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Abstract

Background: Spinal pain is a frequent health problem. Sedentary lifestyle and lack of physical activity PA had been widely pointed out as a risk factor for LBP. Since the end of 2019, the world has been facing the COVID-19 pandemic, this resulted in change of lifestyle and PA performed by lots of people.

Aim of Study: To detect change in PA before and after COVID-19 pandemic and its relation to LBP, cervical pain, nonspecific bodily pains or fatigue.

Patients and Methods: The current study included 146 apparently healthy participants whom were asked to answer the validated Arabic version of International Physical Assessment Questionnaire IPAQ to assess PA before and after COVID-19 pandemic. Participants also answered questions to assess new onset of symptoms and/or increased symptoms in terms of LBP, nonspecific bodily pains or fatigue.

Results: Participants were divided into two groups based on the presence of spinal pain, joint pains, bodily pains and fatigue: Worsened group who developed the symptom after COVID-19 pandemic or had worsening of an old symptom after the pandemic, and stable group who had no symptoms after the pandemic or had an old symptom that did not increase after the pandemic. There was significant change in high intensity PA after the pandemic (p<0.001). Participants who experienced spinal pains had significantly practiced walking (p=0.002) and moderate intensity activities (p=0.038) before the pandemic. There was significant correlation between performing high intensity PA during COVID-19 pandemic and stable spinal pain, nonspecific bodily pains and fatigue (p=0.021, p=0.025, p=0.046) respectively. Individuals who experienced worsening in spinal pains, nonspecific bodily pains or fatigue had significant change in moderate intensity activity days (p=0.049) and duration (p=0.022) after the pandemic.

Conclusion: The changes in moderate intensity PA is related to spinal pain, nonspecific body pain and fatigue.
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Introduction

LOW back pain (LBP) is a very common health problem; it is considered the second most common type of pain after headache [1,2]. It is believed that two thirds of the population by the age of 40 years would have experienced at least one episode of LBP and 60% of them would suffer from recurrent LBP during the same year [3-5]. Being one of the most common health complaints in many countries, LBP leads to numerous sick leaves with subsequent economic burden [6-8].

Many researchers investigated the risk factors associated with LBP and tried to determine its relation to lifestyle, level of physical activity (PA), habitual positions during work, working hours, metabolic syndrome, smoking, excessive alcohol consumption and, even, nutrition [9-19].

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), physical activity is defined as any bodily movement that is produced by skeletal muscles and requires energy expenditure above the basal metabolic rate. PA and sports involve a wide range of low to intense activity such as: Brisk walking, swimming, cycling and competitive sports. Specialized facilities and clubs are often involved in many kinds of activities and sports [20]. On the other side, sedentary lifestyle is defined as prolonged sitting at work in addition to leisure time; or an energy expenditure less than 600 metabolic equivalent of task (MET) minute per week [21].

Sedentary lifestyle has long been considered risk factor for non-specific LBP [10-12]. An association between prolonged sitting during work time and LBP was reported by several studies [13-15].
Another study involving adolescents reported an association between LBP and prolonged sitting in girls [19]. Other interventional studies revealed that activity based-work environment was associated with a half reduction of LBP frequency compared with the baseline inactive work environment [13,17].

World Health Organization declared coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19), caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV2), a worldwide pandemic. Until the development of an effective vaccine against this potentially life-threatening respiratory virus, the WHO has been providing regular recommendations to avoid crowdedness, and to adopt the policy of social distancing. The consequences of such recommendations included the lockdown of clubs, many sport facilities and gymnastic rooms; working from home whenever possible; and the avoidance of any unnecessary outdoor activities [22].

The study aimed to detect the changes in the level of PA of individuals as a result of COVID-19 pandemic, and to find out the association between those changes and any worsening or new onset of neck/back pain.

**Patients and Methods**

The current study included apparently healthy volunteers aged 18 to 55 years who were relatives of patients attending the Rheumatology and Rehabilitation clinic, or Neurosurgery clinic in the institutes where the researchers are working; Rheumatology and Rehabilitation outpatient clinic, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University or Neurosurgery Outpatient Clinic, Faculty of Medicine, BeniSuef University. Participants were recruited in the period between first of June and first of September 2020.

Participants through the written form gave a written informed consent. The Google form contained a section about approving the participation in a scientific research, submitting the online form after finishing it was the substitute to the written consent.

Participants were recruited in the period between first of June and first of September 2020. Participants were invited to fill out a Google form available online or an identical written copy which takes 5-10 minutes to answer. The online form could be accessed through https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1QwMiLDxJdjtjUX06dxuLJEYn7pt6iYYyGTVG5XMF1E/edit?usp=drive_web. In addition to demographic features, the form included answering the validated Arabic version of the International Physical Assessment Questionnaire-Short Form (IPAQ-SF) [23]. The participants were asked to answer a section to assess PA during a regular week before COVID-19 pandemic and another section to assess PA in the past 7 days during the COVID-19 pandemic. Other questions regarding worsening of an old or development of a new onset LBP and/or neck pain following the pandemic were to be answered as well. Individuals with an established rheumatologic disease as rheumatoid arthritis or fibromyalgia, and those with a recent history of bone or joint surgery were excluded.

**Statistical analysis:** Categorical variables were described in terms of frequencies and percentages. Numerical variables were described in terms of median and interquartile range (IQR) or mean±SD according to the normality of data. Comparisons were performed using the chi-square test for categorical data and the unpaired-samples t-test for numerical data. A two-tailed probability value (p-value) was used; and a p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. For the comparison of paired categorical data, McNemar-Bowker test was used; post hoc analysis was performed with the p-value adjusted at 0.006 after Bonferroni correction. All statistical calculations were performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) version 21 for Microsoft Windows.

**Sample size calculation:** Power analysis was performed on the primary outcome for independent samples. When a pilot sample of 25 individuals was considered, the total METs before and after COVID-19 pandemic were 3692.1±2507.6 and 1162.9±993.1 in persons with worsened and stable neck/back pain, respectively. For a power of 0.80 and an alpha error of 0.05, a minimum sample size of 20 persons was calculated.

**Results**

The current study included 146 participants, 85 (58.2%) females and 61 (41.8%) males. Age ranged from 18 to 55 years with a median of 35.3±9.7 years. Body mass index ranged from 21.3 to 35.7 with a median of 26.9 SD. Chronic diseases controlled under medical treatment were reported in 19 participants; hypothyroidism in 8 (5.4%), systemic hypertension in 6 (4.1%), diabetes mellites in 3 (2%) and bronchial asthma in 2 (1.3%) participants.

Regarding work; 38 (26%) were working from home due to the pandemic, 57 (39%) were working at office as usual, 12 (8.2%) were students, 5 (3.4%)
quit or got dismissed from work due to the pandemic and 34 (23.3%) were not employed even before the pandemic.

Concerning weight changes; 81 (55.5%) participants experienced weight gain while 24 (16.4%) subjects lost weight during the pandemic and 41 (28.1%) kept stable body weight. Nineteen out of 33 (57.6%) individuals receiving physiotherapy before COVID-19 pandemic stopped the sessions after the pandemic.

Participants were divided into two groups based on the presence of neck/back pain: A worsened group who developed a new onset or had worsening of an old symptom after COVID-19 pandemic, and a stable group who had no symptoms after the pandemic or had an old symptom that did not increase after the pandemic.

Table (1) shows the changes that the study cohort had concerning their levels of physical activity after COVID-19 pandemic. Post hoc analysis of the comparison revealed a significantly lower frequency of high intensity physical activity level after COVID-19 pandemic; and this difference persisted even after Bonferroni correction ($p<0.001$).

Table (2) demonstrates the physical activity status prior to COVID-19 pandemic in the two groups. Participants who suffered worsening of neck/back pain had a higher frequency of practicing moderate intensity PA, and a longer duration of performing moderate activity and walking before the pandemic.

### Table (1): The comparison between the levels of physical activities of the study cohort before and during COVID-19 pandemic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before (n=35)</th>
<th>During Low (n=49)</th>
<th>During Moderate (n=62)</th>
<th>During High (n=62)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low (n, %)</td>
<td>26 (74.3)</td>
<td>20 (40.8)</td>
<td>21 (33.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (n, %)</td>
<td>7 (20)</td>
<td>27 (55.1)</td>
<td>27 (43.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (n, %)</td>
<td>2 (5.7)</td>
<td>2 (4.1)</td>
<td>14 (22.6)#</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

McNemar-Bowker test was used.

*p-value <0.05 is considered statistically significant.

#Post hoc analysis revealed significantly lower frequency of high level of physical activity during COVID-19 pandemic even after Bonferroni correction.

### Table (2): Physical activity status prior to COVID-19 pandemic in the different groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Worsened (n=67)</th>
<th>Stable (n=79)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High intensity activity frequency (days)</td>
<td>3 (1-4)</td>
<td>2 (0-5)</td>
<td>0.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High intensity activity duration (minutes)</td>
<td>60 (0-60)</td>
<td>60 (0-60)</td>
<td>0.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate intensity activity frequency (days)</td>
<td>4 (2-6)</td>
<td>2 (0-5)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate intensity activity duration (minutes)</td>
<td>60 (30-70)</td>
<td>20 (0-60)</td>
<td>0.038*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking activity frequency (days)</td>
<td>5 (3-7)</td>
<td>4 (0-6)</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking activity duration (minutes)</td>
<td>30 (30-60)</td>
<td>30 (0-60)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unpaired samples t-test and chi square tests were used for the numerical and categorical data, respectively.

### Table (3): Physical activity during COVID-19 pandemic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Worsened (n=67)</th>
<th>Stable (n=79)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High intensity activity frequency change (days)</td>
<td>0 (0-2)</td>
<td>0 (0-2)</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High intensity activity duration change (minutes)</td>
<td>0 (0-35)</td>
<td>0 (0-35)</td>
<td>0.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate intensity activity frequency change (days)</td>
<td>2 (0-3)</td>
<td>1 (0-3)</td>
<td>0.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate intensity activity duration change (minutes)</td>
<td>30 (0-60)</td>
<td>15 (0-35)</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking activity frequency change (days)</td>
<td>3 (1.5-7)</td>
<td>3 (0-5)</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking activity duration change (minutes)</td>
<td>30 (12.5-45)</td>
<td>20 (0-52.5)</td>
<td>0.323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unpaired samples t-test was used and chi square tests were used for the numerical and categorical data, respectively.

*p-value <0.05 is considered statistically significant.
Table (4) shows the comparison between the two groups concerning the change of PA after COVID-19 pandemic. The worsened group concerning the neck/back pain had a significantly greater decrease of moderate intensity PA frequency \((p=0.049)\).

Table (4): The comparison between the different groups concerning the change of physical activity before and after COVID-19 pandemic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neck/back pain</th>
<th>Worsened (n=67)</th>
<th>Stable (n=79)</th>
<th>(p)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High intensity activity frequency change (days)</td>
<td>57.1 (0-100)</td>
<td>0 (0-100)</td>
<td>0.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High intensity activity duration change (minutes)</td>
<td>50 (0-100)</td>
<td>0 (0-100)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate intensity activity frequency change (days)</td>
<td>40 (0-92.9)</td>
<td>0 (0-57.14)</td>
<td>0.049*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate intensity activity duration change (minutes)</td>
<td>50 (0-91.7)</td>
<td>0 (0-50)</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking activity frequency change (days)</td>
<td>0 (0-50)</td>
<td>0 (0-50)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking activity duration change (minutes)</td>
<td>33.3 (0-55.6)</td>
<td>0 (0-50)</td>
<td>0.836</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Unpaired samples \(t\)-test was used.

\(^*\) \(p\)-value <0.05 is considered statistically significant.

**Discussion**

The worldwide lockdown of sport facilities, swimming pools and sport clubs during COVID-19 pandemic forcibly decreased PA of many people; these circumstances represented a unique opportunity to study how much decreasing PA in healthy individuals contributes to musculoskeletal complaints. Very few of the earlier studies on PA and LBP and/or cervical pain studied the same individuals during active versus sedentary periods of their lives. This study also highlighted the indirect long-term consequences of COVID-19 pandemic on musculoskeletal health.

The comparison between the levels of PA of all participants before and during COVID-19 pandemic showed a significant reduction of the high intensity PA after the pandemic. High intensity PA examples mentioned in the Arabic version of the IPAQ-SF include carrying heavy weights, running at high speed, cycling at high speed, swimming and basketball playing [23]; all these activities were expected to be difficult to practice indoors during the pandemic.

When the study’s results were interpreted, the following observation came to light: The worsened group of spinal pain was more active prior to the pandemic and they had a greater reduction in their PA during the pandemic. The more sedentary group before the pandemic had no significant changes of their PA during the pandemic, and thus did not suffer significant worsening of their musculoskeletal health. In other words, sudden reduction in PA level can lead to LBP and cervical pain.

The results of the current study come in concordance with many investigators reporting that sedentary life is associated with spinal pain [10-15,19], and with others stating that moderate intensity PA, as moderate speed cycling and brisk walking, is protective against LBP and can be safely practiced in LBP patients after finishing their treatment as a part of their rehabilitation program. Hence, practicing moderate to intense PA three to five times per week has been proposed as a part of the rehabilitation program recommended for LBP patients [24-27]. Furthermore, LBP patients are advised to regularly engage into everyday activities to maintain valuable health benefits of the moderate to intense PA [28].

Few studies disagreed with the current study and stated that LBP severity at baseline but not physical inactivity determines future LBP attacks [29]. Also, an older study of sedentary life as a risk factor of LBP revealed that sedentary life, per se, is not a risk factor for LBP [30]. Those findings were disapproved by other recent studies which supported the association between sedentary life and LBP [15,19,28,31].

Regular PA is also reported to improve symptoms related to unexplained fatigue and quality of life [32]. Moreover, the influence of physical exercises on improvement of fatigue was supported by randomized controlled trials [33,34].

Lack of PA is believed to be associated with decreased muscle bulk and strength [35]; improper hydration of the intervertebral discs [36], increased intradiscal load [37] and decreased metabolic exchange [38]. Another suggested mechanism explaining improvement of musculoskeletal pain by PA involves the enhanced expression of endorphins, enkephalins and serotonin by exercise which in turn improves physical pain and psychological wellbeing [39]. The beneficial effect of PA on musculoskeletal health and emotional state is fur-
ther supported by the usefulness of exercises in patients with fibromyalgia [40].

The strength point of the study includesits longitudinal design to address the impact of unintentional reduction of PA on musculoskeletal health; other studies were either cross sectional without a clear delineation of the causal effect relationship between PA and sedentary life or interventional studies addressing the effect of exercise programs on sedentary persons with LBP. The current study used a validated questionnaire, IPAQ-SF Arabic version, to assess PA status before and after the pandemic. The weakness point of the study includes the retrospective recall of PA statebefore COVID-19 pandemic; however, the intentional decrement of the physical status of the participant, from active to inactive state, was not ethical to be tested in a study.

Conclusion: COVID-19 pandemic resulted in lifestyle changes including jobs, level of activity and recreational sports. This study detected a significant reduction in high intensity activity as swimming and group sports. Persons who are physically active are more prone to musculoskeletal complaints when they reduce their PA compared to those who have sedentary life. Hence, physically active subjects should be advised to continue their level of PA in order to maintain the benefits of PA and avoid deconditioning. Thus, our study emphasizes the importance of adherence to PA program in order to maintain their expected benefits. In other words, one may say that practicing moderate intensity PA and walking were protective against spinal pains. Fatigue and bodily pain need to be further studied in light of PA, quality of life, stress, anxiety and depression.
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تأثير جائحة الكوفيد 19 على مستوى نشاط الأفراد
وعلاقة ذلك بالآلام العضوية الفقرى
دراسة على مجموعة من المتطوعين الأصحاب

المقدمة: آلام العضو الفقرى مشكلة شائعة ببلغ سن الأربعين يكون قد اختبر 50% من الأفراد نوبة واحدة على الأقل من آلام العضو الفقرى. تشمل عوامل الخطر على السمنة، وضعف عضلات العضو الفقرى وصعوبة العمل، وضعف عضلات العضو الفقرى وصعوبة الدراسة. تم عمل دراسات متعددة على علاقة نمط الحياة السيئ بالآلام العضو الفقرى.

تؤثر الرياضة إيجابياً على برنامج إعادة التأهيل في مرشى آلام الفقرات. منذ نهاية عام 2019، وواجه العالم جائحة كوفيد-19 مما أدى إلى تغيير نمط الحياة اليومية ونشاط معظم الأفراد.

الهدف من البحث: دراسة مدى تغيير نمط الحياة الحركية للأفراد بعد جائحة الكوفيد-19 وعلاقة ذلك بالآلام العضو الفقرى.

النتائج: أُجريت الدراسة على 146 متطوع، تراويح أعمارهم من 18 إلى 55 سنة. نسبة السيدات كانت 58.2% والرجال 41.8%. أسفرت النتائج عن وجود علاقة ذات أهمية إحصائية في الأشخاص الذين اعتادوا ممارسة المشي والرياضة. ويتراوح نسبة الشدة ونوعية الجائحة. وجدت علاقة ذات أهمية إحصائية بين انخفاض معدلات التمدد العضلي الذي يعود آلام الفقرى.

 المستخلص: جائحة كوفيد-19 أثرى تغيير نمط الحياة الحركية في ممارسة النشاط الحركي. وجاءت علاقة ذات أهمية إحصائية بين انخفاض معدلات ممارسة النشاط الحركي ونوعية آلام الفقرى.