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Abstract

Background: Cholelithiasis is the most popular biliary illness and one of the extremely common causes of abdominal pain as it is present in 10-15% of the overall individuals. Though it is asymptomatic in most of them (>80%); virtually, 1-2% of asymptomatic patients will develop symptoms necessitating cholecystectomy annually; making cholecystectomy the furthermost common operation performed by general surgeons.

Aim of Study: This study aimed in this study to verify the use of the critical view of safety during laparoscopic cholecystectomy in a rural hospital regarding the efficacy of the technique, difficulties during of the technique and bailout techniques, operative time and postoperative outcome at early learning curve for safe cholecystectomy.

Patients and Methods: A retrospective study conducted in Kafr El sheikh General Hospital during the period from 1 January 2019 to 1 January 2020. This study included 500 patients who presented with symptomatic cholelithiasis who had underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy using Critical View of Safety (CVS) as standardized technique and bailout techniques in difficult cases.

Results: There were 20 (4%) of cases were found to have been falling in the age group (20-30) years, 200 (40%) of them were falling in the age group (31-40), 220 (44%) had an age ranged between (41-50) years, whereas 60 (12%) of patients were in the age group 51-60 years. The mean age was 41.82 ± 7.65 years. In this study, 170 males (34%) and 330 female (66%) were recruited, with the mean body mass index was 29.8, achievement of CVS was (84%), conversion to open as bailout technique were 40 (8%), subtotal cholecystectomy as bailout technique were 30 cases (6%), fundus first technique as bailout technique were 7 cases (1.4%), tube cholecystostomy as bailout technique were 3 cases (0.6%).

Conclusion: This study concluded that Critical View of Safety is the safest technique for recognizing the biliary anatomy during laparoscopic cholecystectomy and it is associated with a significant impact in preventing intraperative complications and post operative major complication.
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Introduction

CHOLELITHIASIS is the most popular biliary illness and one of the extremely common causes of abdominal pain as it is present in 10-15% of the overall individuals. Though it is asymptomatic in most of them (>80%); virtually, 1-2% of asymptomatic patients will develop symptoms necessitating cholecystectomy annually; making cholecystectomy the furthermost common operation performed by general surgeons [1,2].

The advantages of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the early 1990s led to a paradigm change and shift from open approach towards minimally invasive techniques, better visualization, lower post-operative pain, shorter hospital stay and proper cosmesis [3,4].

The incidence of biliary injuries after conventional open cholecystectomy is about 0.2% [6].

On the other hand, despite of the advantages and improvements in techniques of laparoscopic cholecystectomy, the complication rates of intra- operative bile duct injuries still present and account for about 0.4% to 0.5% depending on the underlying disease [7].

Factors affecting the outcomes of LC have been heavily investigated over the past years. There are various pre or intraoperative factors that make LC a technically difficult procedure. These include acute cholecystitis, empyema gall bladder, gangrenous cholecystitis, fibrosed gallbladder, severe adhesions in calot’s triangle and intrahepatic gall bladder. These problems are difficult to assess preoperatively but are usually encountered during
LC and therefore responsible for major difficulty in performing the surgery [8].

The most common cause of intra-operative biliary injury is mis-identification, Strasberg and colleagues in 1995 suggested a strategy called the “critical view of safety” (CVS), to minimize the risk of bile duct injuries during laparoscopic cholecystectomy [9].

The CVS has 3 principles: First, the triangle of Calot must be cleared of fat and fibrous tissue. It does not require that the common bile duct be exposed. The second, requirement is that the lowest part of the gallbladder be separated from the cystic plate, the flat fibrous surface to which the non-peritonealized side of the gallbladder is attached. The cystic plate, which is sometimes referred to as the liver bed of the gallbladder. The third, requirement is that only 2 structures (cystic duct, cystic artery), should be seen entering the gallbladder. Once these 3 criteria have been fulfilled, CVS has been attained [10].

Strict adherence to this (CVS) during laparoscopic cholecystectomies throughout the procedure markedly reduce the incidence of bile duct injuries during this minimally invasive procedure [11].

The use of critical view of safety technique during laparoscopic cholecystectomies prevents transection of the common bile duct through critical examination of the present anatomy. Also, this technique offers an intraoperative full protection to the biliary tract when consistently implemented [3].

It was reported that to minimize biliary injuries, the (CVS) should be obtained in every patient during laparoscopic cholecystectomy, regardless of difficulty during dissection of Calot’s triangle. As it is a safe, effective and easily applied method even by junior surgeons with limited laparoscopic experience, so should be established as the standard in training for laparoscopic cholecystectomy [12].

Aim of the work:

The aim of this work is to verify the use of the critical view of safety during laparoscopic cholecystectomy in a rural hospital regarding difficulties during the technique, operative time and postoperative outcome at the early learning curve.

**Patients and Methods**

**Study design:**

A retrospective study.

**Study setting:**

This study conducted in Kafr El Sheikh General Hospital during the period from 1 January 2019 to 1 January 2020.

**Study population:**

**Inclusion criteria:**

All patients who presented with symptomatic cholelithiasis who had underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy which defied as any cholecystectomy started laparoscopically even if it converted to open procedure.

**Sampling method:** Randomized age 12-60 years old.

**Ethical considerations:**

Obtaining approval from The Institutional Research Board, oral and written informed consents from all participants, obtain informed consent was done discuss conversion to open cholecystectomy (4-5) %, the risk of bleeding, infection, bile leak and bile duct injury.

**Methods:**

The files of the patients were studied regarding pre operative data, operative data sheet, post operative data and discharge sheet. The patient's history, results of pre operative examinations, any diagnostic procedures was analyzed searching for risk factors. Any operative difficulties reported were analyzed including type, time of diagnosis and their fate. Finally, any complications encountered were studied including type, diagnosis, management and fate.

**A- Full history taking:**

With considerable emphasis on: Symptoms of biliary colic (Number of attacks), history of acute cholecystitis (colic, fever, nausea, vomiting, hospital admission...), history of jaundice, history of cholangitis (fever, rigors, jaundice...), history of pancreatitis (fever, upper abdominal pain which radiates to the back and decreased by leaning forwards, nausea, jaundice...), previous abdominal operations, any medication intake, any comorbidity as I.H.D, Athamic ptns, COPD.

**B- Full clinical examination:**

- General examination: Vital signs and general condition of the patient.
- Anthropometric measurements.
- Abdominal examination: With special emphasis on: Maximum point of tenderness, rebound tenderness, guarding at Rt hypochondrium (Murphy's Sign), Inspiratory arrest with deep palpation in the right upper quadrant.
cystic duct

Fig. (2): Critical view of safety (ant. view), lower third of the cystic plate.

Fig. (4): Endoclips on both cystic artery and cystic duct.

Cystic artery

Fig. (3): Critical view of safety (post. view).

Fig. (5): Dissection of the GB from the liver bed.
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- Special signs: (Boas' sign), an area of hyperesthesia may be elicited between the 9th to the 11th ribs posteriorly on the right side.

C- Investigations for preoperative assessment:

CBC, PT, PTT, INR, Creatinine, Urea, Na⁺, k⁺, Bilirubin (total, direct), SGOT, SGPT, albumin, HBSAg, HCV Ab, HIV Ab, ECG >35 years old, Echocardiography >50 years or HTN patient, Amylase, lipase in gall stone pancreatitis, Alkalinephosphatase, GGT (Gamma-glutamyl transferase).

D- Imaging:

- Abdominal U/S examination for: Detection Number of stones of GB, Content of GB and GB polyp.
- Magnetic Resonance Cholangio Pancreatography (MRCP) done in history, post operative in biliary leakage and jaundice.
- Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangio Pancreatography (ERCP) in history of patient and post operative.

Technique:

All patients enrolled in the study were operated approximately the same the standard laparoscopy procedure was done Figs. (1-5). Pre-operative 1.2 amoxycillin clavulanic acid single intravenous dose half an hour before procedure.

Positioning and theatre set-up:

Patient is supine position Fig. (1): Consider using table gel mat and strapping to prevent patient sliding. Patient's arms were kept tucked beside body on arm boards, surgeon and scrub stand on patient's left, monitor on patient's right near head end, scrub nurse on patients right at foot end in north American position, foley catheter, nasogastric tube, and knee-high pneumatic Apparatus in high-risk case.

Evaluation of intraoperative risk factors of laparoscopic cholecystectomy:

Patients were evaluated for the following intraoperative risk factors from operative sheet and images taken during the procedure including intraoperative image of adhesions of gallbladder, ability to hold gallbladder, presence of pus/bile outside the gallbladder, distended gall bladder, size of impacted stone, gallbladder wall thickness and gallstones, intra-abdominal adhesions obscuring the access, cholecystitis with impending rupture with pus Figs. (6,7).
Use of the Critical View of Safety Technique During Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy

E- Postoperative work up:

Fast track surgery enhance recovery, patient controlled anathesia, recovery of bowel sound, monitoring the drain output, hospital stays, post-operative outcome (complications, readmissions, morbidity and mortality), post-operative visit follow-up for any recurrent symptoms or infection were done for all patients up to 6 months.

Statistical analysis:

Patients’ data were presented as frequency and percentage for categorical variables, mean and SD for numerical variables. Groups were compared by independent samples Student 𝑡-test and 𝛾²-test for numerical and categorical data, respectively. All data and statistical analyses were handled by statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS, IBM, SPSS Inc. Chicago, USA) computer package version 18.

Table (1): Demographic data of studied cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Patients (N=500)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>41.82±7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Male/Female)</td>
<td>170/330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of male (%)</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass index (BMI) (kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.8±5.129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2) shows that 20 (4%) of cases were found to have been falling in the age group (20-30) years, 200 (40%) of them were falling in the age group (31-40), 220 (44%) had an age ranged between (41-50) years, whereas 60 (12%) of patients were in the age group 51-60 years, The mean age was 41.82±7.65 years.

Table (2): Distribution of the studied cases according to age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Frequency(N)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

The demographic data of the included patients are shown in Table (1).
In this study, 170 males (34%) and 330 female (66%) were recruited, with the mean body mass index was 29.8 ± 5.129 (Table 3).

Table (3): Distribution of the studied cases according to gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ultrasound was done as a routine investigation in all patients. All of the five hundred patients had stones in gallbladder, 380 of them (76%) had small GB stones while 120 (24%) had large GB stones. Also, 370 cases had multiple stones whereas 130 (26%) had solitary stones and 50 (10%) had impacted stones. Furthermore, out of 500 cases, 40 (8%) had distended GB, 50 (10%) had Pericholecystic collection, 70 (14%) had GB wall thickening, 30 (6%) had Cirrhotic liver and 60 (12%) had bright fatty liver.

Table (4): Ultrasonography finding in the studied cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ultrasonographic data</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GB distension:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distended</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericholecystic collection</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Wall thickness ≥4mm</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB stone size:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB stone number:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitary</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacted stone in the neck of GB</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver ultrasonography finding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhotic</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table (5), out of 500 patients included in this study 111 patients mild and moderate adhesion and inflammation in the Calot’s triangle and 44 patients had adhesion burying the gall bladder. Also, 40 (8%) cases had distended gall bladder, 35 (7%) cases suffered from stone ≥ 1cm impacted in Hartman’s pouch, 42 (8.4%) patients had difficulty in access to peritoneal cavity.

Table (5): Intra-operative parameters among studied cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appearance:</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No adhesion and inflammation</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild adhesion and inflammation</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate adhesion and inflammation</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesion and Sever inflammation burying the gall bladder</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GB (contraction/distention):</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracted</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distended</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone ≥ 1cm impacted in Hartman’s pouch</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to grasp with atraumatic laproscopic forceps</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access to peritoneal cavity:</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>91.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesion pensive surgery limiting access</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were 420 patients out of 500 patients of study group that were straight forward operation with achiement the CVS, 345 cases 69% had no adhesions and (75) cases 15% had mild adhesions. There were intraoperative mild bleeding in (21) cases 4.2% from visceral injury to the liver during insertion of the second port causing mild bleeding which has been stopped without any intervention, vascular injury to the abdominal wall during port insertion (port site bleeding) which had stopped by cautерization to the bleeding peritoneal surface and bleeding during dissection controlled with compression and bipolar coagulations. Postoperative bleeding (4) cases 0.8% were diagnosed early post operatively by detecting continuous bleeding through the drain in the 1 st post operative day 200cc. It managed conservatively by close observation to the patient, in the 2 nd day it reduced to 100cc then stopped the drain removed 3 days after complete stoppage.

There were 40 (8%) patients out of 500 patients of study group converted to open procedure, they had moderate and severe adhesions during the laparoscopic cholecystectomy. There was severe bleeding during the dissection and injury to cystic artery in (18) cases 3.6% lead to convert to open, and (2) cases 0.4% had bile duct injury which had managed intraoperative by conversion to open procedure; the two cases were Strasberg type D (lateral injury to the common bile duct) and managed by primary closure over a T tube. Factors lead to conversion to open: 15 cases had single factor, 12 cases had severe adhesions and 3 cases had moderate adhesions. 25 cases had combined factors, 18 cases had severe bleeding with moderate
adhesions, 2 cases had bile duct injury with moderate adhesions and 5 cases had mild bleeding with moderate adhesions. Three cases 0.6% had postoperative mild bleeding, the drain in the 1st post operative day was 150 cc. There managed conservatively by close observation to the patient, in the 2nd day it reduced to 100 cc then stopped the drain removed 3 days after complete stoppage. Two cases 0.4% had postoperative biliary leakage which managed conservative treatment it was minor leakage. Post operative (port site) wound infections were in (13) cases 2.6% had managed early post operatively by IV antibiotic and daily wound dressing.

Table (6): Statement of Standard laparoscopic with acheivement of CVS Figs. (2,3), intra and post operative complication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Achieved Straight Forward CVS</th>
<th>420</th>
<th>84%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Appearance:**
- Adhesion and inflammation
  - (No) 345 69%
  - (Mild) 75 15%
  - (Moderate) 0
  - (Severe) 0

**Intra OP Complications:**
- Bleeding
  - (No) 399 79.8%
  - (Mild) 21 4.2%
  - (Severe) 0
- Bile duct injury
  - (Yes) 0
  - (No) 420 84%
- Gall bladder perforation
  - (Yes) 5 1%
  - (No) 415 83%

**Post OP Complications:**
- Biliary leakage
  - (Yes) 5 1%
  - (No) 415 83%
- Bleeding
  - (Yes) 4 0.8%
  - (No) 416 83.2%
- Jaundice
  - (Yes) 1 0.2%
  - (No) 419 83.8%
- Post OP Infection
  - (Yes) 10 2%
  - (No) 410 82%

**Average Time Taken**
- 60:90 minutes
- Average Hospital Stay Time 1-2 days

Table (7): Statement of Conversion to open, intra and post operative complication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bailout Technique: Conversion to Open</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>8%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Appearance:**
- Adhesion and inflammation
  - (No) 0
  - (Mild) 28 5.6%
  - (Moderate) 12 2.4%
  - (Severe) 0

**Intra OP Complications:**
- Bleeding
  - (Yes) 38 7.6%
  - (No) 18 3.6%
- Bile duct injury
  - (Yes) 2 0.4%
  - (No) 5 1%
- Gall bladder perforation
  - (Yes) 0
  - (No) 40 8%

**Post OP Complications:**
- Biliary leakage
  - (Yes) 38 7.6%
  - (No) 2 0.4%
- Bleeding
  - (Yes) 13 2.6%
  - (No) 27 5.4%
- Post OP Infection
  - (Yes) 10 2%
  - (No) 410 82%

**Average Time Taken**
- >120 minutes
**Average Hospital Stay Time**
- 2-4 days

There were 30 cases out of 500 cases of study group that subtotal cholecystectomy done as bail-out technique, (28) cases 5.6% had dense adhesions and two cases 0.4% had moderated adhesion in the Calot’s triangle that burying the gall bladder. There was intraoperative mild bleeding in (7) cases 1.4% from bed of GB which has been stopped by compression and cauterization. Six cases 1.2% had post operative mild bleeding (port site bleeding, bleeding from bed of GB) which passed with conservative treatment. 17 cases (3.4%) had post operative (port site) wound infection which had managed by IV antibiotic and daily wound dressing. Post-operative biliary leakage were in (2) cases 0.4% they managed with conservative treatment, there were minor leakage. Post operative jaundice was in one case 0.2% diagnosed and MRCP done found that Strasberg type El injury (false ligation of the common bile duct) and managed by Choledocho jejunostomy in high center.
Table (8): Statement of Subtotal cholecystectomy as abailout technique, intra and post operative complication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bailout Technique:</th>
<th>SubTotal Cholecystectomy</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>6%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Appearance:**
- Adhesion and inflammation
  - (No) 0
  - (Mild) 0
  - (Moderate) 2 0.4%
  - (Severe) 28 5.6%

**Intra OP Complications:**
- Bleeding
  - (No) 23 4.6%
  - (Mild) 7 1.4%
  - (Severe) 0
- Bile duct injury
  - (Yes) 0 –
  - (No) 30 6%

**Post OP Complications:**
- Biliary leakage
  - (Yes) 2 0.4%
  - (No) 28 5.6%
- Bleeding
  - (Yes) 6 1.2%
  - (No) 24 4.8%
- Jaundice
  - (Yes) 1 0.2%
  - (No) 29 5.8%
- Post OP Infection
  - (Yes) 17 3.4%
  - (No) 13 2.6%

Average Time Taken  >120 minutes
Average Hospital Stay Time  2-4 days

There were (7) cases 1.4% had moderate and severe adhesions where fundus 1st technique done as abailout technique. Intra operative mild bleeding from bed of GB and tear in cystic artery in (3) cases 0.6% that controlled with applping clips and cauteryization. Gall bladder perforation in one case. Post-operative Biliary leakage was in one case 0.2% managed with conservative treatment it was minor leakage. Post operative Mild bleeding were in (2) 0.4% (port site bleeding, bleeding from bed of GB) it passed conservative. No post operative Jaundice was detected. Post-operative (port site) wound infection in one case (0.2%) had managed by IV antibiotic and daily wound dressing.

Table (9): Statement of Fundus First, intra and post operative complication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bailout Technique:</th>
<th>Fundus First Cholecystectomy</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>1.4%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Appearance:**
- Adhesion and inflammation
  - (No) 0
  - (Mild) 0
  - (Moderate) 6 1.2%
  - (Severe) 1 0.2%

**Intra OP Complications:**
- Bleeding
  - (No) 4 0.4%
  - (Mild) 3 0.6%
  - (Severe) 0
- Bile duct injury
  - (Yes) 0 –
  - (No) 7 1.4%
- Gall bladder perforation
  - (Yes) 1 0.2%
  - (No) 6 1.2%

**Post OP Complications:**
- Biliary leakage
  - (Yes) 1 0.2%
  - (No) 6 1.2%
- Bleeding
  - (Yes) 2 0.4%
  - (No) 5 1%
- Jaundice
  - (Yes) 0 –
  - (No) 7 1.4%
- Post OP Infection
  - (Yes) 1 0.2%
  - (No) 6 1.2%

Average Time Taken  90: 120 minutes
Average Hospital Stay Time  2-3 days

Out of 500 patients, there were three cases 0.6% had done tube Cholecystostomy, those patients we can’t did laparoscopic cholecystectomy and abort the procedure due to very bad condition in addition to severe adhesions, impending rupture and pyocele of gall bladder, for drainage and gall bladder dcompersion. Those three cases had done interventional tube Cholecystostomy, two cases by ultrasound guided and one done surgically.
Table (10): Statement of tube Cholecystostomy, intra and post-operative complication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bailout Technique</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>0.6%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholecystostomy Tube</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Appearance:**
- Adhesion and inflammation
  - (No) 0 –
  - (Mild) 0 –
  - (Moderate) 0 –
  - (Severe) 3 0.6%

**Intra OP Complications:**
- Bleeding
  - (No) 3 0.6%
  - (Mild) 0 –
  - (Severe) 0 –
- Bile duct injury
  - (Yes) 0 –
  - (No) 3 0.6%

**Post OP Complications:**
- Biliary leakage
  - (Yes) 0 –
  - (No) 3 0.6%
- Bleeding
  - (Yes) 0 –
  - (No) 3 0.6%
- Jaundice
  - (Yes) 0 –
  - (No) 3 0.6%
- Post OP Infection
  - (Yes) 1 0.2%
  - (No) 2 0.4%

**Average Time Taken**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Hospital Stay Time</th>
<th>&gt;120 minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Discussion**

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) is currently and worldwide considered the gold standard for the treatment of gallbladder cholestasis. Since its introduction, in the early 1990s, this procedure has gained a remarkable consensus until becoming a routine surgical procedure. LC is characterized by a reduction in postoperative pain, hospital stay and recovery times to normal daily activities, which translates into reduced costs for the national healthcare systems (NHS). However, this procedure comes with an increased incidence of bile duct injuries (BDI), compared to open cholecystectomy (OC): 0.3% to 0.8% vs 0.2% [13].

LC-related BDIs include minor injuries up to complex hilar injuries, as classified by Strasberg et al., in which the most severe types correspond to type E injuries including ongoing stricture, complete occlusion and resection or division of the bile ducts. The management of BDI may require additional treatments ranging from endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) to restorative surgery, up to hepatic transplantation in selected cases, leading to a significant increase in postoperative morbidity, mortality, and costs. Risk factors of BDI can be divided in patient and surgery related [14].

Although the focus in the current literature has been on biliary complications of LC, the risk of intraoperative bleeding has also been reported with a variable incidence in many series and case reports. Intra- or postoperative bleeding in case of LC represents an important, complication ranging from minor hematomas to significant bleeds (missed operative injuries, portside bleeding, clips over cystic artery) potentially requiring blood transfusion or re-intervention. It has been reported as the most frequent cause of procedure-related mortality in LC (after anesthesia-related deaths) [15].

The corner stone for performing a safe cholecystectomy include an adequate knowledge of normal anatomy and related variants, an identification of predictive factors for difficult surgery, and the employment of a correct technique. Since the introduction of laparoscopy, the "infundibular" technique (IT) and the intraoperative recognition of cystic duct and gallbladder junction for gallbladder hilar dissection have been primarily used. In alternative to IT, Strasberg introduced in 1995 the "Critical View of Safety" (CVS) to promote the recognition of the gallbladder elements to reduce the risk of BDI and to avoid mistakes due to anatomical alterations and altered visual perception [9].

The importance of the CVS was also recently recognized by the Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES), who encouraged the use of this technique in the "Safe Cholecystectomy Program" to minimize BDI risk and promoted the adoption of a universal culture of safety in cholecystectomy. However, despite the widespread use of CVS, a significant BDI decrease has not yet been recorded. Moreover, the scientific evidence supporting this technique to prevent BDI is controversial. Several studies, indeed, suggest that the regular use of CVS can reduce or eliminate the risk of BDI. Other studies contrast the widespread consensus for the technique in the scientific community, showing that CVS is not associated with a useful and correct application in clinical practice [16].

There are five bailout strategies for a difficult gallbladder: (1) Abort the procedure altogether;
(2) Convert to an open procedure; (3) Tube cholecystostomy; (4) Subtotal cholecystectomy (STC, open/laparoscopic); and (5) Fundus first cholecystectomy [17].

The main aim of this study was to verify the use of the critical view of safety during laparoscopic cholecystectomy in a rural hospital regarding safety, efficacy of the technique, difficulties during the technique, operative time and postoperative outcome at early learning curve and bailout techniques to do safe cholecystectomy.

A retrospective study was conducted in Kafr El Sheikh General Hospital included 500 patients who were presented with symptomatic cholelithiasis who were fit for laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anesthesia. The duration of the study was one year between (1-1-2019) - (1-1-2020). Detailed clinical history was obtained that included demographic data consisting of age, sex and obesity, history of previous hospitalization for acute cholecystitis, history of ERCP and comorbid diseases (diabetes, or elevated liver enzymes etc). Diagnosis of cholelithiasis was confirmed in patients presenting with abdominal symptoms using an abdominal ultrasonography (USG).

The main results of this study were as following:

The mean age of the studied group was 42 years. The majority of them (66%) were females and (34%) males. The mean BMI was 29.8 and 38% of them had DM.

In most literature, there female predominance in LC operation as the female gender has a most compelling association with gallstone disease, especially during the fertile years. Women are almost twice as likely as men to form stones [18].

The advantages of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the early 1990s led to a paradigm change and shift from open approach towards minimally invasive techniques. Meanwhile, the laparoscopic cholecystectomy is now the most common laparoscopic procedure performed in general surgery and considered to be the gold standard in the treatment of symptomatic cholelithiasis and acute/chronic cholecystitis [3].

In our study achievement of CVS was (84%), there were postoperative biliary leakage in this standard technique in (1%) in form of Strasberg (type A) as a minor injury, in difficult cases which achievement of CVS were difficult bailout techniques done as conversion to open where they had bile duct injury intra operative (0.4%), subtotal cholecystectomy post operative biliary leakage was (0.4%) in form of Strasberg (type A), bile duct injury was (0.2%) in form of Strasberg (type E1) post operative and fundus technique post operative biliary leakage was in one case (0.2%) in form of Strasberg (type A) as minor injury.

According to Dziodzio et al., [11], in the case presented, transection of the common bile duct was prevented through critical examination of the CVS. However, the importance of the CVS shall not be touted as a dogma. Instead, we recommend to use it as a framework, which shall help the surgeon to re-evaluate each surgical step before proceeding. Different anatomies can lead to misinterpretations and lead to pitfalls in hasty preparation situations. Injuries of the common bile duct are the most frequent bile duct injuries described in literature ranging from 66% to 72% of all bile duct lesions [19].

Buddingh et al., [20] stated that the critical-view-of-safety approach, directly establishing biliary anatomy, is accepted by most guidelines and considered as the surgical technique of choice to minimize BDI risk.

In another study of Buddingh et al., [20], the critical view of safety (CVS) technique is used by 97.6% of the surgeons. It is documented by 92.6%, mostly in the operation report (80.0%), but often augmented by photography (42.7%) or video (30.2%). If the CVS is not obtained, 50.9% of surgeons convert to the open approach, 39.1 % continue laparoscopically, and 10.0% perform additional imaging studies.

Cholecystectomy is one of the most frequently performed operations in the Western world, with over 750,000 yearly in the United States alone. Bile duct injury (BDI) is a greed complication of cholecystectomy. When the laparoscopic technique was introduced in the early 1990s an increase of BDI was noted from approximately 0.2% to about 0.5%. The burden of BDI on patients is considerable. Reinterventions through surgical, endoscopic, or radiologic procedures in specialized centers are frequently necessary. A recent study reported that BDI had a significant negative effect on quality of life even 10 years after the event. BDI is also associated with substantial financial burden for the health-care system: A British study calculated an average cost of 108,000£ (~175,000 $US) for major BDI (hospital and society costs) [21].

During laparoscopic cholecystectomy the primary cause of BDI is an error of visual perception (in 71-97% of cases), not insufficient technical skill of the surgeon. Factors that impede visual
assessment and increase the risk of BDI include past or ongoing inflammation, variant ductal anatomy, and limited surgical experience. It was reported that to minimize biliary injuries, the (CVS) should be obtained in every patient during laparoscopic cholecystectomy, regardless of difficulty during dissection of Calot's triangle. As it is a safe, effective and easily applied method even by junior surgeons with limited laparoscopic experience, so should be established as the standard in training for laparoscopic cholecystectomy [22].

The present study showed that the mean operative time was (90-120) minutes. In CVS the mean operative time was (75) minutes, in cases of conversion to open was >120 minutes, in cases of subtotal cholecystectomy was >120 minutes and in cases of fundus 1st technique was 120 minutes. There were (54) 10.8% of the studied group had intraoperative bleeding, there were severe bleeding with moderate adhesion in (18) cases 3.6% and five cases 1% had mild bleeding with moderate adhesion that managed with conversion to open. there were (3 1) cases 6.2% of the studied group had mild bleeding managed with compression, reevaluation, bipolar coagulations and clip applications. Postoperative mild bleeding was in (15) cases 3% managed conservatively, conversion rate due to intraoperative bleeding, biliary duct injury and adhesions in gallbladder and hepatocystic triangle was 8%.

Our results were supported by study of Sgaragarella et al., [23] as they reported that the study population was divided into two groups according to the evidence (Group A; n=11) or (Group B; N=593) absence of BDI and perioperative bleeding. The non-use of CVS was found in 54.6% of procedures in the Group A, and 25.8% in the Group B, and evaluating the operator-related variables the execution of CVS was associated with a significantly lower incidence of BDI and intraoperative bleeding. During the enrolment period, all involved centres registered a conversion rate ranging from 3 to 9% (average: 4.9%), and the most common reasons were the need for CBD exploration due to the altered Calot's triangle anatomy, BDI, and/or intraoperative bleeding. Conversion to open surgery were caused by BDI in 5 patients (14.3% of converted cases) and bleeding in one case (2.8%). No morality detected in preoperative period.

Our results were supported by study of Sgaragarella et al., [23] as they reported that among the cohort of 604 patients analysed, 8 (1.3%) cases presented bleeding that was conservatively managed. However, the duration of surgery exceeded 60min (range: 25-240min).

In the study in our hands the percentage of conversion was line with the conversion rate 8%, this percentage of conversion was line with the conversion rate that is reported to be between 3 and 24% [24].

The overall conversion rate in a study conducted by Nidoni et al., [25] was also 6%.

In the study of Vettoretto et al., [3], there were 2 patients had intraoperative hemorrhages, both controlled with bipolar coagulations and clip applications; 1 of the 2 patients required blood transfusions. Significant differences were found in the operative times. Both median times (51.5 min vs 69.7min) and average time divided by case-difficulty (defined by different grades of gallbladder inflammation) were in favor of the CVS approach. They compared the critical view of safety triangle approach with the infundibular approach in their retrospective cohort study.

However, Heistermann et al., [26] demonstrated that the mean operation time was 81 minutes.

The laparoscopic technique results in lower postoperative pain, shorter hospital stays and a proper cosmesis. In times before the laparoscopic era the incidence of biliary injuries after conventional open cholecystectomy amounted ~0.2%. However, despite of contemplated advantages, a rapid learning curve and constant improvements in methodology, the complication rates of bile duct injuries after laparoscopic cholecystectomy count from 0.4% to 0.5%, dependent on the underlying disease and remain higher than in the open approach. The most common cause of serious biliary injury is misidentification [9].

Due to above mentioned significant divergence between open and laparoscopic procedures Strasberg and colleagues in 1995 first suggested a three steps strategy called the “critical view of safety” (CVS), to minimize the risk of bile duct injuries in laparoscopic cholecystectomy [27].

In the study of Vettoretto et al., [3], no mortalities occurred in the series. Morbidity was 0.1% (1 patient) in group 1 and 0.2% in group 2 (2 patients). One biliary leak from the cystic duct in the first patient (acute gangrenous cholecystitis) resolved after an endoscopic sphincterotomy was performed on postoperative day 1.

The Strasberg's CVS was introduced with the purpose to overcome errors of interpretation of the visual field during dissection of the elements of the gallbladder, and this is supported by several
studies showing that the routinely use of CVS is associated with a reduction or even elimination of BDI. The safety of the maneuver described by Strasberg is confirmed by the present study in which it is found as a significant protective factor to prevent BDI and/or hemorrhagic complications.

Avgerinos et al., analyzed 1,046 patients who underwent LC. No BDI occurred in 998 cases when CVS was performed [10].

Although the aim of CVS is to reduce BDI during LC, there was no decrease in countries where its use has now become mandatory. Therefore, it has been hypothesized that CVS is useful in preventing major lesions (Type E) due to proper recognition of the anatomy, but fails to avoid injuries type A such as biliary fistulas. This is reflected in this study, in which a complete lesion of the major bile ducts located >2cm from the upper biliary confluent (type E 1) is reported among the non-Strasberg group [28].

When the CVS cannot be safely obtained during dissection of Calot's triangle, conversion to open surgery is advocated to prevent bile duct injury. However, there is a wide variation in the current literature of the conversion rate to open surgery and, in accordance with this reported experience, it ranges from 2 to 15% [29].

According to Al Masri et al., [30], surgery-related indications for conversion includes extensive adhesions, significant inflammation, intraoperative difficulty of bile ducts exploration, and major bleeding. Medical comorbidities (such as pulmonary disease) have been furthermore found to be a risk factor for conversion from laparoscopic to open surgery in different series and for different laparoscopic procedures.

In the study in our hands, the mean length of hospital stay was 2 days. The Achievement of Critical View of safety was (84%).

Our results were supported by study of Sgaramella et al., [23] as they reported that the correct application of CVS was observed in 73.7% (n=445) of LC, whereas the non-use of CVS was found in 26.3% (n=159).

Also, Daly et al., [31] reported that in total, 374 of 849 surgeons responded. The CVS was not correctly identified by 75% of surgeons descriptively and by 21% of surgeons visually.

Furthermore, van de Graaf et al., [16] reported that 98.2% of the respondents indicated incorporating the Critical View of Safety technique into current practice. However, only 72% of respondents performed the essential steps of the Critical View of Safety technique frequently. Subsequently, half of respondents were able to identify the corresponding steps of the Critical View of Safety technique, and only 16.9% were able to distinguish these adequately from possible harmful steps.

In the study of Zhang et al., [29], 53 of the 100 primary laparoscopic operated patients showed the signs of an acute cholecystitis. Only in 3 patients it was not possible to apply the “critical view of safety” resulting in a conversion to open cholecystectomy. Postoperative hospital stay ranged to 5.4 (1-18) days.

Conclusion:

The Critical View of Safety, when correctly applied, is confirmed to be the safest technique for recognizing the elements of the hepatocystic triangle, and it is associated with a significant impact in preventing intraoperative and postoperative complications (iatrogenic injuries and perioperative bleeding). In situations in which there is severe inflammation and adhesions in the porta hepatitis and neck of the gallbladder, the CVS can be difficult to achieve. Consideration of laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy or cholecystoectomy tube placement or laparoscopic fundus technique or conversion to an open procedure as different options for ablation techniques based on the judgment of the attending surgeon and senior staff on the operating room. In order to increase our learning skills in basic and advanced laparoscopic procedures with achievement of high learning curve, we need continuous medical education with workshop attendance and pelvitraining.
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تحقيق استخدام الرؤية الحرجة لتقنية السلامة في استئصال المراة بالمنظار الجراحي في مستشفى ريفي

المقدمة: تعد الجراحة الحدية من أكثر الأمراض شيوعًا وأحد أهم أمراض الأم الباطنية والتي تحدث في حوالي 1-2٪ من الأشخاص.

على الرغم من أنها عادة ما تواجه دون أعراض في أكثر من 80٪ من المرضى إلا أن 1-2٪ من المرضى سوف يعانون يوماً ما من الأعراض التي تتطلب استئصال المراة سريريًا مما يجعل عملية استئصال المراة العملية لأمهت في الجراحة العامة. وقد تم تحسين استئصال المراة بشكل كبير إلى الاستئصال PACK منذ عام 1987 وأصبح الاستئصال بالمنظار البصري الذري لليلة الاستئصال والعذرية الأول

المريض، وتحقيق اللمبي من كونها عملية كانت إلى الحد الأدنى للتدخل الجراحي بسبب الألم الأقل بعد الجراحة والنتائج التجميلية الأفضل.

وقت النضج البالغ والعدة طويلة مبكرًا للنضج المعتاد.

الهدف من الدراسة: هدف من هذا العمل هو التحقق من استخدام إجراء (الرؤية الحرجة للسالة) أثناء استئصال المراة بالمنظار

الجراحي في مستشفى ريفي في منصتي التعليم المبكر فيما يتعلق بسلامة وفعالية التكنولوجيا والصعوبات أثناء النقل التقني وضغط العملية الجراحية ونتائج ما بعد الجراحة.

الأدوات وطرق البحث والعينة المستخدمة: تم إجراء هذه الدراسة في قسم الجراحة العامة في مستشفى كفر الشيخ العام على مفترض

الحالات التي خضع لاستئصال المراة بالمنظار الجراحي بما فيها حالات التحول للفن الجراحي في الفترة ما بين يناير 2019 إلى يناير 2020. تم أخذ التاريخ المرضي وأنساق المقابلة والفحص البدني والسيانور التشريح هو وجمع أحداث العملية من ملفات المرضى بحرص وتطبيقها بما في ذلك العمر والبنية وتاريخ دخول المستشفى السابق ومؤثر كتبة الجسم ووجود أي نوبة بالغين والتحاليل الطبية والبيانات الموجات الصوتية وبيانات الجهاز الجراحية للسالة.

النتائج: فيما يتعلق بالمرضى، كشفت نتائج العمل الحالي أن 20٪ (25٪) من المرضى كانت تقع في الفئة العمرية (0-20) سنة، 25.2٪ (24٪) من الفئة العمرية (21-40) سنة، 22.6٪ (26٪) من الفئة العمرية (41-60) سنة، بينما 20.1٪ (21٪) من المرضى كانوا ضمن الفئة العمرية (61-80) سنة، و4.9٪ (4.9٪) من المرضى كانوا ضمن الفئة العمرية (81-100) سنة، و4.9٪ (4.9٪) من المرضى كانوا ضمن الفئة العمرية (81-100) سنة.

الاستنتاج: كثير من الباحثين يتمسكون بالدكتور في الاستنتاج أن الاستئصال بالمنظار الجراحي في حالات الطب البصري لل зрения المحتملة للرئة للسالة. كما أظهر الاستنتاج الجراحي في حالات الباب البيضاء للتنظيم طويلة مرحلة، وحالة جراحية في حالات الباب البيضاء للتنظيم طويلة مرحلة، وحالة جراحية في حالات الباب البيضاء للتنظيم طويلة مرحلة. كما أظهر الاستنتاج الجراحي في حالات الباب البيضاء للتنظيم طويلة مرحلة، وحالة جراحية في حالات الباب البيضاء للتنظيم طويلة مرحلة.