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Abstract

Background: In complete atrioventricular septal defect (CAVSD), a single atrioventricular valve annulus, a common atrioventricular valve, and a defect of the inlet ventricular septum are observed. The deficiency of the atrioventricular septum also results in the presence of a large primum atrial septal defect.

Details of the anatomy, particularly the morphology of the atrioventricular valve are crucial in planning surgical repair of this lesion.

Total repair of such cardiac lesion is now spreading with intentions to save children at younger age and lower body weight.

Improved technical methods and post-operative management have resulted in declining mortality over time.

Aim of Study: The purpose of this study was to compare the outcome of different surgical modalities in total repair of complete atrioventricular canal defects either single patch or double patches techniques.

Patients and Methods: This study was conducted at (Al-Hussin University Hospital, Pediatric Unit of National Heart Institute, Cairo University Specialized Pediatric Hospital & Afal Misr Hospital).

Between 2015 and 2017, fifty children with complete atrioventricular canal defects were operated upon. 50 Children with complete atrioventricular canal defects were divided into two groups: Group A included 30 patients who had total repair with single patch technique, and Group B included 20 patients who had total repair with double patches technique.

Results: Of 50 patients, 72% of them had Down Syndrome (DS). There were 3 mortalities distributed as 2 patients (6.7%) in Group I & 4 patients (22.2%), 1 patient (5.6%) in Group II respectively.

Conclusion: Modified single-patch repair in infants with complete AVSD is a safe and reproducible technique. The results are as good as the two-patch technique. Among long-term survivors, most have very good clinical and functional results and minimal or no regurgitation of either AV valves.
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Introduction

ATRIOVENTRICULAR Septal Defects (AVSDs) represent approximately 5% of congenital cardiac abnormalities and are bound by a variable deficiency of the Atrioventricular (AV) septum immediately above and below the AV valves. These defects are frequently associated with other cardiac malformations. About 30-40% of the cardiac abnormalities observed in patients with Down Syndrome are AVSDs [1].

It is caused by an abnormal or inadequate fusion of the superior and inferior endocardial cushions with the mid portion of the atrial septum and the muscular portion of the ventricular septum [2].

Tachypnea, repeated respiratory infections, poor feeding, and failure to thrive are frequent symptoms in patients with complete atrioventricular septal defect and large left-to-right shunts. These symptoms are usually present by 6-8 weeks and due to blood flow through the large interventricular communication with or without incompetence of the common atrioventricular valve [3].

Medical treatment effectiveness in complete atrioventricular septal defect has been questioned, diuretics, digoxin, and angiotensin converting
enzyme inhibitors have all been used to alleviate tachypnea and failure to thrive [4].

Treatment for a complete atrioventricular septal defect is surgical. Single-stage complete repair is currently preferred, but occasional cases of refractory congestive heart failure in a low-birth-weight infant may be palliated with the placement of a pulmonary artery band [5].

Total repair of such cardiac lesion is now spreading with intentions to save children at younger age and lower body weight. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the outcome of different surgical modalities in total repair either single patch or double patches techniques.

**Patients and Methods**

This study was conducted at (Al-Hussin University Hospital, Pediatric Unit of National Heart Institute, Cairo University Specialized Pediatric Hospital and Atfal Misr Hospital).

Between 2015 and 2017, fifty children with complete atrioventricular canal defects were operated upon. Children were divided into two groups: Group A included 30 patients who had total repair with single patch technique, and Group B included 20 patients who had total repair with double patches technique.

**Inclusion criteria:**
- Patients with complete type of atrioventricular septal defects.
- Patients with balanced ventricles.

**Exclusion criteria:**
- Patients with partial type of atrioventricular septal defects.
- Patients with unbalanced ventricles.
- Patients with irreversible pulmonary hypertension.
- Associated heart failure.

**Patients were subjected to:**

**A- Pre-operative assessment:**
- History taking with emphasis on age, sex and manifestation that was mostly repeated chest infection and failure to thrive.
- Clinical examination including general feature of Down’s Syndrome, body weight, general and local examinations.
- Routine laboratory work up including Haemoglobin, coagulation profile, CBC, liver and renal functions.
- Chest X-ray to assess the cardiac size, shape and pulmonary vasculature.
- Electrocardiography.
- Echocardiography describing detailed cardiac anatomy with special emphasis on degree of left atrioventricular valve regurgitation, the Rastelli classification of the complete form of common atrioventricular canal, size of ventricular septal defects, the balance of the canal, the presence of one versus two papillary muscles, the presence of associated ventricular septal defects and the presence of an important associated anomalies.
- Cardiac catheterization and angiocardiology is required in special situations in cases with severely elevated pulmonary arterial pressure to evaluate the pulmonary vascular resistance and and the presence of an important associated cardiac anomalies.

**B- Intra-operative assessment:**
- Surgical procedures: Repair of AV valves, repair techniques (one or two patches used).
- Bypass time, crossclamp time, time of cardioplegia.
- Need for inotropic support.

**C- Post-operative assessment:**
- Full ICU monitoring for pulse, invasive blood pressure, core and peripheral temperatures, central venous pressures, urine output, oxygen saturations, Arterial Blood Gases (ABG), the chest tube drainage, fluid and electrolyte balance.
- Duration of mechanical ventilation.
- Incidence of post-operative pulmonary hypertensive crisis.
- ICU medications and need for inotropic support.
- ECG for arrhythmias or any type of conduction abnormalities.
- CXR daily in the ICU and immediately before discharge from the hospital.
- Early post-operative echocardiography before discharge from hospital.
- Six months and one year follow-up by clinical examination, chest X-ray, echocardiography, ECG and need for medications (e.g. patients with heart failure, tricuspid or mitral regurgitation and residual lesions).

**Results**

Of 50 patients, 72% had Down Syndrome. Median age at surgery was 16.34 ± 11.11 months.
(ranged between 6-60 months). Median weight at surgery was 8.12±2.60kg (ranged between 4-15kg). They were distributed as mentioned in (Table 1).

Table 1: Demographic data distribution in relation to the study groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Chi-square test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>14 (46.7%)</td>
<td>15 (75.0%)</td>
<td>3.955</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>16 (53.3%)</td>
<td>5 (25.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age/month:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>14.53±9.46</td>
<td>19.00±13.05</td>
<td>1.404</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>6-40</td>
<td>6-60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>We/KG:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>7.80±2.69</td>
<td>8.59±2.45</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>4-15</td>
<td>5-15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Down syndrome:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7 (23.3%)</td>
<td>7 (35.0%)</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23 (76.7%)</td>
<td>13 (65.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparisons between both groups showed as regard to total pump times and ischemic times were longer in Group B than in Group A, (91.00 ±35.61) min in Group A, vs. (114.25±22.49) min in Group B p=0.013; (67.00±35.85), vs. (92.75±22.80) respectively p=0.006.

As regard to mechanical ventilation time, duration of inotropic support need & ICU stay, it was longer in Group B than in Group A (mean 12.07) SD ±9.37 in Group A, while in Group B, (mean 19.80) SD 17.96 p=0.052; mean (4.53±1.41), versus (mean 5.25±2.12) p=0.157 respectively Fig. (1).

As regard to post-operative complications in the form of chest infection, heart block, pericardial effusion & heart failure, numbers did not reach statistical significant difference between the two groups. In Group A chest infection was 3 patients (10%) compared to 2 patients (10%) in Group B, transient heart block which resolved well with no need for pacemaker implantation was 1 patient (3.3%) in Group A and 1 patient (5%) in Group B. Pericardial effusion which improved on diuretics was in 3 patients (10%) in Group A to 3 patients (15%) in Group B. Heart failure 1 patient (3.3%) and (0%) in both groups respectively Fig. (2).

During follow-up mortality was 2 patients (6.7%) in Group A & 1 patient (5%) in Group B Fig. (2).

At last follow-up, ten patients had moderate or more than moderate left AV valve regurgitation distributed as moderate in 4 patients (14.8%) & severe in 1 patient (3.7%) in Group A & 4 patients (22.2%), 1 patient (5.6%) in Group B respectively Fig. (3).
TEE is mandatory intraoperative during CAVC. Patients (65%) are Down Syndrome. Values were infants. The long-term results, no significant difference in Down Syndrome with overall number 36 (72%).

In Group A, 23 patients (76.7%) & in Group B, 13 patients (65%) are Down Syndrome. Values were statistically-insignificant (p>0.05).

Tumanyan et al. [8] study revealed, concerning the long-term results, no significant difference in actuarial freedom from reoperation after repair of CAVSD between DS and NK (non karyotyping) groups (p<0.13). However, the presence of Down Syndrome in patients significantly increases the risk of severe co-morbidities that have a significant impact on the recovery period, as well as on life expectancy even after successful correction.

As regard to total pump time, Group A showed a mean pump time of 91.00±35.61min, while it was 114.25±22.49min in Group B (p=0.013). It was homogenous when compared with other studies, results from Pan G et al. [9]. The study reported a total pump time of (95.02 ± 19.73) vs. (109.9± 34.07), (p=0.011) for both groups respectively. (Significantly longer in Group B than in Group A).

While for cross clamp time, Group A showed ischemic time of (67.00±35.85), while it was (92.75± 22.80) in Group B (p=0.006). In the same fashion, Pan G et al. [9] reported (70.56±21.05) vs. (83.76± 22.74) minutes, (p=0.004) in the two groups respectively.

Al-Senaidi et al. [10] study as regard preoperative and immediate post-operative two-dimensional (2D) echocardiograms, as well as follow-up 2D and three-dimensional (3D) studies, were reviewed for the LAVV, no significant difference was observed with respect to LAVV annulus size, tenting height, and the size of the vena contracta.

In-hospital mortality rate in our study was 2 patients (6.7%) In Group A & 1 patients (5%) in Group B, compared with operative mortality in other studies of Shi G et al. [11], it was 0% & 11.11% in both groups respectively, Pan et al. [9] 2.17 & 1.69 in both groups respectively.

James et al. [12] reported over all hospital mortality 3%. While SalilGinde et al. [13], reported overall perioperative mortality 10%, with a significant decrease to 3% in the late surgical era (p<0.001).

Discussion

In this study, the age group ranged between 6-60 months and their body weight ranged between 4-15KG.

In Group A, age was ranged between 6 and 40 months, mean (14.53) SD ± 9.46, while in Group B, age ranged between 6 and 60 months, mean (19) SD ± 13.05. The body weight in Group (A) ranged between 4 and 15kg, mean (7.80) SD ± 2.45, while in Group (B).

The body weight ranged between 5 and 15kg, mean (8.59) SD ± 2.45.

Compared to Singh et al. [6] who published their results including 65 infants and children with a mean age of 10.9 months (range, 1 month to 15.5 years) who underwent CAVSD repair from 1990 to 2004. Twenty-six repairs (40%) were done on or before 3 months of age (Group A) and 39 repairs (60%) were done after 3 months of age (Group B), they had 46 out of them were neonates and 20 patients were infants.

The same also for Khushboo et al. [7], that were operated on 48 patients with a median age of 105 days (range 34-405) and weight of 4.3kg (2.7-7.7). The cohort was subdivided based upon age at surgery into Group A (≤90 days, n=18) and Group B (>90 days, n=30). Pre-operative patient characteristics were similar between groups except weight at surgery (3.8 vs. 4.6kg).

The majority of patients in our study were Down Syndrome with overall number 36 (72%). In Group A, 23 patients (76.7%) & in Group B, 13 patients (65%) are Down Syndrome. Values were statistically-insignificant (p>0.05).

Table (2): Operative data among the study groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table (2): Operative data among the study groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.=30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CPB time/min:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clamp time/min:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

In this study, the age group ranged between 6-60 months and their body weight ranged between 4-15KG.

In Group A, age was ranged between 6 and 40 months, mean (14.53) SD ± 9.46, while in Group B, age ranged between 6 and 60 months, mean (19) SD ± 13.05. The body weight in Group (A) ranged between 4 and 15kg, mean (7.80) SD ± 2.45, while in Group (B).

The body weight ranged between 5 and 15kg, mean (8.59) SD ± 2.45.

Compared to Singh et al. [6] who published their results including 65 infants and children with a mean age of 10.9 months (range, 1 month to 15.5 years) who underwent CAVSD repair from 1990 to 2004. Twenty-six repairs (40%) were done on or before 3 months of age (Group A) and 39 repairs (60%) were done after 3 months of age (Group B), they had 46 out of them were neonates and 20 patients were infants.

The same also for Khushboo et al. [7], that were operated on 48 patients with a median age of 105 days (range 34-405) and weight of 4.3kg (2.7-7.7). The cohort was subdivided based upon age at surgery into Group A (≤90 days, n=18) and Group B (>90 days, n=30). Pre-operative patient characteristics were similar between groups except weight at surgery (3.8 vs. 4.6kg).

The majority of patients in our study were Down Syndrome with overall number 36 (72%). In Group A, 23 patients (76.7%) & in Group B, 13 patients (65%) are Down Syndrome. Values were statistically-insignificant (p>0.05).

Tumanyan et al. [8] study revealed, concerning the long-term results, no significant difference in actuarial freedom from reoperation after repair of CAVSD between DS and NK (non karyotyping) groups (p<0.13). However, the presence of Down Syndrome in patients significantly increases the risk of severe co-morbidities that have a significant impact on the recovery period, as well as on life expectancy even after successful correction.

As regard to total pump time, Group A showed a mean pump time of 91.00±35.61min, while it was 114.25±22.49min in Group B (p=0.013). It was homogenous when compared with other studies, results from Pan G et al. [9]. The study reported a total pump time of (95.02 ± 19.73) vs. (109.9± 34.07), (p=0.011) for both groups respectively. (Significantly longer in Group B than in Group A).

While for cross clamp time, Group A showed ischemic time of (67.00±35.85), while it was (92.75 ± 22.80) in Group B (p=0.006). In the same fashion, Pan G et al. [9] reported (70.56±21.05) vs. (83.76± 22.74) minutes, (p=0.004) in the two groups respectively.

Al-Senaidi et al. [10] study as regard preoperative and immediate post-operative two-dimensional (2D) echocardiograms, as well as follow-up 2D and three-dimensional (3D) studies, were reviewed for the LAVV, no significant difference was observed with respect to LAVV annulus size, tenting height, and the size of the vena contracta.

In-hospital mortality rate in our study was 2 patients (6.7%) In Group A & 1 patients (5%) in Group B, compared with operative mortality in other studies of Shi G et al. [11], it was 0% & 11.11% in both groups respectively, Pan et al. [9] 2.17 & 1.69 in both groups respectively.

James et al. [12] reported over all hospital mortality 3%. While SalilGinde et al. [13], reported overall perioperative mortality 10%, with a significant decrease to 3% in the late surgical era (p<0.001).

**Conclusion:**

- Well-equipped pediatric surgical units should raise their capacity and facilities with well-trained devoted teams (surgeons, anesthetists, intensivists, perfusionists and nursing staff) to cope with such procedures and increase their experience with younger age and lower body weight children.
- Despite different ages, different weight at repair, and different physiology, patients with complete AVSD present a similar risk of LAVVR.
- TEE is mandatory intraoperative during CAVC repair even though, there is often too much discrepancy between its findings and those obtained
through transthoracic exams in the days or weeks after repair.

- Great care should be taken during LAVV repair, great effort should be done to get mild or no LAVV regurgitation & that it is not accepted to discharge the patient with more than moderate regurgitation.

- Statements such as "in Down patients valve tissue is more abundant and allows for an easier reconstruction" are often seen however, not all studies confirm this relationship. We did not find any significant differences between individuals with or without Down Syndrome in our study or other studies.

- Chest condition is an important post-operative factor to be followed properly especially in patients with Down Syndrome & is considered the greatest predisposing factor for prolonged post-operative hospital stay.

Modified single-patch repair in infants with complete AVSD is a safe and reproducible technique. They provides shorter ischemic time and bypass time. The results are as good as the double patches technique. Among long-term survivors, most have very good clinical and functional results and minimal or no regurgitation of either AV valves.
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النتائج الأذينية البطينية الكاملة
نتائج التقنيات الجراحية المختلفة

تعتبر القنوات الأذينية البطينية هي أحد العيوب الخلقية بالقلب وهي قد تكون كاملة أو جزئية. وتشتم الكاملة منها نقش في الحاجز الخفيف بين البطينين فضلاً عن نقص في الحاجز بين الأذينين مع وجود أذيني بطيئتي بدلاً من وجود صمامين مفصليين. سبب عدم اكتمال نمو هذا الجزء أثناء التكوين الجنيني للطفل المصاب بهذا العيب الخفيف وقد لوحظ أن قد الأطفال المصابين بهذا العيب الخفيف من متكافزات داون.

وغالباً ما يعاني هؤلاء الأطفال من سرعة التنفس والتهابات متكورة بالجهاز التنفسي والتي عادة ما تظهر قبل 8 أسابيع من العمر.

وتكون نتائج العلاج الطبي في هذه الحالة غالباً غير مرضية. فهي تحتاج إلى التدخل الجراحي، وقد أدى تحسن مستوى الأداء الجراحي، وتحسين المتابعة والرعاية فيما بعد الجراحة إلى تحسن النتائج الجراحية لإصلاح العيب الخفيف.

وفي هذه الدراسة قمنا بمقارنة نتائج الاستراتيجيات والتقنيات الجراحية المختلفة سواء باستخدام الرقعة الواحدة أو الرقعتين وقد تبين أن نتائج استخدام الرقعة الواحدة جيدة وأ悍ق مثل نتائج استخدام الرقعتين وأن نسبة حدوث إرتجاع بالصمام الميترالي متشابه في الحالتين.

والأملاك نسبة حدوث مضاعفات أو وفيات كما ورد في هذه الدراسة.