Med. J. Cairo Univ., Vol. 92, No. 3, Accepted 3/7/2024
DOI: 10.22608/MJCU. 931-936, September 2024
www.medicaljournalofcairouniversity.net

Effect of Thoracic Manipulation and Myofascial Release in Patients with Shoulder Impingment Syndrome: *A Review Article*

AMIR M. SALEH, Ph.D.*; NABIL M. ABDEL-AAL, Ph.D.*; ASHRAF N. MOHARRAM, M.D.** and MANAR S. ELTAHER, M.Sc.*,***

The Department of Physical Therapy for Basic Sciences, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University, Department of Orthopedic for Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University** and Physical Therapist at El-Shiekh Zayed Specialized Hospital****

Abstract

Background: Although the efficacy of soft tissue mobilization approaches as a therapy for shoulder impingement syndrome (SIS) is not well-established, prior research has demonstrated positive results when employing this approach.

Aim of Study: Is to review the outcome of thoracic manipulation and myofascial release (MR) SIS in advancing range of motion, shoulder function, pain, proprioception and pain pressure threshold in peoples with SIS.

Conclusion: Due to the affirmative physiological outcome of myofascial release by IASTM on heath related result measures in shoulder impeded peoples it is considered an appealing method to Motivate and engage shoulder impeded syndrome peoples in appropriate physical therapy program. There were limited studies that examine the outcomes of TM or MR programs on peoples with SIS. Additionally, no research has been done on the outcomes of combining the TM versus MR regimen with scapular stabilization exercises.

Key Words: *Thoracic manipulation (TM) – Myofascial release (MR) – Shoulder impeded syndrome (SIS) – Instrument assisted soft tissue mobilization (IASTM).*

Introduction

A STRUCTURAL constriction of the subacromial space causes shoulder impeded syndrome (SIS), a painful upper extremity ailment. The primary methods of diagnosis are physical examination and history taking. The cornerstones of therapy include early detection prior to the development of degenerative changes, strengthening exercises for the shoulder girdle in physical therapy, and anti-inflammatory medications **[1].**

Thoracic spine manipulation (TSM) is one of the techniques that can be used to gain thoracic mobility in peoples with shoulder dysoperate **[2].** When shoulder discomfort was experimentally created in participants, thoracic manipulations resulted in a decrease in self-reported shoulder pain and an increase in pain pressure threshold. Physiotherapists may think about combining these methods to produce transient hypoalgesic outcomes and make more aggressive therapies easier to provide **[3].**

Therapy of musculoskeletal disorders with manual therapy techniques has grown in popularity. Myofascial Release (MR) is one example of a manual therapy that has become widely used. Myofascial release has been described as an umbrella term for a wide variety of manual therapy techniques in which pressure is applied to muscle and fascia **[4].**

Using a low load, long duration stretch on the myofascial complex, myofascial release is a type of manual therapy that aims to restore ideal length, reduce discomfort, and enhance function **[5].** The SIS has the triggering mechanism for physiological alteration in the rotator cuff and other scapular muscles, leading to development of trigger points **[6].**

Instrument-assisted soft tissue mobilization (IASTM) is a special instrument with beveled edges to assist the clinician in the evaluation and mobilization of soft tissue. Instruments are used in a multidirectional stroking fashion applied to the skin at 30°–60° angles to detect soft tissue irregularities via the undulation of the tools. It has been purported to enhance proliferation of extracellular matrix fibroblasts, improve ion transport, and decrease cell matrix adhesions. Thus, IASTM has been suggested as an intervention for pathology such as chronic fibro-

Correspondence to: Dr. Nabil M. Abdel-Aal,
E-Mail: nabil.mahmoud@cu.edu.eg
nabil_45@hotmail.com

sis, lateral epicondylitis, carpal tunnel syndrome, trigger thumb, and plantarfascitis [7].

By enabling more targeted and thorough therapy and lowering the amount of stress placed on the hands, the IASTM is believed to give the therapist a mechanical edge. People's and clinicians' feeling of vibration is thought to increase when soft tissue mobilization is done with instruments. The enhanced vibration sensitivity may help the clinician identify changed tissue characteristics (such as tissue adhesions) and help the patients recognize changed feelings in the treated tissues [8].

1- Outcomes of thoracic manipulation in peoples with SIS:

The TSM has been shown to immediately improve shoulder pain and operatein individuals with SIS [9]. Also, Visceral diseases, headaches, and persistent low back pain have all been treated with it. One of the two methods used in physiotherapy is a rapid, high-velocity, low-amplitude thrust on a particular vertebra, or a mechanical stimulation of the surrounding tissue. The therapist directs the thrust's direction, size, and speed [10].

In addition to exercise, thoracic spine thrust manipulation (TSTM) has been advocated for peoples with SIS based on the concept of regional interdependence, which suggests that many musculoskeletal disorders may respond more favorably to a regional therapy approach. Thoracic spine thrust manipulation can increase electromyographic activity of the middle trapezius and increase strength of the lower trapezius, a muscle believed to have an important role in promoting normal scapular kinematics. Immediate improvements in shoulder active range of motion (ROM) have also been found following TSTM in individuals with SPS [11].

2- Mechanism of thoracic manipulation in peoples with SIS:

The end of the range of motion is reached during thoracic manipulation, but anatomical limitations are not crossed. Cavitation usually happens, frequently accompanied by a popping sound [12].

The outcomes of TM are attempted to be explained by several ideas. The main idea behind these theories is that modifications to the anatomy, physiology, and biomechanics can impact how well the nervous system functions. In paravertebral tissues, there is a possibility that a change in vertebral segments causes a mechanical overload outcome, which can modify the signaling of mechanical or chemical properties of sensory neurons. Changes in sensory input can have an impact on reflex activity, the center of neural integration, and/or the nociceptive or even autonomic neuronal pool. These modifications can also disrupt neural integration [13].

Improved physiological operateis believed to result from alterations in the paravertebral tissues' sensory signal flow caused by thoracic manipulation. Regarding the neurophysiologic mechanics behind the outcomes of TM, this is one of the most logical explanations. Both the motor control system and the processing of pain may be impacted, potentially via an enhanced alteration in the central state of the spinal cord [14].

In 2017 a study done by Haik and Camargo's found that Scapular upward rotation during arm lowering may be enhanced by thoracic spine manipulation (TSM). The findings, however, are conflicting with relation to internal rotation, scapular tilt, shoulder discomfort, and function. 61 SIS participants participated in the trial; 30 were assigned to the TSM group and 31 to the sham-TSM group. The participants attended two intervention sessions over the course of one week. It seems that TSM has no outcome on the scapular muscles [15].

In 2017 a study done by Amy L. Minkalis found that Other than subacromial impeded syndrome, there are no clinical pathway employing thrust manipulation for non-surgical shoulder problems. For this illness, there is little data to either support or contradict thrust manipulation as a stand-alone therapy. Research often showed that pain was reduced, but actual therapies were no better than placebos. We require high-caliber thrust manipulation studies with security information, extended therapy durations, and recheck results [16].

In 2023 a systemic review done by Bukhari B et al., conducted that Studies indicated immediate improvement in range of motion as well as pain after thrust manipulation, but others re-

ported no such clinical difference. Manipulation should be combined with other exercise therapy to ensure some clinical improvement *[17]*.

In 2019 a study done by Silva et al., conducted that The SM showed a Comparatively considerable difference in shoulder discomfort, however it was not greater than the change that was clinically meaningful. The only part of the shoulder that hurt to the least degree changed was the abduction. The 60 participants in the sample were divided into two groups at random: The SM-receiving manipulation group (n = 30) and the placebo group (n = 30), which received a placebo manipulation. A goniometer was used to measure shoulder flexion and abduction ranges of motion both before and after the intervention, and the visual analog scale was used to measure pain. Upper thoracic SM or a placebo manipulation was used as the intervention method *[18]*.

3- Outcomes of MR in peoples with SIS:

Musculoskeletal discomfort can be lessened with the application of myofascial treatments. There are a few ideas explaining why myofascial release may be beneficial in treating musculoskeletal pain. These hypotheses include the parasympathetic reaction of the autonomic nerve system, serotonin release, interpersonal attention, and the Gate Control Theory. Because direct pressure is applied, myofascial release's pain-relieving properties may also treat muscular spasms *[19]*.

Continuous reevaluation is necessary for proper MR, and this includes the previously mentioned techniques as well as monitoring the location and onset of vaso-motor reactions that happen following the removal of a specific fascial restriction. This gives the therapist immediate access to highly accurate information, allowing them to move on with therapy sessions in a logical and intelligent manner until the patients' dysoperation is finally resolved *[20]*.

4- Mechanism of MR in peoples with SIS:

Numerous neuromuscular disorders are caused by myofascial syndrome. Pain will be reduced and fascia tightness will be resolved with therapy that aims to stabilize muscle. Myofascial pain, which is brought on by myofascial trigger points, is a common cause of shoulder pain. Numerous studies have demonstrated that trigger points or myofascial tightness cause musculoskeletal discomfort. Restricted range of motion and increased muscular tension are caused by myofascial tightness *[21]*.

MR can shift and change with the surrounding tissues since the fascia's fibers travel in a variety of directions. It is thought that fascia is a continuous piece of tissue that makes up the body's tension by functioning in interconnected "chains." Consequently, stretching fascia in one location might result in pain, tightness, and restriction in a different area of the body. Pulling plastic wrap across a bowl is comparable to this: when one side is stretched taut, the other side gets even more tense. There is no typical pattern of transferred pain in the pain that is felt. Because of the dynamic operateof the fascia, it can be challenging to diagnose myofascial pain; nevertheless, once it is, manual therapy methods like MR *[22]*.

5- Outcomes of Instrument-assisted soft tissue mobilization (IASTM) in peoples with SIS:

Immediate range of motion improvements and beneficial outcomes on deteriorated tissue can be achieved with the IASTM. IASTM may increase range of motion and operatein fewer customized therapy sessions than other manual therapy techniques, which is another possible benefit. Numerous research have examined the connection between overhead injuries and range of motion deficiencies, but it is unknown which therapies work best to return ROM to normative ranges *[23]*.

IASTM may be useful in quickly enhancing pain-free flexion range of motion, lowering disability, enhancing function, reducing discomfort, and raising people satisfaction when paired with stretching and ST mobilizations. Even while IASTM will not completely resolve all underlying pathomechanics causing SAPS,

it could be a useful technique to restore ROM and sharply reduce discomfort, there for enabling for the people to fully benefit from a multimodal therapeutic strategy **[24]**.

6- *Mechanism of Instrument-assisted soft tissue mobilization (IASTM) in peoples with SIS:*

Reduced flexibility, spasms in the muscles, neuromuscular abnormalities, and pain can result from fascia adhering to the muscles and other body components after an injury. IASTM therapy s have been shown in certain studies to increase range of motion (ROM), reduce the risk of injury prior to activity, and facilitate recovery following exercise. The usage of IASTM by athletes prior to competitive events has been studied more recently to see if it can enhance athletic performance **[25]**.

Among the soft tissue therapy methods for reducing pain and enhancing range of motion were IASTM, active release technique, and myofascial release. To cure fascial deformity, all of these methods use application procedures with different therapy directions, supported by comparable theoretical foundations. Using a tool or device that gives the clinician a mechanical privileged is one of the main ways that IASTM differs from other approaches. By reducing the amount of strain on the clinician's hands and joints, these tools enable healthcare professionals to deliver more force to the tissues **[26]**.

In 2017, a study done by Coviello conducted that shoulder flexion without pain may benefit from IASTM in the short term. IASTM may enhance function, lessen pain, and increase patient satisfaction when combined with scapulothoracic mobilizations and stretches. This method may be a useful tool to restore range of motion and reduce discomfort, enabling patients to fully benefit from a multimodal therapy strategy, even though it won't improve the underlying pathomechanics causing subacromial pain syndrome **[27]**.

In 2021, a study done by Aggarwal et al., concluded IASTM, in conjunction with traditional therapy, was able to enhance operate and mobility in individuals with adhesive capsulitis **[28]**.

In 2019 a study done by Laudner et al., found that giving baseball players IASTM to the posterior shoulder results in immediate improvements in their GH horizontal adduction range of motion and internal rotation range of motion **[29]**.

To the authors' knowledge, there have not been enough studies that investigated the outcomes of joint mobilization or the release of active trigger points (ATrPs) in the myofascial structures on shoulder impeded. There were limited studies that examine the outcomes of TM or MR programs on peoples with SIS. Additionally, no research has been done on the outcomes of combining the TM versus MR regimen with scapular stabilization exercises.

Conclusion:

The results of this review suggest a possible affirmative outcome of myofascial release by IASTM on Pain, ROM, proprioception, and functional abilities of shoulder in peoples with shoulder impeded syndrome. But because there are so few and widely differing research on the subject, it is now impossible to determine whether IASTM release can be sufficiently successful to enhance functional abilities and avoid impairment, particularly in individuals with shoulder impeded syndrome.

References

1- CREECH J.A. and SILVER S.: Shoulder Impeded Syndrome, Apr. 17, 2023.

2- MINTKEN P.E., MCDEVITT A.W., CLELAND J.A., BOYLES R.E., BEARDSLEE A.R., BURNS S.A., HABERL M.D., HINRICHS L.A. and MICHENER L.A.: Cervicothoracic Manual Therapy Plus Exercise Therapy Versus Exercise Therapy Alone in the Management of Individuals With Shoulder Pain: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther., Aug. 46 (8): 617-28, 2016. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2016.6319.

3- WASSINGER C.A., RICH D., CAMERON N., CLARK S., DAVENPORT S., LINGELBACH M., SMITH A., BAXTER G.D. and DAVIDSON J.: Cervical & thoracic manipulations: Acute outcomes upon pain pressure threshold and self-reported pain in experimentally induced shoulder

pain. Man Ther., Feb. 21: 227-32, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.math.2015.08.009.

4- MCKENNEY K., ELDER A.S., ELDER C. and HUTCHINS A.: Myofascial release as a therapy for orthopaedic conditions: A systematic review. J. Athl Train. Jul-Aug. 48 (4): 522-7, 2013. doi: 10.4085/1062-6050-48.3.17.

5- AJIMSHA M.S., AL-MUDAHKA N.R. & AL-MADZHAR J.A.: Outcomeiveness of myofascial release: Systematic review of randomized controlled trials. J. Bodyw Mov. Ther., Jan. 19 (1): 102-12, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2014.06.001.

6- HIDALGO-LOZANO A., FERNÁNDEZ-DE-LAS-PEÑAS C., DÍAZ-RODRÍGUEZ L., GONZÁLEZ-IGLESIAS J., PALACIOS-CEÑA D. and ARROYO-MORALES M.: Changes in pain and pressure pain sensitivity after manual therapy of active trigger points in peoples with unilateral shoulder impeded: A case series. J. Bodyw Mov Ther., Oct. 15 (4): 399-404, 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2010.12.003.

7- LAMBERT M., HITCHCOCK R., LAVALLEE K., HAYFORD E., MORAZZINI R., WALLACE A., CONROY D. and CLELAND J.: The outcomes of instrument-assisted soft tissue mobilization compared to other interventions on pain and function: A systematic review. Physical Therapy Reviews, Mar. 4; 22 (1-2): 76-85 2017.

8- GULICK D.T.: Instrument-assisted soft tissue mobilization increases myofascial trigger point pain threshold. J. Bodyw Mov. Ther., Apr. 22 (2): 341-345, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2017.10.012.

9- CHEATHAM S.W., BAKER R. and KREISWIRTH E.: Instrument Assisted Soft-Tissue Mobilization: A Commentary On Clinical Practice Guidelines For Rehabilitation Professionals. Int. J. Sports Phys Ther., Jul. 14 (4): 670-682, 2019.

10- MUTH S., BARBE M.F., LAUER R. and MCCLURE P.W.: The outcomes of thoracic spine manipulation in subjects with signs of rotator cuff tendinopathy. J. Orthop. Sports Phys Ther., Dec. 42 (12): 1005-16, 2012. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2012.4142.

11- AMOROSO BORGES B.L., BORTOLAZZO G.L. and NETO H.P.: Outcomes of spinal manipulation and myofascial techniques on heart rate variability: A systematic review. J. Bodyw Mov. Ther., Jan. 22 (1): 203-208, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2017.09.025.

12- GRIMES J.K., PUENTEDURA E.J., CHENG M.S. and SEITZ A.L.: The Comparative Outcomes of Upper Thoracic Spine Thrust Manipulation Techniques in Individuals With Subacromial Pain Syndrome: A Randomized Clinical Trial. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther., Oct. 49 (10): 716-724, 2019. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2019.8484.

13- RUBINSTEIN S.M., DE ZOETE A., VAN MIDDELKOOP M., ASSENDELFT W.J.J., DE BOER M.R. and VAN TULDER M.W.: Benefits and harms of spinal manipulative therapy for the therapy of chronic low back pain: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ, Mar 13; 364: l689, 2019. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l689.

14- RIST P.M., HERNANDEZ A., BERNSTEIN C., KOWALSKI M., OSYPIUK K., VINING R., LONG C.R., GOERTZ C., SONG R. and WAYNE P.M.: The Impact of Spinal Manipulation on Migraine Pain and Disability: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Headache, Apr. 59 (4): 532-542, 2019. doi: 10.1111/head.13501.

15- HAIK M.N., ALBURQUERQUE-SENDÍN F. and CAMARGO P.R.: Short-Term Outcomes of Thoracic Spine Manipulation on Shoulder Impeded Syndrome: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil., Aug. 98 (8): 1594-1605, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2017.02.003.

16- MINKALIS A.L., VINING R.D., LONG C.R., HAWK C. and DE LUCA K.: A systematic review of thrust manipulation for non-surgical shoulder conditions. Chiropr Man Therap., Jan 4; 25: 1, 2017. doi: 10.1186/s12998-016-0133-8.

17- BUKHARI B., AHMAD A., FATIMA A. and ALI S.: Outcomes of thoracic spinal thrust manipulation for the management of shoulder impeded syndrome: Systematic review. J. Pak. Med. Assoc., Feb. 73 (2): 338-345, 2023. doi: 10.47391/JPMA.5151.

18- SILVA A.C.D., SANTOS G.M., MARQUES C.M.G. and MARQUES J.L.B.: Immediate Outcomes of Spinal Manipulation on Shoulder Motion Range and Pain in Individuals With Shoulder Pain: A Randomized Trial. J. Chiropr Med., Mar. 18 (1): 19-26, 2019. French. doi: 10.1016/j.jcm.2018.10.001.

19- KALICHMAN L. and BEN DAVID C.: Outcome of self-myofascial release on myofascial pain, muscle flexibility, and strength: A narrative review. J. Bodyw Mov. Ther., Apr. 21 (2): 446-451, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2016.11.006.

20- AJIMSHA M.S., DANIEL B. and CHITHRA S.: Outcomeiveness of myofascial release in the management of chronic low back pain in nursing professionals. J. Bodyw Mov. Ther., Apr. 18 (2): 273-81, 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2013.05.007.

21- HIDALGO-LOZANO A., FERNÁNDEZ-DE-LAS-PEÑAS C., ALONSO-BLANCO C., GE H.Y., ARENDT-NIELSEN L. and ARROYO-MORALES M.: Muscle trigger points and pressure pain hyperalgesia in the shoulder muscles in peoples with unilateral shoulder impeded: A blinded, controlled study. Exp. Brain Res., May 202 (4): 915-25, 2010. doi: 10.1007/s00221-010-2196-4.

22- MCKENNEY K., ELDER A.S., ELDER C. and HUTCHINS A.: Myofascial release as a therapy for orthopaedic conditions: Asystematic review. J. Athl Train., Jul-Aug. 48 (4): 522-7, 2013. doi: 10.4085/1062-6050-48.3.17.

23- BAILEY L.B., SHANLEY E., HAWKINS R., BEATTIE P.F., FRITZ S., KWARTOWITZ D. and THIGPEN C.A.: Mechanisms of Shoulder Range of Motion Deficits in Asymptomatic Baseball Players. Am. J. Sports Med., Nov. 43 (11): 2783-93, 2015. doi: 10.1177/0363546515602446.

24- COVIELLO J.P., KAKAR R.S. and REYNOLDS T.J.: Short-Term Outcomes Of Instrument-Assisted Soft Tissue Mobilization On Pain Free Range Of Motion In A Weightlifter With Subacromial Pain Syndrome. Int. J. Sports Phys. Ther., Feb. 12 (1): 144-154, 2017.

25- KIM J., SUNG D.J. and LEE J.: Therapeutic outcomeiveness of instrument-assisted soft tissue mobilization for soft tissue injury: Mechanisms and practical application. J Exerc Rehabil., Feb. 28; 13 (1): 12-22, 2017. doi: 10.12965/jer.1732824.412.

26- HUSSEY M.J., BORON-MAGULICK A.E., VALOVICH MCLEOD T.C. and WELCH BACON C.E.: The Comparison of Instrument-Assisted Soft Tissue Mobilization and Self-Stretch Measures to Increase Shoulder Range of Motion in Overhead Athletes: A Critically Appraised Topic. J. Sport Rehabil., Jul. 1; 27 (4): 385-389, 2018. doi: 10.1123/jsr.2016-0213.

27- COVIELLO J.P., KAKAR R.S. and REYNOLDS T.J.: Short-Term Outcomes of Instrument-Assisted Soft Tissue Mobilization On Pain Free Range Of Motion In A Weightlifter With Subacromial Pain Syndrome. Int. J. Sports Phys. Ther., Feb. 12 (1): 144-154, 2017.

28- AGGARWAL A., SAXENA K., PALEKAR T.J. and RATHI M.: Instrument assisted soft tissue mobilization in adhesive capsulitis: A randomized clinical trial. J. Bodyw Mov. Ther., Apr. 26: 435-442, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2020.12.039.

29- LAUDNER K., COMPTON B.D., MCLODA T.A. and WALTERS C.M.: Acute outcomes of instrument assisted soft tissue mobilization for advancing posterior shoulder range of motion in collegiate baseball players. Int. J. Sports Phys. Ther., Feb. 9 (1): 1-7, 2014.

تأثير تحريك الفقرات الصدريه والانفراج الليفى العضلى فى المرضى الذين يعانون من متلازمة انحشار الكتف: مقالة مراجعة

فى حين أن هناك أدلة محدودة تدعم استخدام تقنيات الانفراج الليفى العضلى لمتلازمة انحشار الكتف، فقد أبلغت الدراسات السابقة عن نتائج ناجحة باستخدام الانفراج الليفى العضلى كأسلوب علاجى.

الهدف من الدراسة: هو مراجعة تأثير تحريك الفقرات الصدريه والانفراج الليفى العضلى فى تحسين نطاق الحركة ووظيفة الكتف والألم واستقبال الحس العميق وعتبة ضغط الألم لدى المرضى الذين يعانون متلازمه انحشار الكتف.

الاستنتاج: على الرغم من التأثير الفسيولوجى الإيجابى للانفراج الليفى العضلى على مقاييس النتائج المتعلقة بالصحة لدى مرضى متلازمه انحشار الكتف حيث يعتبر وسيلة جذابة لتشجيع مرضى متلازمة انحشارالكتف وإشراكهم فى مستويات أعلى من النشاط البدنى. كانت هناك دراسات محدودة تدرس تأثيرات برامج الـ تحريك الفقرات الصدريه أو الانفراج الليفى العضلى على المرضى الذين يعانون من متلازمه انحشار الكتف. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، لم يتم إجراء أى بحث حول تأثيرات الجمع بين نظام تحريك الفقرات الصدريه مقابل نظام الانفراج الليفى العضلى مع تمارين تثبيت الكتف.

وقد تم تطبيق البرنامج العلاجى والتقييم البدنى للمشاركين فى العيادة الخارجية لكلية العلاج الطبيعى، جامعة القاهرة، ومستشفى الشيخ زايد التخصصى وشركة مصر للبترول، القاهرة، مصر فى الفترة من نوفمبر ٢٠٢١ إلى أكتوبر ٢٠٢٢.