Salam, et al., 2017 [29] found that addition of 200mg of magnesium sulfate to bupivacaine in an ultrasound-guided TAP block significantly reduced post-operative opioid requirements, prolonged the duration of analgesia, and decreased the VAS score in patients who underwent abdominal hysterectomy, without significant side effects.

Collins, et al., 2020 [30] evaluated the effect of using intravenous magnesium sulfate for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome type 1 (CRPS) 1 and found that it significantly improved pain, impairment, and quality of life and was well tolerated.

Brill, et al., 2002 [31] studied the efficacy of administration of intravenous MgSO4 in cases of neuropathic pain as post herpetic neuralgia and found that VAS scores were significantly lower for magnesium group compared with placebo group after 20 and 30 minutes of infusion without record-
ed adverse effects of magnesium use, so he concluded that physiological action of Mg on NMDA receptors can be translated into a viable concept for pain control in patients with PHN.

Also, Bondok and Abd El-Hady 2006 studied the effect of intra-articular MgSO4 in knee arthroscopy, and found out that Mg group showed a significant decrease in the post-operative VAS in the first 24h which become less significant thereafter, also, significant decrease in the dosage of post-operative rescue analgesia with a longer time to first analgesic request, concluding that intra-articular MgSO4 could be a useful substitution for post-operative analgesia.

In another study conducted by Rana; et al., 2016 [32] a bilateral TAP block was performed on patients undergoing abdominal hysterectomy under intrathecal anesthesia, with 18ml bupivacaine 0.25% alone or in combination with 150mg magnesium sulfate. Reduced pain score and rescue analgesic consumption as well as increased duration of analgesia were the outcome after adding magnesium.

In addition, Kaur; et al., 2020 [33] concluded that the addition of 150mg of magnesium sulfate as an adjuvant to 0.25% ropivacaine in comparison to 0.25% ropivacaine alone in PEC block, prolongs the duration of analgesia, significantly decreases the amount of analgesic requirement in first 24 hours post-operatively with persistently lower VAS scores and also lesser number of rescue analgesics. This can contribute significantly to better patient comfort and safety.

Yucel, et al., 2009 [34] also concluded that SGB had successfully decreased VAS and also increased the ROM of the wrist joint in patients with complex regional pain syndrome type 1.

In our study, the various types of shoulder movements (flexion, extension, abduction, internal, and external rotation) were improved following injection of SGB in both groups compared to basal. The improvement of movement was with no statistically significant difference among both studied groups and that could be explained by the fact that the ROM of shoulder isn’t dependent only on pain relief but also on physical therapy. This came in agreement with Jewell, et al. 2009 [35] who displayed that physical therapy, specific exercise and mobilization techniques tend to produce fast result, great improvement, and prevent further tightening of the shoulder.
In current study, ten patients in DM group and eleven patients in group D developed hypotension after the block and were given 5mg ephedrine. This is in agreement with Fee, et al., 1979 [36] who performed right stellate ganglion block on 24 patients in whom hypertension developed after cardiopulmonary bypass and found that it was associated with a reduction in systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and systemic vascular resistance in the majority of cases.

In the present study, the skin temperature of the ipsilateral arm to the block was elevated by 1 to 3 degree Celsius above the baseline following injection and this confirms the success of the block because the increase in the blood flow occurs as result of sympathetic effect of SGB as explained by Yamazaki, et al., 2012 [37] who used laser Doppler blood flowmetry to confirm changes in blood flow following SGB.

In this study, we found that the usage of ultrasound guided technique in SGB reduced complications such as hematoma, pneumothorax and hypoxia as they were not observed in patients after the block. This came in accordance with Rastogi et al., 2010 [38] and Yoo et al., 2012 [39] who demonstrated that ultrasound guided nerve block has changed the practice of regional anesthesia and ultrasound guided SGB provide higher accuracy and more patient safety.

In current study, three cases in DM group and four patients in D group developed hoarseness of voice. Hoarseness of voice develops owing to spread of local anesthetic to the Recurrent Laryngeal Nerve (RLN). However, Hardy and Wells [40] reported an incidence of 10% of hoarseness of voice with 10ml of local anesthetic solution and up to 80% with 20ml solution in classical approach.

**Conclusion:**

Current study proved that adding of 100mg of magnesium sulfate to lidocaine and dexamethasone for ultrasound guided stellate ganglion block in cases of post mastectomy pain syndrome increases the time to first request of analgesia, decreases VAS values and the total amount of analgesia (Gabapentin dose) needed in three months after the block compared to using lidocaine and dexamethasone alone. Furthermore, magnesium sulfate provides better quality of the block.
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كبريتات الماغنيسيوم كعامل مساعد في إحضرار العقدة النجمية

باستخدام الموجات فوق الصوتية

في حالات متلازمة آلام ما بعد إستئصال الثدي

مقدمة: سرطان الثدي هو أكثر أنواع السرطانات شيوعاً لدى النساء، وثاني أكثر أنواع السرطان شيوعاً بشكل عام. متلازمة آلام ما بعد إستئصال الثدي هي مضطرب يُستخدم لوصف الألم المزمن بعد إستئصال الثدي الجراحي أو إستئصال الثدي الكلي. تقدر الدراسات أن متلازمة آلام ما بعد إستئصال الثدي تظهر في حوالي 25% إلى 80% من النساء مما يؤدي إلى الإعاقة والضغوط النفسية وتدهور في الحياة العامة.

الهدف من العمل: كان الهدف من الدراسة هو مقارنة فعالية المسكنات وحدة تثبيط العقدة النجمية عند إستخدام كل من ديكسماثازون وسلفات الماغنيسيوم مع المخدر الموضعي ليديكاتين مقارنة باستخدام الديكسماثازون وحدة مع الليبوكانين في حالات متلازمة آلام ما بعد إستئصال الثدي.

الموضوع وطريقة التجربة: أجريت الدراسة والحالية على خمسين مريضة تتراوح أعمارهن بين 20 و 60 عاماً. بعد خضوع المجموعين لجراحة الثدي، تم تقسيم المرضى عشوائياً إلى مجموعتين متساويتين، مجموعة تلقى ديكسماثازون وليديكاتين (المجموعة 1) والجموعة الأخرى تلقى المغنيسيوم ديكسماثازون وليديكاتين (المجموعة 2). بعد الجرب، تم الحصول على درجة الألم باستخدام مقياس تناوزي بصري (VAS).

وبعد قياس حركات الكتف باستخدام مقياس الزوايا، كما تم قياس درجة حرارة الجلد للدراج المífماثل للكلثة.

النتائج: تم الحصول على درجة الألم باستخدام مقياس زوايا. تم قياس حركات الكتف باستخدام مقياس الزوايا. تم قياس درجة حرارة الجلد للدراج المifuصلي، وكانت الأعراض المختلفة من حركات الكتف أفضل بشكل ملحوظ عند الحفر في كلا المجموعتين مقارنة قبل الحقن. أظهرت مجموعة دم مدة مسكن أطول ودرجة أقل من مقياس تناوزي بصري مع أقل حاجة للتسكين مقارنة بالجموعة.

الخلاصة: إضافة كبريتات الماغنيسيوم إلى النيروكانين والديكسماثازون تتثبيت الكتلة العقدية النجمية بواسطة الموجات فوق الصوتية في حالات متلازمة آلام ما بعد إستئصال الثدي يزيد من وقت الإحتياج الأول للتسكين، ويركز من قيم المقياس التناوزي البصري والكلمة الإجمالية للأدوية الم.evaluateelyة في المتابعة.