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Abstract

Background: Recent applications and developments have increased the accuracy of preoperative corneal shape and refractive power evaluations prior to phacoemulsification with intraocular lens (IOL) implantation. The toric IOL has been shown to have substantial efficacy in correcting astigmatism. Accurate keratometry values (K-values) can be used as part of surgical planning for corneal incision design, astigmatic keratotomy (AK), the management of surgery-induced astigmatism (SIA), and correcting postoperative residual astigmatism. Several previous studies have compared corneal astigmatism measurements obtained using a range of keratometers.

Aim of Study: To measure the correlation coefficient for the keratometric measurements of VERION Image Guided System, an optical biometry (IOLMaster) and corneal topography (Oculus Keratograph) in Egyptian cataractous patients.

Patients and Methods: A forty-five eyes of cataractous patients were included in this cross-sectional observational study which was approved by the Ethics Committees of the Ain Shams University Hospitals.

Results: This study demonstrated excellent correlations between the Keratograph and each of VERION and IOLMaster for the Km values, good correlation for the axis and astigmatism between Keratograph and VERION, and moderate correlation between Keratograph and IOLMaster for axis and astigmatism. The agreement of keratometry measurements (Km and astigmatism) obtained from VERION versus Keratograph ranged between –1.3845 to 2.0783 and –1.0616 to 1.2913 respectively and for IOLMaster versus Keratograph are 0.7528 to 1.2510 and –0.9396 to 1.2463 respectively.

Conclusion: No differences in km, astigmatism and axis were observed between the VERION, IOLMaster and Keratograph. The keratometry values obtained with the VERION system were slightly steeper than those obtained with other devices except for astigmatism.
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Introduction

THE prevalence of astigmatism increases with age. Most of studies reporting that approximately 30% of patients undergoing cataract surgery present more than 1.5 D of preexisting corneal astigmatism [1].

This astigmatism must be corrected to achieve a real spectacle independence after cataract surgery, with the presence of a minimal postoperative refractive error. It should be considered that currently patients undergoing cataract surgery are more demanding [2].

For this reason, toric IOL designs were developed to provide a correction of not only spherical but also astigmatic refractive errors. The selection of the cylindrical power of toric IOLs is based on the measurement of corneal astigmatism which should be very accurate to avoid inadequate IOL power calculations. Currently, there are many devices providing measurements of corneal curvature and astigmatism that can be used for toric IOL power calculation [3].

Recently, the cataract surgery has evolved to a refractive surgery in a way that surgeons can customize the refractive outcome in every case, but surgical planning has also become a great challenge to meet patients’ visual expectations [4].

Increased patient expectations can only be met with an excellent refractive outcome. The final refractive outcome mostly depends on accurate clinical measurements of corneal power, anterior chamber depth, axial length, and IOL power calculations. Correct measurement of corneal astigmatism and axis location is a key to astigmatism...
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correction during cataract surgery by either toric IOLs or astigmatic keratotomies [8].

Nowadays, these values can be measured using different devices. The IOLMaster (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Germany) has become the gold standard by setting non-contact thorough biometry avoiding indentation and infection risk [6].

A newer system arrived on the market and intended to provide a complete preoperative and postoperative assessment called the “VERION Image Guided System” (Alcon Laboratories Inc., Fort Worth, TX). With this new system, a question is raised concerning the reproducibility of its keratometric measurements and the differences in the data obtained by this new system and the standard ones [7].

VERION Image Guided system captures and utilizes a high-resolution reference image of a patient's eye to determine the radii and corneal curvature of steep and flat axes, corneal diameter, pupil position and diameter, and corneal reflex position.

Besides, it provides intraoperative surgical planning functions that use the reference image and intraoperative measurements to assist planning cataract surgical procedures, including the number and location of incisions and the appropriate IOL using existing formulas. It links to compatible surgical microscopes to display concurrently the reference and microscope images, allowing the surgeon to account for lateral and rotational eye movements.

In addition, the planned capsulorhexis position and radius, IOL positioning, and implantation axis can be overlaid on a computer screen or the physician's microscope view at the time of surgery. This suite may be especially useful in cases where the implantation of a toric IOL is being planned [8].

In a prospective clinical trial, the right eyes of 52 patients with cataract were examined. Flat K readings of VERION were higher than IOLMaster. Steep K readings were different for all two. There were excellent correlations between the VERION and IOLMaster for K1, K2, and Km values [9].

Aim of the work:
To measure the correlation coefficient for the keratometric measurements of VERION Image Guided System, an optical biometry (IOLMaster) and corneal topography in Egyptian cataractous patients.

Patients and Methods
A forty-five eyes of cataractous patients were included in this cross-sectional observational study from June 2020 to March 2021 which was approved by the Ethics Committees of the Ain Shams University Hospitals.

Inclusion criteria: Patients coming for cataract surgery.

Exclusion criteria: Uncooperative patients, history of prior intraocular or corneal surgery, history of ocular trauma, corneal or anterior segment disease that could affect the keratometric outcome, and contact lens wear.

Examination technique: Complete history including demographic data (age, sex, full medical history (systemic diseases, medications and surgical history) and ophthalmic history (previous ocular surgical intervention, eye drops usage, past ocular pathology and history of trauma) was taken. Full ophthalmological examination including: Best corrected visual acuity measurement using Snellen's chart with the conversion of the values to LogMAR notation for statistical analysis. External examination of the eyelid and conjunctiva. Anterior segment examination using slit lamp biomicroscopy. All eyes were examined by optical biometry IOLMaster 500 (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Germany). All eyes were examined by Keratograph Topography (OCULUS, Germany).

All eyes were examined by IOLMaster: Each patient was comfortably positioned at the instrument with proper placement on the chin rest and forehead strap. We told the patient to blink his/her eye shortly before the measurement to produce a continuous tear film and asked to fixate on the fixation target then we aligned the IOLMaster as the six peripheral measuring points should be symmetrical to the circular crosshair and appear optimally focused before taking the measurement.

Then each patient was comfortably positioned at Keratograph Topography on the chin rest and forehead strap. The patient was asked to blink a few times and to open both eyes and stare at the fixation target. After proper alignment was obtained, the keratometry measurement was taken.

Then Patients were seated at VERION Image Guided System in an upright position with their chin and forehead properly resting against the chinrest on the measurement module of the image-guided system. They were instructed to stare com-
fortably at the fixation light. Using the joystick, we target the centre of the cornea obtaining a sharp image. During the adjustment, several infrared photographs are taken and a green circle appears on the centre of the cornea. At that point, we capture the information provided. The four signals appearing on the monitor: "Centration", "Corneal Power", "Focus" and "Fixation", are shown in green reflecting accuracy. On the snapshot taken, "Astigmatism", "Vessel" and "Corneal Power" aimed for green as well. The same procedure was repeated three times consecutively allowing the patient to blink and rest away from the measurement module between measurements.

**Fig. (1): Print out of Oculus Keratograph Topography.**

**Fig. (2): K reading by VERION Image Guided System.**

**Statistical analysis:**

Data were collected, revised, coded and entered to the Statistical Package for Social Science (IBM SPSS) version 23 and MedCalc Statistics version 19. The quantitative data with parametric distribution were presented as mean, standard deviations and ranges. Qualitative variables were presented as number and percentages. The comparison between more than two independent groups with quantitative data and parametric distribution was done by using One Way ANOVA test. The comparison between two paired groups with quantitative data and parametric distribution was done by using Paired t-test. Bland Altman curves with intraclass correlation coefficients and linear regression was used to assess the difference and agreement between two quantitative parameters. The confidence interval was set to 95% and the margin of alpha error
accepted was set to 5%. So, the p-value was considered significant as the following: p-value >0.05: Non significant (NS). p-value <0.05: Significant (S). p-value <0.01: Highly significant (HS).

Results

The 45 patients included 22 females (48.9%) and 23 males (51.1%) with age ranged from 24 to 92 years (mean ± SD = 67.5 ± 10.9 years), the corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA) mean ± SD was 0.29 ± 0.09.

Table (1): Demographic and clinical data of the studied patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. = 45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age: Mean ± SD</td>
<td>67.53 ± 10.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>24-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>22 (48.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>23 (51.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L laterality:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right eyes</td>
<td>28 (62.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left eyes</td>
<td>17 (37.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDVA: Mean ± SD</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.1-0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CDVA: Corrected distance visual acuity.

Table (2): Comparison between the three studied devices regarding the studied parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Keratograph</th>
<th>IOL Master</th>
<th>VERION</th>
<th>Test value p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>43.06 ± 2.03</td>
<td>43.27 ± 2.07</td>
<td>43.45 ± 2.01</td>
<td>0.405 0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>38.6-49.3</td>
<td>38.66-49.56</td>
<td>39.12-49.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>44.48 ± 2.02</td>
<td>44.84 ± 2.16</td>
<td>44.99 ± 2.12</td>
<td>0.676 0.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>39.5-50.5</td>
<td>39.71-52.41</td>
<td>40.47-52.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST: Mean ± SD</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.75</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.74</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.513 0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.3-3.2</td>
<td>0.35-3.39</td>
<td>0.22-3.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km: Mean ± SD</td>
<td>43.81 ± 1.99</td>
<td>44.05 ± 2.08</td>
<td>44.15 ± 2.06</td>
<td>0.345 0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>39.05-49.9</td>
<td>39.19-51</td>
<td>39.8-50.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3): Intraclass correlation coefficients for each of IOL Master and VERION image guided system regarding Km, astigmatism and axis taking Keratograph as a gold standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VERION</th>
<th>IOL Master</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Km</td>
<td>0.9752</td>
<td>0.9292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism</td>
<td>0.8806</td>
<td>0.7108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axis</td>
<td>0.7554</td>
<td>0.5073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4): The interdevice differences in Axis, Km, and the magnitude of the astigmatism measurements for “VERION and Keratograph “, “IOL Master and Keratograph” devices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VERION and Keratograph</th>
<th>IOL Master and Keratograph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M±SD interdevice difference</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Spans of limits of agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axis</td>
<td>12.4867±61.744</td>
<td>-6.063 to 31.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km</td>
<td>0.3469±0.8834</td>
<td>0.08149 to 0.6123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism</td>
<td>0.1149±0.6002</td>
<td>-0.06544 to 0.2952</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Cataract surgery has changed dramatically in recent years, both in terms of the technologies used in preoperative planning and during surgery. Only an excellent refractive outcome can meet increased patient expectations. Accurate clinical measurements of corneal power, anterior chamber depth, axial length, and intraocular lens (IOL) power calculations are critical to the final refractive outcome. The correct measurement of corneal astigmatism and axis location is critical for astigmatism correction during cataract surgery using toric intraocular lenses or astigmatic keratotomy El Hofi [10].

The aim of this study was to measure the correlation coefficient for the keratometric measurements of VERION Image Guided System, an optical biometry (IOLMaster) and corneal topography Keratograph in Egyptian cataractous patients.

This study enrolled 45 cataractous patients, (51.1%) were males with age mean ± SD was 67.53 ± 10.92 years.

In this study, the mean corneal astigmatism was (1.42 ± 0.75, 1.57 ± 0.74 and 1.53 ± 0.75) for Keratograph, IOLMaster and VERION, respectively, with ranging (0.22-3.39) D.
In line with Lin et al., [11] study, as the mean corneal astigmatism was 0.96 ± 0.58 D, ranging from 0.07 D to 3.54 D. Hoffmann and Hütz [12] and Ferrer-Blasco et al., [13] found that 64.4% and 22.2% of cataract patients had (0.25-1.25) D and 1.25 D corneal astigmatism, respectively.

In the current study, K1, K2, and Km obtained with the VERION system were slightly steeper than those obtained with other devices. However, there was no statistically significant difference found between the three studied devices regarding flat K1, K2, Astigmatism, Km and the Flat axis.

The study by Mueller et al., [7] reported similar results in the comparison of VERION and LenStar LS900 (OLCR device), and Schultz et al., [14] also found that the Ks values of VERION were slightly steeper than those of AL-Scan and OPD III.

Wu et al., [15] who compared the keratometric measurements of the VERION with the IOLMaster 700 and the Oculus Scheimpflug corneal topography (Pentacam), found no significant difference between the three devices regarding K1, K2, Km and corneal astigmatism magnitude among the three instruments.

In same hand El Hofi [10] study compared between LENSTAR (LS9000,..) and VERION in toric intraocular lens power calculation, as they demonstrated no statistical differences between the two groups regarding the K1 values (p=0.072), K2 values (p=0.394), and astigmatism values (p=0.218); however, there was a statistical difference between the two groups regarding the average K values (p=0.010).

Also Lin et al., [11] study compared corneal power obtained from VERION system, Placido-based corneal topography (OPD-Scan III), monochromatic light-emitting diodes (LenStar LS900 and AL-Scan), and rotary prism technology (auto kerato-refractometer KR-8800). They found no differences between the VERION Reference Unit, AL-Scan, and LenStar devices, which are all automated keratometers that rely on the projection of light onto the corneal surface in order to obtain K-values measurements.

In other side, we differ with Asena et al., [16] study aimed to make a comparison of keratometric measurements obtained by the VERION with optical biometry and auto-keratorefractometer (AKR), as they foundK1 readings of VERION were steeper than IOLMaster and AKR (p=0.01 and p=0.02, respectively). Steep K readings were different for all three devices (p<0.05). Mean K readings of VERION were also higher than IOLMaster and AKR (p=0.01 and p=0.01, respectively). The magnitude of astigmatism by VERION and IOLMaster were 0.98±0.65D and 0.98±0.59D (p=0.88). The mean astigmatism measured by AKR was 0.82 ± 0.62D, less than other two instruments (p<0.001).

In Labiris et al., [17] study, to evaluate the level of agreement of IOLMaster and VERION in terms of keratometric values and IOL power as the keratometric values (K1, K2, and Km) obtained by the VERION were significantly steeper than the ones obtained by the IOLMaster.

The difference in the average K values between the different devices can be attributed to the different technologies implemented in different devices for K reading acquisition.

In the current study there were excellent correlations between the Keratograph and each of VERION and IOLMaster for the Km values, good correlation was found for the axis and astigmatism between Keratograph and VERION, and moderate correlation was present for axis and Astigmatism between Keratograph and IOLMaster.

Nemeth et al., stated that the 'VERION reference unit' exhibits high measurement repeatability for all obtained keratometric measurements and shows high correlations with the data of the IOLMaster, making it suitable as an alternative tool in clinical practice [8].

Asena et al., found that there were excellent correlations between the VERION and IOLMaster for the K1, K2, and Km values. Although there was excellent correlation between the K1 and Km values obtained with VERION and AKR, there was only moderate correlation for the K2 value. All devices showed moderate correlation among each other for the magnitude of astigmatism and the strongest correlation was between VERION and IOLMaster for this value Asena et al., [16].

Lin et al., [11] study demonstrated moderate to high correlations for all parameters measured using VERION compared to those measured using other devices.

Asena et al., [16] also study the mean interdevice differences in K1, K2, Km, and the magnitude of astigmatism, and the 95% limits of agreement for the VERION and the IOLMaster, the VERION and the AKR, the IOLMaster and the AKR are for Km (–0.79 to 1.13, –0.83 to 1.34 and –0.62 to 0.79) respectively and magnitude of astigmatism (–0.006 to 0.02, –0.54 to 0.87 and –0.47 to 0.79).
The agreement of keratometry measurements (Km and astigmatism) obtained from VERION versus Keratograph was ranged between (−1.3845-2.0783 and 1.0616-1.2913) respectively and for IOLMaster versus Keratograph are (0.7528-1.2510 and −0.9396-1.2463) respectively.

The width of the 95% limits of agreement for K1, K2, and Km values range between 1.91 D and 2.10 D for the difference between VERION and IOLMaster and between 2.04 D and 2.50 D for the difference between VERION and AKR. The width of the 95% LoA for magnitude of astigmatism difference was narrowest between VERION and IOLMaster (0.026 D) in Asena et al., [16].

The differences in Km, which affects the IOL power selection, are quite small and are not expected to affect the final astigmatic correction. Differences in measurement zone diameter and data points of these three instruments probably caused the difference. On the other hand, astigmatic axis measurement differences between instruments can be more detrimental on final astigmatic corrections.

Patient head tilt during the measurements can be the underlying reason and the caution of the technician can contribute to decrease these differences.

**Conclusion:**

This study demonstrated excellent correlations between the Keratograph and each of VERION and IOLMaster for the Km values, good correlation was found for the axis and Astigmatism between Keratograph and VERION, and moderate correlation was present for axis and Astigmatism between Keratograph and IOLMaster. No differences were observed between the VERION Reference Unit, IOLMaster and Keratograph which are all automated keratometers that rely on the projection of light onto the corneal surface in order to obtain K-values measurements. The keratometry values obtained with the VERION system were slightly greater than those obtained with other devices except for astigmatism.
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