Cervical Stabilization Exercises Versus Scapular Stabilization Exercises in Treatment of Chronic Mechanical Neck Pain
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Abstract

**Background:** Mechanical neck pain is pain in the cervical region accompanied by restriction of range of motion and functional limitation which results in significant use of medication, work related absenteeism, impaired performance and poor quality of life. Spinal stabilization exercises are popular for treating and preventing musculoskeletal spinal disorders by the activation of deep muscles and controlling the over activity of surface muscles.

**Aim of Study:** The aim of this study was to compare between the efficacy of cervical stabilization exercises and scapular stabilization exercises on neck pain severity, neck functional disability and neck mobility in treatment of patients with chronic mechanical neck pain.

**Material and Methods:** Forty male and female patients diagnosed as chronic mechanical neck pain whose age ranged between 30 to 50 years with duration of illness between 3 and 12 months participated in this study. They were randomly distributed into two equal experimental groups.

The first group received cervical stabilization exercises which consisted of strengthening exercises for deep neck flexors and extensors muscles added to stretching exercises for pectoralis minor, upper trapezius and sternocleidomastoid muscles. The second group received scapular stabilization exercises which consisted of strengthening exercises for serratus anterior, middle trapezius and lower trapezius muscles added to stretching exercises similar to the first group. All patients were treated for 12 sessions (3 sessions/week) every other day for four weeks.

**Results:** Both groups had significant improvement in all the measured variables. Scapular stabilization exercises was significantly more effective than cervical stabilization exercises in increasing neck transverse mobility. However, there was no significant difference between groups in neck pain severity, functional disability, neck sagittal and coronal mobility.
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neck anatomically and functionally [11,12]. It was found that using scapular stabilization exercises in treatment of patients with chronic mechanical neck pain had significant effect on neck pain, functional disability, neck posture, quality of life and cervical range of motion [13,14].

To our knowledge there are no previous studies that compared between the pure effect of cervical stabilization exercises versus scapular stabilization exercises in treatment of patients with chronic mechanical neck pain. Therefore the purpose of this current study was to compare between the effect of these two types of exercises in treatment of chronic mechanical neck pain.

**Subjects and Methods**

This study was conducted in the outpatient clinic of the Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University, Egypt From Dec. 2020 – April 2021. Forty male and female patients diagnosed as chronic mechanical neck pain participated in this study, their age ranged between 30 to 50 years with duration of illness ranged between 3 and 12 months. All patients were referred by orthopedic surgeons who diagnosed the cases based on the clinical and radiological examinations. Patients were randomly distributed into two equal treatment groups. All patients were treated for 12 sessions (3 sessions/week) every other day for four weeks.

The first group consisted of 20 patients, their mean age was 43.95 (±6.51) years, mean weight was 76.70 (±17.83) kg and mean height was 161 (±7.65) cm. The second group consisted of 20 patients, their mean age was 43.90 (±5.57) years, their mean weight was 82.88 (±10.90) kg and mean height was 161.70 (±5.13) cm.

Each patient was assessed pretreatment within 2-3 days before the first session and post treatment within 2-3 days after the last session by measuring neck pain severity, functional disability and neck mobility in the sagittal, coronal and transverse planes.

Neck pain severity was assessed using an 11-point numerical pain rating scale based on the work of Farrar et al. [15]. The patient was asked to choose only one number on this scale which consists of a 10cm line divided from 0 to 10 with 1cm interval. It was explained to patient that 0 means no pain at all and 10 means the worst imaginable pain. In this study the Arabic version of the numerical pain rating scale was used [16].

Neck functional disability was assessed by the neck disability index based on the work of Vernon and Mior [17]. It consists of 10 sections, each section consists of 6 possible statements and each statement is scored from 0 (no disability) to 5 (total disability). The maximum possible score is 50. The patient was asked to answer each section by marking one choice that most applies to him. The Arabic version of the neck disability index was used in this study [18].

Neck mobility in the sagittal, coronal and transverse planes was measured by the Myrin (OB) goniometer (the version used was OB goniometer Myrin, Lic-Rehab,17183 Solna, Sweden) based on the work of Mohamed and Shendy [19]. It consists of a fluid filled rotatable component container mounted on a plate. The container has a compass needle, an inclination needle and a scale on the container floor giving range of motion on tenth of degree. It is fixed to the appropriate part of the head with the compass needle measures motion in the transverse plane and the inclination needle measures motion in the coronal and sagittal planes [20].

Patients in the first group received cervical stabilization exercises which consisted of strengthening exercises for deep neck flexors and deep neck extensors based on the work of Petersen [21] and Durall [22]. Each strengthening exercise was held for 10 seconds and was repeated 10 times for 3 sets of repetitions. In addition to that they received stretching exercises for pectoralis minor, sternocleidomastoid and upper trapezius muscles based on the work of Borstad and Ludewig [23], Bodespardo et al. [24] and Kisner et al. [25]. Stretching was held for 30 seconds followed by 30 seconds of relaxation. Each stretching exercise was given for 3 repetitions on each side for each muscle.

Patients in the second group received scapular stabilization exercises which consisted of strengthening exercises for serratus anterior, middle trapezius and lower trapezius muscles according to the work of Flynn et al. [26]. Each strengthening exercise was held for 10 seconds and was repeated for 10 times with 3 sets of repetitions on each side for each muscle. In addition to strengthening exercises they also received stretching exercises similar to the first group.

**Results**

Pretreatment comparison between groups of the demographic data (age, weight and height) was done using the unpaired t-test showed that there was no significant difference between groups (p>0.05). Pretreatment comparison between groups of neck pain severity, functional disability and
neck sagittal, coronal, transverse mobility was done by using the unpaired $t$-test also showed no significant difference between groups ($p>0.05$).

Post treatment within groups difference using the paired $t$-test showed that there was significant difference between the pretreatment means and the post treatment means of neck pain severity, functional disability and neck sagittal, coronal and transverse mobility within groups as shown in Tables (1,2).

Table (1): Within the first group (cervical stabilization exercises) difference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Pretreatment Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>Post treatment Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>$t$-value</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain severity</td>
<td>6.75 (±2.20)</td>
<td>3.05 (±1.67)</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional disability</td>
<td>0.38 (±0.16)</td>
<td>0.15 (±0.13)</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal mobility</td>
<td>53.42 (±5.24)</td>
<td>57.48 (±6.77)</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal mobility</td>
<td>43.05 (±7.19)</td>
<td>49.58 (±6.44)</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse mobility</td>
<td>66.12 (±7.25)</td>
<td>72.33 (±8.14)</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference.

Table (2): Within the second group (scapular stabilization exercises) difference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Pretreatment Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>Post treatment Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>$t$-value</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain severity</td>
<td>6.80 (±1.93)</td>
<td>3.70 (±1.65)</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional disability</td>
<td>0.37 (±0.15)</td>
<td>0.15 (±0.11)</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal mobility</td>
<td>56.42 (±4.70)</td>
<td>61.26 (±5.60)</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal mobility</td>
<td>46.42 (±4.79)</td>
<td>50.92 (±4.77)</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse mobility</td>
<td>71.33 (±9.68)</td>
<td>79.73 (±10.59)</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference.

Post treatment between groups difference using the unpaired $t$-test showed that there was no significant difference between the post treatment means of neck pain severity, functional disability and neck sagittal and coronal mobility. However, there was significant difference between the post treatment means of neck transverse mobility in favor of scapular stabilization exercises group as shown in Table (3).

Table (3): Post treatment between groups difference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Cervical stabilization exercises group Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>Scapular stabilization exercises group Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>$t$-value</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain severity</td>
<td>3.05 (±1.67)</td>
<td>3.70 (±1.65)</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional disability</td>
<td>0.15 (±0.13)</td>
<td>0.15 (±0.11)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal mobility</td>
<td>57.48 (±6.77)</td>
<td>61.26 (±5.60)</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal mobility</td>
<td>49.58 (±6.44)</td>
<td>50.92 (±4.77)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse mobility</td>
<td>72.33 (±8.14)</td>
<td>79.73 (±10.59)</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference.

Discussion

Our results showed that scapular stabilization exercises was more effective than cervical stabilization exercises in increasing neck transverse mobility. However, both treatments are equally effective in reducing neck pain severity, functional disability and increasing neck sagittal and coronal mobility.

In our current study, there was a significant reduction in neck pain severity in patients treated with cervical stabilization exercises. This result is in agreement with Rajalaxmi et al. [27], Akodu et al. [28] and Ashfaq & Riaz [29].

There was also a significant reduction of neck pain severity in patients treated with scapular stabilization exercises. This findings is in agreement with Park & Lee [30], Chuachan et al. [31] and Ganu & Gor [32].

Reduction of neck pain through spinal stabilization exercises according to Kaka & Ogwumike [33] is due to increasing activity in the motor pathways which leads to inhibitory effect on pain centers in the brain. Stimulation of mechanoreceptors including muscle spindle, Golgi tendon organ and proprioceptors of the joints which cause release of endogenous opioids and endorphins from the pituitary gland may also cause both peripheral and central pain to be blocked [34-36].

According to Falla et al. [37] and Falla et al. [38] reduction of pain with cervical stabilization exercises is due to activation of deep cervical flexors which have more type II fibers than type I and have a high density of muscle spindles which affects posture and stability [39]. Scapular correction and decreasing compressive forces on the cervical facets after scapular stabilization exercises are believed to be the causes for reduction of pain in the scapular stabilization exercises group [40,41].

In our current study, there was a significant reduction of neck functional disability in patients treated with cervical stabilization exercises. This result is in agreement with the findings of Rajalaxmi et al. [27], Akodu et al. [28] and Suvarnanno et al. [42].

There was also a significant decrease in neck functional disability in patients treated with scapular stabilization exercises. This result coincides with the findings of Park & Lee [30], Chuachan et al. [31] and Ganu & Gor [32].

Iqbal et al. [43] reported that reduction of neck functional disability after cervical stabilization
exercises was due to reduction of neck pain intensity. The relationship between neck pain and neck disability is quite strong as pain intensity is one of the ten areas addressed in the neck disability index [44]. According to Suvarnnatno et al. [42] improvement of strength of deep cervical flexors and deep cervical extensors resulted in reduction of neck pain and associated disability.

Park & Lee [30] and Chuachan et al. [31] reported that improvement of neck functional disability with scapular stabilization exercises is attributed to the increase of the strength of upper trapezius, serratus anterior and lower trapezius muscles which decreases the imbalance between the scapular muscles and improves these muscles length-tension. This helps to regain proper posture of neck and scapula and reduction of functional disability.

In our current study, there was a significant increase of sagittal, coronal and transverse neck mobility in patients treated with cervical stabilization exercises. This result is in agreement with the findings of Ammar [45], Yesil et al. [46] and Bhatikar et al. [47].

Inhibition of pain through increased muscle strength by cervical stabilization exercises targeting weakened deep neck muscles and the concomitant decrease in spasm of superficial muscles may have contributed to the achievement of these results. At the same time, a reduction in neck pain severity may have increased soft tissue flexibility, thereby increasing neck range of motion. The improvement in motor control through exercise may have also led to a reduction in over-straining and an improvement in the mobility of the neck [46].

There was also a significant increase in sagittal, coronal and transverse neck mobility in patients treated with scapular stabilization exercises. This result is similar to the findings of Ashwini et al. [14]. Ganu & Gor [32] and Lee et al. [48].

According to Javdaneh et al. [49], scapular exercises focused on increasing activation of the upward rotation muscles of the scapula (serratus anterior, upper trapezius and lower trapezius muscles). Improving the function and strength of the weak muscles and the balance between the scapular upward and downward rotator muscles can improve scapular alignment. This leads to a reduction of pain and it is likely that this reduction in pain will release the muscles from tension and allow the cervical spine to move more.

We attribute our findings as scapular stabilization exercises were more effective than cervical stabilization exercises in improving neck transverse mobility due to combination of upper trapezius stretching and strengthening of middle trapezius, lower trapezius and serratus anterior muscles. The upper trapezius and levator scapula muscles act together to elevate the scapula and levator scapula acts on cervical spine to induce rotation. Improvement of upper trapezius muscle tension and scapular muscles strength may lead to reduction of levator scapulae muscle spasm and increase its flexibility which consequently leads to increase in neck transverse mobility due to its anatomical link between the cervical spine and the scapula.

According to Helgadottir et al. [50], the mechanism of increase in the rotation range of motion induced by scapular stabilization exercises could be attributed to the effect of these exercises which normalize the activity of the levator scapulae and trapezius muscles, thereby reducing the abnormal load on the posterior neck structures. Any alteration in the scapular position causes increased tension on the cervicoscapular muscles i.e. upper trapezius and the levator scapulae muscles which can limit the cervical rotation range of motion [51].

Conclusion:

The use of cervical stabilization exercises or scapular stabilization exercises is effective method for treatment of chronic mechanical neck pain patients between 30-50 years. Any of these two exercise programs can be used to reduce neck pain severity and functional disability as well as increase neck sagittal, coronal and transverse mobility. However, scapular stabilization exercises is more effective in increasing neck transverse mobility.
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تمرينات التثبيت العنقى مقابل تمرينات تثبيت لوح الكتف في علاج ألم الرقبة الميكانيكي المزمن

الخلافي: ألم الرقبة الميكانيكي هو ألم في الجانب الخلفي والجانبي للرقبة بين الخض الخلفي العلوي وشوكه الفقرة الصدرية الأولى مع عدم وجود علامات أو أعراض بنائية رئيسية. وقد ثبت أن تمرينات التثبيت العنقى تقلل الألم والشعور مع مرضى ألم الرقبة الميكانيكي المزمن من طريق زيادة تنشيط عضلات الرقبة العميقة. كما تتوفر تمرينات تثبيت لوح الكتف القدرة على الحركة وكمبيار ووظيفية للمرضى الذين يعانون من ألم الرقبة الميكانيكي المزمن.

الهدف من البحث: الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو مقارنة تأثير تمرينات التثبيت العنقى مقابل تمرينات تثبيت لوح الكتف على شدة ألم الرقبة والإعاقة الوظيفية والمدى الحركي للرقبة لمريضي ألم الرقبة الميكانيكي المزمن.

الطريقة المستخدمة: شارك في الدراسة أربعة مريضاً من الذكور وإناث تتراوح أعمارهم من 30 إلى 50 عاماً. تم تقسيم المرضى إلى مجموعتين علاجيتين. المجموعة الأولى تم علاجهم بتمرينات تثبيت لوح الكتف وعضلات الرقبة العميقة. المجموعة الثانية تم علاجهم بتمرينات تثبيت عضلات الظهر الأمامية والعضلة شبه المنحنية الوسطى والسفلية.

بالإضافة إلى أن كل المجموعتين تلقى تمرينات الاستطالة للعضلة الظهرية الصغرى، العضلة القلبية الناحية العضلة شبه المنحنية العلوي. تم علاج جميع المرضى في المجموعتين لمدة 12 جلسة أسبوعياً على مدار 4 أسابيع.

النتائج: أظهرت النتائج الإحصائية الخاصة بكل مجموعة إنخفاضاً ملحوظاً في شدة ألم الرقبة، الإعاقة الوظيفية وزيادة ملوحة في المرونة السهية والإعاقة والوظيفة للرقبة. بينما أظهر التحليل الإحصائي بين المجموعتين أن تمرينات تثبيت لوح الكتف كانت أفضل من تمرينات التثبيت العنقى في زيادة المرونة العضلة الظهرية. ومع ذلك، لم يكن هناك فرقاً ملحوظاً بين كلا المجموعتين فيما يتعلق بشفاء ألم الرقبة والإعاقة الوظيفية والذينية.