Assessment of Efficiency of Using Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score (CPIS) on Length of Stay (LOS) among Mechanically Ventilated Cases
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Abstract

Background: VAP is pneumonia that develops in a mechanically ventilated patient with a first positive bacterial culture beyond 48 hours after hospital admission or tracheal intubation, whichever occurred first. VAP burden relies on the increase of morbidity, cost, prolonged MV, ICU-Length of Stay (LOS) and hospital-length of stay.

Aim of Study: Improve health outcome of patients on Mechanical Ventilation (MV) through early diagnosis of (VAP), early management with appropriate antibiotics prescription using Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score (CPIS) and to decrease MV days, ICU-Length of Stay (LOS) and hospital-length of stay.

Methods: Operational research, quasi-experimental interventional study design. The study was conducted in the in Critical Care Department in the Faculty of Medicine Cairo-University. The study has 2 phases Phase 1: Recruiting the Control group (40 cases) on MV not using CPIS. Phase 2: Recruiting the Interventional group (40 cases) on MV using CPIS.

Results: The most common organism in control group was Klebsiella 25% and in intervention group was MRSA 17.5%. The ICU LOS was significantly lower in patients who were followed by CPIS in intervention group.

Conclusion: CPIS considered tool to monitor patient's condition on MV for early modification which in turn reflected on ventilator days and ICU length of stay.
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Introduction

VENTILATOR-Associated Pneumonia (VAP): Is a pneumonia where the patient is on mechanical ventilation for >2 calendar days (24 hours) when all elements of the pneumonia infection criterion were first present together, with day of ventilator placement being day 1, and the ventilator was in place on the date of event or the day before. If the patient is admitted or transferred into a facility on a ventilator, the day of admission is considered day 1 [1,2].

VAP leads to prolonged LOS, MV days and it remains to be the commonest cause of hospital morbidity and mortality [3]. More over economic impact of VAP is significantly high since ICUs incur an important part of hospital expenses. Therefore, prevention of VAP and early diagnosis of it could reduce the care utilized during hospitalization and decrease resource utilization, LOS and subsequent expenses [4,5].

The major goals of VAP management are early diagnosis, appropriate antibiotics treatment in adequate doses followed by de-escalation based on microbiological culture results and the clinical response of the patient. Empowerment of antibiotic stewardship maintain quality of care through simple and cost-effective way to improve clinical outcomes while minimizing antibiotic side effects and its negative consequences and decrease length of stay [6].

The clinical assessment of ventilator-associated pneumonia VAP is usually based on the presence of fever (core temperature of more than 38.3c),
blood leukocytosis (more than 10,000 per mm$^3$), or leukopenia (less than 4,000 per mm$^3$), purulent tracheal secretions, and the presence of a new or persistent radiographic infiltrate [7].

CPIS is a score for calculated variables which was originally standardized by Pugin J. and his colleague, the score is proposed to combine the clinical signs recorded on the day of the clinical suspicion of VAP (including temperature, leukocytes, tracheal aspirate appearance and volume, chest X-ray infiltrates, and PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ ratio) to the tracheal aspirate gram stain and culture which obtained later, into a CPIS as a diagnostic tool of pneumonia [8].

The CPIS has been most successfully used in guiding treatment decisions for patients with a low likelihood of VAP, for whom CPIS-guided therapy has resulted in lower costs and reduced development of antimicrobial resistance and in turn will decrease LOS [9].

The study objectives are early detection of cases of (VAP) using Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score (CPIS) and measurement of MV days and ICU length of stay.

Material and Methods

Setting and design: Operational research, quasi-experimental interventional study design. The study was conducted in the in Critical Care Department in the Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University from May 2012 to January 2014. One of the multidisciplinary major referral system for critical care patients, serving patients referred from the hospital and from outside.

Sample size and target population: All patients (convenient sample) admitted to the Critical Care Department and underwent intubation and mechanical ventilation according to inclusion and exclusion criteria were included during the period of the study.

Inclusion criteria were:
1- Patients admitted to the Critical Care Department and received mechanical ventilation.
2- Patients enter the study after agreement of the staff.

Exclusion criterion was: Patients diagnosed pneumonia before ventilation.

Data collection: Data were collected in 2 phases and we use the APACHE II scoring system for detection of clinical condition of MV patients within 24 hours from admission and predict the mortality rate of them, this score will affect the patient’s outcome together with the associated comorbidities. Phase 1: Control group not using CPIS, each MV patient was visited in day 1 of MV, day 3 of MV and then every day till the day of extubation to collect clinical, laboratory, microbiological and radiological data. Phase 2: Intervention group using CPIS. Same as phase 1 and the staff was trying to use CPIS. At day 1 the CPIS was calculated based on first five variables which are temperature, blood leukocyte count, tracheal secretions, oxygenation, and character of pulmonary infiltrate in the X-ray. At day 3 of MV the CPIS was calculated based on all seven variables and took into consideration the progression of the infiltrate in chest X-ray and culture results of the tracheal aspirate, a score >6 at baseline or at 72 h is considered suggestive of pneumonia. If <=6 at 72 hours patient probably doesn’t have pneumonia and antibiotics probably can be stopped.

Source of data: 1- Hospital Information System (HIS)-Medica plus 4 software- from the Information Technology Department (IT). 2- Patient’s files: The patient’s medical records at bed site.

Pilot study was done and Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS Version 17) was used for analysis.

Ethical approval:

The head of the Critical Care Department agreed the study protocol, the written approval was taken and patient confidentiality was protected by codifying the recorded information, making it identifiable. Approval of the study from the Scientific Research Committee of the department and that of the faculty was taken.

Results

The two groups were homogenous as there was no significant difference between both groups regarding age, clinical condition on admission which was assessed by APACHE II score.

In the current study the CPIS was lower in intervention group at the day 3 with significant difference $p=0.01$, the most common organism in the culture results in our study was the gram –ve organism Klebsiella (25%) in control group versus gram +ve organism MRSA in intervention group (17.5%).
Table (1): Duration of Mechanical Ventilation (MV) and the ICU Length of Stay (LOS) in control group and intervention group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Control Group n=40</th>
<th>Intervention Group n=40</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MV days:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU LOS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table shows insignificant difference in duration of MV and ICU LOS between both groups but it's lower in intervention group.

Table (2): Comparison between patients in intervention group who were followed by CPIS and who weren't followed by CPIS, regarding Mechanical Ventilation days (MV) days and ICU Length of Stay (LOS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Patients were followed by CPIS n=19</th>
<th>Patients weren't followed by CPIS n=21</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MV days:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU LOS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table shows that the MV days and ICU LOS were significantly lower in patients who were followed by CPIS.

Table (3): Comparison between control group and intervention group (who were followed by CPIS) regarding Mechanical Ventilation (MV) days and ICU Length of Stay (LOS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Control Group n=40</th>
<th>Intervention Group (patients were followed by CPIS) n=19</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MV days:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU LOS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table shows that the median of ICU days was significantly lower in patients in intervention group who were followed by CPIS.

Discussion

According to the microbiological culture, the prior use of antibiotics before VAP development is considered as a risk factor for HAIs. Moreover, a history of antibiotic use prior to the onset of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) increases the probability of infection with Multidrug-Resistant (MDR) pathogens which in turn increase the ventilator days [10].

The CPIS has been most successfully used in guiding treatment decisions for patients with VAP and resulted in lower costs and reduced development of antimicrobial resistance [11].

The current study was conducted in El-Kasr El-Aini Critical Care Department on MV cases and CPIS was used to study the changes of the score for early detection of VAP and to perceive the changes of the patient's response to antibiotics which reflected on the patient's outcome, hospitalization and the cost. According to the score when CPIS ≤6 there was less possibility of VAP, therefore the staffs changed the prescription of the antibiotics for patients in intervention group according to their condition and take in consideration their associated comorbidities, which improve their condition and decrease the ventilator days and ICU LOS.

The most common organism in the culture results was the gram –ve organism Klebsiella in control group versus gram +ve organism MRSA in intervention group and this was agreed with 2 studies conducted in Critical Care Department in Alexandria Main University Hospital on VAP patients in which the gram –ve organism is more
common in the culture Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa followed by Staphylococcus aureus, the authors refer the significantly high rate of Gram negative bacilli in the study to the high incidence of prolonged hospital stay and the prolonged duration of mechanical ventilation that predisposes the patients to acquire infections from the multidrug-resistant pathogens [12,13].

One of the outcomes of proper management of VAP guided by CPIS was decrease the ICU LOS, in our study the median of MV days was apparently similar in both groups. The median of ICU LOS in intervention group was insignificantly lower than that in control group as present in (Table 1).

There was agreement of our results with study conducted in Surgical Intensive Care Unit, Zagazig University Hospitals in which the mean of ICU LOS of the VAP patients decreased from 35.3 ±21.7 days in pre intervention group to 27.3 ±11.9 days in post intervention group with no statistically significant difference between them (p=0.64) [14].

The researcher of the study go through intervention group and found that 19 cases (47.5%) were followed by CPIS and 21 cases (52.5%) weren't followed by CPIS due to the opinion of the ICU staff who were not familiar with using CPIS in MV patients and they recommend to start the antibiotics immediately to the patients once the ventilation started. More over 33% of the cases who weren't followed by CPIS suspected to have MRSA due to history of MDR and they start antibiotics immediately and didn't follow the CPIS.

The median of MV days and ICU LOS were significantly higher in patients (who weren't followed by CPIS) than patients (who were followed by CPIS) as presented in (Table 2).

More over when comparing the control group (not using the CPIS) with those in intervention group (who were followed by CPIS), we found that the median of ICU LOS was significantly lower in patients (who were followed by CPIS) in intervention group as shown in (Table 3).

Similar results were found in other study in which the authors examine the relation of VAP with the duration and cost of hospital stay and they found that the mean of LOS in the ICUs was 15.7±9.1 days in the VAP group and 4.9±4.9 days in the control group, and p<0.0001. The mean of MV days lasted 12.8±7.9 days in the VAP group and 3.6±4.2 days in the control group, and p<0.0001 [15].

Another study conducted at King Fahd hospital, Saudi Arabia showed that ventilator LOS and ICU LOS were significantly higher in the VAP group [16].

Conclusion:
The CPIS help the clinicians in early diagnosis of VAP and to observe the patient’s response to treatment for better control of antibiotics use which decrease MV days and ICU LOS in intervention group.
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تقييم كفاءة استخدام مقياس الإتهاب الرئوي الإكلينيكي على مدة الإقامة لدى حالات التنفس الصناعي

يحدث الإتهاب الرئوي المصاحب لجهاز التنفس الصناعي عند ظهور أول نتيجة إيجابية للمزئرة البكتيرية بعد مور 48 ساعة من الحزمة في المستشفى أو استخدام الإثيوبيا الهجوية، إلخ. هناك، يحدث إلتصاق البكتيريا بجهاز التنفس الصناعي في زيادة نسبة المرضى الداعمين، وأيضًا زيادة مدة استخدام جهاز التنفس الصناعي وحدة الإقامة في وحدة العناية المركزية.

تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تحسين النتائج الصحية لمرضى جهاز التنفس الصناعي من خلال المساعدة في التشخيص المبكر للحالات والعلاج المبكر للمضادات الحيوية المناسبة بجرعة كافية تلاها تغيير العلاج بناء على نتائج العامل البكتيري وإستجابة المريض وذلك باستخدام مقياس الإتهاب الرئوي الإكلينيكي. أيضاً تهدف الدراسة إلى تقليل مدة الإقامة على جهاز التنفس الصناعي وحدة الإقامة في وحدة العناية المركزية.

وجد أن متوازن مدة الإقامة في وحدة العناية المركزية ومتوسط المدة المستخدمة لجهاز التنفس الصناعي للمرضى الذين تم متابعتهم باستخدام مقياس الإتهاب الرئوي الإكلينيكي في مجموعة التدخل كان أقل بكثير من دالة إحصائية. بينما لجأت البكتيريا الأكثر شيوعًا في المجموعة الضافية هي كليبسيلات وفي مجموعة التدخل هي بكتيريا المكورات العنقودية المقاومة للمضادات الحيوية.

نستنتج من هذه الدراسة أن مقياس الإتهاب الرئوي الإكلينيكي يعتبر أداة لمراقبة حالة المرضى على جهاز التنفس الصناعي ورصد إستجابتهم للعلاج والتحقيق المبكر في أي تغيرات المضادات الحيوية المستخدمة مما يعكس دوره على مدة البقاء في المستشفى وتكلفة للاستجابة.
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