Role of Laparoscopy in Appendicular Mass among Pediatric Age Group With or Without Perforation: Early Outcome
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Abstract

Background: Laparoscopic appendectomy is a safe, feasible and effective technique for complicated appendicitis such as mass, abscess and perforation in pediatric patients. Laparoscopic approach had several advantages over open appendectomy in that, it allow proper and wide visualization of the abdominal cavity.

Aim: Our aim is to evaluate the feasibility and safety of laparoscopy in cases of appendicular mass among pediatric age group with or without perforation.

Material and Methods: This study was carried out on forty children presented by complicated appendicitis (perforation, mass or abscess) with history less than 72 hours, aged between 4 and 18 years and scheduled for laparoscopic appendectomy. Antibiotic regimen consisted of daily intravenous administration of (cefotiam, 80mg/kg/24h) for 5 days intravenously and metronidazole (20mg/kg/24h) for 5 days intravenously, oral antibiotics (cefuroxime + metronidazole) were continued for another 1 week.

Results: Intraoperative findings were 17 cases acute perforated appendicitis, 13 cases appendicular mass, two patients were converted to open appendectomy. Analysis revealed presence of gangrenous appendicitis in 75% and suppurative (Phlegmonous) appendicitis in 25% of all appendices. Post-operative complications were encountered in form of intraperitoneal collection in one, fever in five, umbilical port site infection in two cases.

Conclusions: Laparoscopic appendectomy is a safe, feasible and effective technique for complicated appendicitis such as mass, abscess and perforation in pediatric patients. Laparoscopic approach had several advantages over open appendectomy in that, it allow proper and wide visualization of the abdominal cavity, moreover, it is very useful in reaching an exact diagnosis in complicated cases with experience and gentle handling.
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Introduction

ACUTE appendicitis is the most common pediatric abdominal surgical emergency [1]. The classic open appendectomy described by McBurney in the 19th century has been gradually replaced by the laparoscopic appendectomy first performed by Kurt Semm in 1983 [1]. Due to the development and gradual adjustment in size of the laparoscopic instruments, this technique started to be used in pediatric surgery as well in the 90s.

Laparoscopic appendectomy has the advantages of providing better access and good visualization of the peritoneal cavity with relatively smaller incisions, decreasing the length of hospitalization, there are fewer parietal wall complications, lower percentage of bowel obstruction, faster restoration of the gastrointestinal transit, lower need for antibiotics and analgesics, better cosmetic results and faster reintegration in the normal daily life [2] as compared to open appendectomy but the place of laparoscopy in complicated appendicitis in children still remains a matter of debate [3].

The percentage of post laparoscopy mechanical bowel obstruction is lower due to smaller wall incisions, atraumatic instruments and better irrigation of the entire abdominal cavity under direct view. Intestinal transit is restored sooner due to atraumatic handling of the intestinal loops and immediate postoperative mobilization of the patient. All these benefits are even more evident in obese patients [4].

Aim and objectives:

The aim of this study is to evaluate the feasibility and safety of laparoscopic appendectomy in cases of complicated appendicitis among pediatric age group.
Material and Methods

A prospective randomized study was carried out in Tanta University Hospitals in Pediatric Surgery Unit (A) in the period between July 2015 and January 2017, on forty children of both sex presented by complicated appendicitis (perforation, mass) with history less than 72 hours, aged between 4 and 18 years and scheduled for laparoscopic appendectomy and patients aged more than 18 years and patients with history of acute appendicitis more than 72 hours were excluded patients were subjected to the following, full history taking, general examination, local examination, investigations.

Surgical technique, is shown in the following Figures.

Fig. (1): Ports placement in appendectomy.

Fig. (2): Appendix held with forceps.

Fig. (3): Appendix devascularization using diathermy.

Fig. (4): Complete devascularization of the appendix till the base.

Fig. (5): Ligation of the first knot extracorporeally at the base of the appendix.

Fig. (6): Ligated first knot at the base of the appendix.
Fig. (7): Ligation of second knot intracorporeally.

Fig. (8): Cutting the appendix using scissors.

Fig. (9): Appendectomy completed.

Fig. (10): Base secured with 2 vicryl ligatures.

Fig. (11): Appendicular mass.

Fig. (12): Appendicular abscess showing pus.

Fig. (13): Excised suppurative appendix with evident pyogenic membrane.

Post-operative management:
Analgesics were given intramuscularly (pentazosine), as a suppository (diclofenac sodium), or orally (loxoprofen) as needed for the first 48 hours and, antibiotic regimen consisted of daily intravenous administration of (cefotiam, 80mg kg/24h) for 5 days intravenously and metronidazole (20 mg/kg/24h) for 5 days intravenously, oral antibiotics (cefuroxime + metronidazole) were continued for another 1 week.

Oral intake was started as soon as patient tolerated, when the bowel function became adequate.
Patients were discharged when both oral intake and physical activity had recovered sufficiently.

Follow-up of the patients:
The patients were followed-up by clinical assessment for detection of the post-operative complications at the outpatient clinic after one week.

Results

I- Pre-operative results:

Table (1): Age distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age distribution</th>
<th>Range (year)</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>4-18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): Sex distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3): Laboratory investigations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory investigations</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Normal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WBCs</td>
<td>13000-16900 (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>4000-11000 (mm$^3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>6.8-96 (mg/L)</td>
<td>0-6 (mg/L)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4): Imaging studies (U/S).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute perforated appendicitis</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendicular mass</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendicular abscess</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal obstruction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1- Imaging studies (U/S):

Operative results:

- Intraoperative diagnosis:
The same as U/S findings.

- Devascularization time:
The average time needed for devascularization of the appendix ranged from 15 to 42 minutes with a mean value 22.1 ± 12.17 minutes.

- Knotting time:
Knotting time ranged between 9 and 35 minutes with a mean value 16.12 ± 6.52 minutes.

- Operative time:
Operation time ranged between 36 and 92 minutes with a mean value 75.36 ± 12.69 minutes.

- Drains:
Drains were inserted in right side of pelvis in all patients.

- Intraoperative complications:
Two patients were converted to open appendectomy: The first case had appendicular mass and after meticulous dissection of the appendix it was retro cecal and plunged deeply. This case was converted to open appendectomy, through a McBurney; incision the tip of the appendix was delivered and the appendix was followed to the base which was ligated and the appendix was removed. The second case also had appendicular mass, uncontrolled bleeding occurred during dissection. This case was converted to open appendectomy, and the bleeding was controlled and the appendix was removed.

- Histopathology:
All samples were sent to Histopathology Department for further analysis.
Post-operative results:
- **Start of oral feeding:**
  The start of oral feeding ranged between one and five days with mean 2.9 ± 1.8 days.
- **Hospital stay:**
  Hospital stay ranged between three and eight days with a mean 5.03 ± 1.75 days.
- **Post-operative complications:**
  - **Intraperitoneal collection:**
    In this study, there was one patient who suffered from post-operative small right iliac fossa hematoma proved by sonographic examination and was treated conservatively using antibiotics and sonographic follow-up.
  - **Post-operative fever:**
    In this study, five patients showed fever that was treated conservatively and disappeared within 24 hours.
  - **Port site infection:**
    In this study, two patients showed umbilical port site infection which was treated conservatively using antibiotics.

Discussion

Acute appendicitis is the most common cause of an acute abdomen requiring surgery, with a lifetime risk of about 7% [5]. Since the introduction of laparoscopic appendectomy in 1983 by Kurt Semm [6], many questions were asked about this complicated procedure, including patients’ safety [7], the cost benefits [8], the increased technological complexity [9] and the post-operative outcomes [10], leaving a wide area for researchers to find out.

Previous studies, including retrospective analyses of large databases or meta-analyses, concluded that laparoscopic appendectomy is associated with a reduction in wound infection rates and in-hospital stays as well as less post-operative pain with quicker functional recovery [7]. On the other hand, the laparoscopic approach is still controversial for complicated appendicitis in children [7]. This is related to a higher incidence of post-operative abdominal and wound infections [7]. Post-operative intra-abdominal abscess remains a major concern in laparoscopic appendectomy for perforated appendicitis [11,14].

Frazee and Bohannon were among the first to conclude that laparoscopic appendectomy is safe and beneficial for patients with complicated appendicitis [12]. Since then, a few retrospective studies discussed the feasibility and success of the laparoscopic approach, but it was not until Wullstein et al reported a large case series that the advantages of a minimally invasive technique for complicated appendicitis was established [13,14].

In this study we evaluated the feasibility and safety of laparoscopic appendectomy in cases of complicated appendicitis among pediatric patients.

This prospective study included 40 children with complicated acute appendicitis, all patients were managed by laparoscopic appendectomy within three days from start of symptoms.

Regarding age distribution, the age of the patients ranged from 4 to 18 years with median of 12 years, this is similar to Moraitis et al. study, in which age ranged from 4 to 18 years [18], Menezes et al., and Meguerditchian et al., where age ranged from 2 to 16 years [15,22], Saquib et al., in which included age ranged from 3 to 12 years [16], and Ikeda et al., which ranged from 2 to 15 years with median of 9 years [20].

The sex distribution ratio found in this study was 2.07 males to 1 females which is similar to other studies as Menezes et al., study [15], Saquib et al., study [16], Wang et al., study [7] and Ikeda et al., study [20].

The mean total leukocyte count was 14100 ± 2650 per mm$^3$ with range between 13000 and 16900 mm$^3$, this is in agreement with Hackam et al., study, who found that the mean total leukocyte count was 18000 per mm$^3$ in his cases [19].

The serum C-reactive protein ranged between 6.8-96mg/dL with a mean of 41.5 ± 10.5mg/dL, this is in agreement with Ikeda et al., study, who found that the serum C-reactive protein ranged between 11 and 67.0mg/dL with a mean of 38.4 ± 11.6mg/dL in [20].
There were seventeen patients with acute perforated appendicitis, thirteen patients with appendicular mass, eight patients with appendicular abscess, and two patients with intestinal obstruction. This is similar to Meguerditchian et al. study who reported gangrenous appendicitis in thirteen cases and perforated appendicitis in thirteen cases [22]. Moraitis et al., study included three patients with complicated appendicitis (gangrenous or perforated) [18] and Ikeda et al. study, that included thirty one cases with phlegmonous appendicitis, twenty two patients with gangrenous or perforated appendicitis [20].

The operation time ranged between 36 to 92 minutes with mean of 75.36±12.69 minutes. This is similar to Saqib et al., and Vahdad et al., studies in which the operation time ranged between 45-120 minutes with mean of 70.2±11.3 minutes [16,21]. This operative time was shorter than time reported in Ikeda et al., and Moraitis et al., studies which showed average time 87.3±9.1 minutes, and 129.5±13.6 minutes respectively [18,20]. This may be due to rising of experience with the rise in the learning curve of laparoscopic appendectomy.

Two cases were converted from laparoscopic to open appendectomy. The first case was an appendicular mass. Meticulous dissection was done, the appendix was retro cecal and plunging deeply. This case was converted to open appendectomy through a McBurney; incision the tip of the appendix was delivered and the appendix was followed to the base which was ligated and the appendix was removed. The second case was also with appendicular mass. Uncontrolled bleeding occurred during dissection. This case was converted to open appendectomy, the bleeding was controlled and the appendix was removed. In Saqib et al. study, they reported conversion in two patients because of difficulties in dissection and the consequent identification of the appendicular base [16]. Menezes et al., reported conversion in one patient with appendicular abscess due to difficulties in dissection of an appendicular abscess with a mass [15], Hackam et al., reported conversion in three patients due to difficulties in dissection [19], but in Lintula et al. study, there were no intraoperative complications [17].

There were two cases with intestinal obstruction from adhesions in this study. This is is similar to Vahdad et al., who reported two cases with intestinal obstruction [21].

Wound infection and intra-abdominal abscess are the commonest post-operative complications noted after appendectomy in children with complicated appendicitis [15]. In this study, there were three post-operative complications in the form of one intraperitoneal collection which was small right iliac fossa hematoma proved by sonographic examination and was treated conservatively using antibiotics. This is in similar to Menezes et al. study who reported five patients with post-operative complications in the form of intra-abdominal collections in three cases and wound infections in two cases [15]. Lintula et al., reported two major complications occurring in children with per appendicular masses, one child with an intrapelvic abscess, and the other has the tip of the appendix remained in situ after laparoscopic appendectomy [17,19]. Hackam et al., reported three patients with abscesses, and one patient with a wound infection at the umbilical port site [19]. Ikeda et al., reported intra-abdominal abscess in four patients, wound infections in three patients, and stitch abscess in one patient [20]. Meguerditchian et al., reported Intra-abdominal abscess in two cases, wound infection in three cases, umbilical granuloma in two cases, seroma in one case [22]. The post-operative complications in this study were few numbers due to meticulous work and complete aseptic conditions.

The mean length of post-operative hospital stay was 5.03±1.75 days, this is similar to Meguerditchian et al., who reported postoperative hospital stay of 2.33±1.23 day [22]. Hackam et al., found that the mean length of post-operative hospital stay was 5.95±1.56 days [19], while Moraitis et al., found that the mean length of post-operative hospital stay was 3.58±1.98 days in cases of complicated perforating appendicitis [18], and Menezes et al., found the mean length of post-operative hospital stay was 7.36±2.1 days [15].

As regards return to full enteral feeding, the mean duration of return to normal diet was 2.9±1.8 days, this is comparable to Saqib et al., who reported the mean time until return to normal diet was 1.8±0.6 days [16].

Conclusions:

Laparoscopic appendectomy is a safe, feasible and effective technique for complicated appendicitis such as mass, abscess and perforation in pediatric patients.
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التقييم المبكر لدور منظار البطن الجراحى
في علاج كتلة الزائدة الدودية في حالة إئتمارها أم لا في الأطفال

مقدمة: يعتبر الإئتمار الحاد للزائدة الدودية من أكثر حالات الطوارئ الجراحية حدوثًا في الأطفال ويطلب إجراء جراحة لإستئصالها وأما استئصالها بالمنظار الجراحي فلن فرصة للاستعمال العام 1983 وتميز استئصال الزائدة الدودية عن طريق الربط خارج الجسم بمساعدة المنظار بقصر فترة المكوث بالمستشفى بعد إجراء الجراحة وقلة حدة الألم بعد الجراحة والعديد السريعة للعمل بعد الجراحة.

تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تقييم استئصال الزائدة الدودية في حالة إئتمار الزائدة الدودية بمساعدة المنظار خارج الجسم من حيث السرعة والكفاءة والتكلفة والنتائج الجمالية.

وأجريت هذه الدراسة على 40 حالة إئتمار مضاعف بالزائدة الدودية من سن أربعية إلى سبعة عشر سنة بحثاً جراحة الأطفال في مستشفى الطوارئ الجامعى بطنطا خلال الفترة من 1 يناير 2015 إلى 1 يناير 2017 27 حالة من الإناث وقد تم تأكيد تشخيص الحالات بالمنظار الجراحي ووجود 17 حالة بها إئتمار بالزائدة الدودية و21 حالة بها كتلة بالزائدة الدودية و8 حالات بها خراج بالزائدة الدودية وحالات أخرى تم إنسداد مجرىها.

كان متوسط الوقت لإجراء الجراحة بالمنظار 61.2 دقيقة دون مضاعفات جراحية ضخمة 14 حالة تم إستئصال الزائدة الدودية والشفى الجراحي.

الخاتمة من الموضوع:

استئصال الزائدة الدودية بمنظار البطن الجراحي في حالات إئتمار الزائدة الدودية مضاعف أمر يمنح وقية تنشيطية وعلاجية وذلك حيث السرعة والكفاءة والتكلفة والنتائج الجمالية.