Micro Current Versus Trans Cutaneous Nerve Stimulation in Treatment of Post Herpetic Neuralgia
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Abstract

Background: This study aimed to compare the effect of microcurrent versus trans cutaneous nerve stimulation on post herpetic neuralgia. It was a randomized controlled trial. Overall, 102 post herpetic neuralgia patients, aged 40-55 years, were randomized into 2 equal groups. Group (A) composed of 51 patients who received micro current for 3 times per week for 4 weeks after rashes disappear in conjunction with medical treatment. Group (B) composed of 51 patients who received TENS 3 times per week for 4 weeks after rashes disappear in conjunction with medical treatment.

Aim of Study: The aim of the study is to evaluate which is more effective Micro current or TENS in treatment of post herpetic neuralgia.

Subjects and Methods: Hundred two patients of both genders were participated in the study their ages ranged between (40-55) years.

Equipment:
- Micro-current device.
- Trans cutaneous electrical nerve stimulation device.

Measurement Methods:
- Color analogue scale.
- Serum cortisol level test.

Results: There was a significant decrease in color analog scale and serum cortisol level in group (B) who received (TENS) compared to that in group (A) who received micro current.

Conclusion: TENS was more effective than micro current in treating patients with post herpetic neuralgia.
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Introduction

POST herpetic neuralgia (PHN) is neuropathic pain syndrome resulting from combination of inflammatory and viral damage to primary afferent fibers of sensory nerves and the corresponding levels of the spinal cord [1].

Pain of Post herpetic neuralgia is characterized by allodynia and hyperalgesia in response to non-noxious mechanical and thermal stimuli and by spontaneous pain variably described as burning, sharp, shooting, or electric shock-like [2].

Approximately 1 million cases of herpes zoster occur annually in the US, 1 of 3 people in USA develop Post herpetic neuralgia in their life time because the virus lie dormant for years and then reactivate as post herpetic neuralgia [3].

Variety of treatment methods have been tried to ease the pain of post herpetic neuralgia. However, what is effective for one person may not be effective for another [4].

Electrical modalities have been used for many years to control acute and chronic pain. Clinicians also routinely use neuromuscular electrical stimulators to rehabilitate injured athletes. Trans-cutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) and other similar devices use a mild form of electrically induced pain to block body's ability to; perceive the pain that is being treated, stimulating nerves closes a "gate" mechanism in the spinal cord, and that help eliminate the sensation of pain [5].

Micro current electrical therapy represents significant improvement in rapid pain control and acceleration of healing. It uses current in the microampere range, 1000 times less than that of TENS and below sensation threshold. The pulse width,
or length of time that the current is delivered with micro current device is much longer than previous technologies. A typical micro current pulse is about 0.5 seconds, which is 2500 times longer than pulse in a typical TENS unit and a good micro current unit has approximately ten times the electronic circuitry of a TENS unit [6].

To authors’ knowledge, there is no previous study that compare the effect of micro current and TENS on post herpetic neuralgia. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate which is more effective Micro-current or TENS in treatment of post herpetic neuralgia. It was hypothesized that it would be no difference between the effect of Micro-current or TENS in treatment of post herpetic neuralgia.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Design:**

Hundred and two patients were participated in the study, they were selected from belbis central hospital sharkia government, Egypt. From 2022-2023.

The study is a prospective, randomized, controlled trial. The protocol of the study was explained in detail to each patient who signed an informed consent at starting of this study.

The protocol of the study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Faculty of Physical Therapy at Cairo University (P.T.REC-012-003746).

**Participants:**

A sample of 102 patients were recruited from Belbis Central Hospital, Sharkia government, Egypt. The inclusion criteria include patients of both genders, received the medical protocol for post herpetic neuralgia and their age ranged from 40 to 55 years. Subjects were excluded if there were pacemaker, pregnancy, malignant tissue, phlebitis, impaired mental status, thrombosis, metal plates in the application area, psychiatric and personality disorders.

**Randomization:**

One hundred and two patients were randomly assigned into 2 equal groups (group A, and group B) with the use of sealed envelope system by an independent person; the envelope contained a letter indicating whether the patient would be allocated to group A or group B. The patients were blinded about which group they were allocated.

**Interventions:**

The patients in both groups received medical treatment in the form of anti-viral, short term corticosteroid course, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug and vit B complex.

**Group (A) Microcurrent electrical stimulation:**

This group included 51 patients with post-herpetic neuralgia and they received the application of MENS and traditional medical treatment. The patients of this group received MENS for 30min duration and intensity of maximum tolerable paresthesia three times per week for 4 weeks.

**Group (B) Trans Cutaneous Electrical Stimulation:**

This group included 51 patients with post-herpetic neuralgia and they received the application of TENS and traditional medical treatment. The patients of this group received TENS was applied for 30min duration and intensity of maximum tolerable paresthesia three times per week for 4 weeks.

**Outcome measures:**

1. **Color analogues scale:**

   Color pain analogues scale use colors (CAS) in Measurerment instrument that tries to measure a characteristic or attitude that is belived to range across a continuum of values and represented by colour-swith red representing sever pain, yellow representing moderate pain, green representing comfort. The patients marks on the line the colour that represent their perception of their current state. These measurements were conducted by the same physical therapists as the following: First measuring (pre-treatment): Before the first session of treatment. Second measuring (post-treatment) at the end of the fourth week of treatment.

2. **Serum cortisol level:**

   The samples of blood were taken at the same time of the day before and after the treatment as the level of cortisol varies throughout the day. The reference ranges for serum cortisol are as follows: Morning -7-28mg/dl, Afternoon -2-18mg/dl, Stimulated* - more than or equal 18mg/dl and Suppressed* - less than 2mg/dl [8,9].

**Statistical analysis:**

Unpaired t-test was conducted for comparison of age between groups. Chi-squared test was conducted for comparison of sex distribution between groups. Unpaired t-test was conducted for comparison of color analog scale and serum cortisol level between groups. Paired t-test was conducted for comparison of color analog scale and serum cortisol level between pre and post treatment in each group. The level of significance for all statistical tests was set at p<0.05. All statistical tests were performed through the statistical package for social studies (SPSS) version 25 for windows. (IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

**Subject characteristics:**

Table (1) shows the subject characteristics of group A and B. There was no significant difference between groups in age and sex distribution (p>0.05).
Effect of treatment on color analog scale and serum cortisol level:

There was a significant interaction of treatment and time (F=79.32, p=0.001, n²= 0.62). There was a significant main effect of time (F=251.05, p=0.001, n²=0.94). There was a significant main effect of treatment (F=51.92, p=0.001).

Within group comparison:

There was a significant decrease in color analog scale and serum cortisol level of group A and B post treatment compared with that pre-treatment (p>0.001). The percentage of change in color analog scale and serum cortisol level of group A was 35.36 and 27.74 and that in group B was 66.74 and 36.66% respectively. (Table 2).

Between groups comparison:

There was no significant difference between groups pre-treatment (p>0.05). Comparison between groups post treatment revealed that there was a significant decrease in color analog scale and serum cortisol level of group B compared with that of group A (p<0.001). (Table 2).

**Table (1): Subject characteristics.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>47.58±4.53</td>
<td>48.72±4.84</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>27 (53%)</td>
<td>26 (51%)</td>
<td>x2=1.03</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>24 (47%)</td>
<td>25 (49%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation. x²: Chi squared value. p-value: Level of significance.

**Table (2): Mean color analog scale and serum cortisol level pre and post treatment of group A and B.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>MD (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Color analog scale:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre treatment</td>
<td>8.88±0.97</td>
<td>8.72±0.87</td>
<td>0.16 [-0.21: 0.52]</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post treatment</td>
<td>5.74±1.31</td>
<td>2.90±1.14</td>
<td>2.84 [236: 3.32]</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD (95% CI)</td>
<td>3.14 (2.77: 3.50)</td>
<td>5.82 (5.46: 6.18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of change</td>
<td>3536</td>
<td>66.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p=1:1.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serum cortisol level (ag/l):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre treatment</td>
<td>3133±2.18</td>
<td>30.74±2.23</td>
<td>0.59 [-0.28: 1.45]</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post treatment</td>
<td>22.64±2.46</td>
<td>19.47±2.16</td>
<td>3.17 [226: 4.08]</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD (95% CI)</td>
<td>8.69 (8.01: 9.36)</td>
<td>11.27 (10.59: 11.59)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of change</td>
<td>27.74</td>
<td>36.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p=1:1.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation. CI: Confidence interval. p-value: Level of significance.

**Discussion**

Post herpetic neuralgia is chronic neuropathic pain condition that persists 3 months or more following an outbreak of herpes zoster, which result in significant morbidity, sever pain, lost productivity and diminished quality of life [10].

This study showed that there was a significant decrease in color analog scale and serum cortisol level in group (B) who received TENS compared to that in group (A) who received micro current.

The results of this study agreed with a previous study that Proper application of TENS has been found to relieve multiple neuropathic pain disorders such as carpal tunnel syndrome, diabetic neuropathy [11]. Also, Lin et al., [12] reported that TENS showed a significant reduction in pain in patients with sciatica, radiculopathy and post herpetic neuralgia.

In addition, when directly compared to antiviral drug administration as apreventive measure to post herpetic neuralgic pain, results revealed that in acute phase of the disease TENS has no unusual impact that is different from the control group.
Nevertheless, following the acute stage, most patients in the study group did not experience post herpetic neuralgic pain [13].

Moreover, the results were in line with a previous study, which reported that Application of the microcurrent electrical stimulation had a valuable effect on post-herpetic trigeminal neuralgia as evidenced by highly significant decrease in VAS (visual analogue scale) and serum cortisol level [11,12,14,15].

McMakin observed reductions in inflammatory cytokines, the increase in β-endorphin release and the accompanying subjective data reporting pain relief can be explained by a moderate anti-inflammatory effect in this patient group that is modulated by the micro current treatment [16].

While Chevalier et al., theorized that electro-acupuncture and micro current electro-currents have different modulating effects on the autonomic nervous system and pain outcomes [17].

Norrbrink and Lundeberg researched the effects of LF-TENS for the treatment of neuropathic pain in SCI patients in a case-control, prospective study. The results of this study revealed that LF-TENS reduced neuropathic pain intensity in the morning, noon and evening but not at night, in SCI patients [18].

In the literature, 63.3% of patients with spinal cord injury pain had tried non-pharmacological treatment. TENS is one of the most tried non-pharmacological treatments. Five studies (across various neuropathic conditions) were suitable for pooled analysis of TENS versus sham TENS investigating pain intensity using a visual analogue scale. Gibson et al found a mean post intervention difference in effect size favouring TENS of —1.58 (95% confidence interval (CI) —2.08 to —1.09, p<0.00001, n=207, six comparisons from five studies [19].

Limitations:

Although the current study reveals objective data with statistically significant differences, there are some limitations. The main one is the short study duration. Therefore, longitudinal studies are needed to evaluate long-term effects of TENS and MES on pain in patients with post herpetic neuralgia. Further researchers using low level laser in conjunction with TENS are needed to detect the most effective in reducing pain in post herpetic neuralgia. Further studies using other programs of physical therapy should be conducted on post-herpetic neuralgia. Further researchers should include a comparison between another physiotherapy modalities and protocols. More studies should be conducted comparing different physical therapy programs on post-herpetic neuralgia. More extensive studies assessing the efficacy of the microcurrent stimulation (MENS) in combination with other modality in the treatment of post-herpetic neuralgia.

Conclusions:

Application of Micro current and TENS have beneficial effect in treating pain of post herpetic neuralgia but TENS was more effective than Micro current in treating pain in patients with post herpetic neuralgia by highly significant decrease in CAS and Serum cortisol level.
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التيار الكهربائي الدقيق مقابل التنبيه الجلدي العصبي في علاج الحزام الناري

تم تصميم هذه الدراسة لمعرفة أيهما كان أكثر فعالية في علاج الام الحزام الناري، وأجريت هذه الدراسة على مجموعتين من مرضى عيون من الألم العصبي التالي لألم الحزام الناري. تراوحت أعمار المرضى بين (40-55) سنة، وتم اختيارهم من مستشفى بليس المركز.

تم تقسيم المرضى بشكل عشوائي إلى مجموعتين متساويتين المجموعتين (A) مجموعة الاماكين الوعري المكونة من 58 مريضًا (27 أنثى وتتمعان (22 ذكرًا) الذين نقلوا متفجرًا كهربائيًا باتيار العصب الجلدي 2 مرات في الأسبوع لمدة 3 أسابيع بعد اختفاء الماء الجلدي بالتزام بالعلاج الطبي والمجموعة (B) مكونة من 52 مريضًا (32 أنثى و85 ذكرًا الذين نقلوا التحفيز الكهربائي العصب عن طريق الاماكين في الأسبوع لمدة 4 أسابيع بعد اختفاء الماء الجلدي بالتزام بالعلاج الطبي. كان للكلا المجموعتين قبل وال بعد 4 أسابيع من خلال مقياس الألم بالآلولون ومستوى الكورتيزول في الدم.

تم اجراء اختبار t غير المربوط للتنبئة بين المريض بالآلولون في المريض بين المجموعات.

تم اجراء اختبار t الزوجي للمقارنة بين مقياس الألم بالآلولون ومستوى الكورتيزول بالدم بين المريض السابق واللاحقة في كل المجموعات.

بعد مناقشة النتائج وفقاً لنتائج الدراسات السابقة في هذه الدراسة، يمكن الاعتقاد أن تطبيق التحفيز الكهربائي للعصب الجلدي عبر الاماكين على الألم العصبي الناتج عن الحزام الناري كان له تأثير كبير كما يتضح من اختبار تقييم الألم بالآلولون ومستوى الكورتيزول بالدم.

كان تطبيق MENS و TENS كان أكثر فاعلية في علاج الام الحزام الناري، لكن التحفيز الكهربائي للعصب عبر الاماكين كان أكثر فاعلية من التيار الجلدي في علاج الام في المريض الذين يعانون من الام الحزام الناري عن طريق انخفاض كبير في مستوى الـ CAS ومستوى الكورتيزول في الدم.