Response of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia's Patient to Different Types of Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors
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Abstract

Background: Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML) is a proliferative neoplasm with an incidence of one to two cases per 100,000 adults. It accounts for approximately 15% of newly diagnosed cases of leukemia in adults, it is a serious and life-threatening condition, but with the introduction of tyrosine kinase inhibitors, there is much better life expectancy with low incidence of mortality and morbidity.

Aim of Study: Retrospective study to determine the response of CML patients to Imatinib and Nilotinib as a second lines of TKIS.

Patients and Methods: Records of CML patients who attended Assiut University Clinical Hematology Unit and Clinical Oncology Unit from 2014 to 2016 were revised and evaluated for complete hematological response, Partial Cytogenetic Response (PCR), Complete Cytogenetic Response (CCR) and Major Molecular Response (MMR).

The study evaluated the response of CML patients to two types of tyrosine kinase inhibitors; imatinib and Nilotinib as a second line therapy either by increasing the dose of imatinib 400mg to 800mg and Nilotinib 600mg to 800mg or shifting to the other line.

Results: Administration of Nilotinib 600mg/day or 800 mg/day achieved a higher percentage of MMR than imatinib (p=<0.001).

Conclusion: Nilotinib is a selective efficient second line TKI drug after failure or tolerance to Imatinib as it is more effective than imatinib as a second line therapy either by increasing the dose or shifting to it regarding MMR with a p-value 0.04.

The development of TKIs has changed the natural history of CML patients with improvement in the overall survival and life style.
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Introduction

CHRONIC Myeloid Leukemia (CML) is a myeloproliferative disorder that occurs in all ages but predominates in adults with an incidence of one to two cases per 100,000 adults so it accounts for approximately 15% of newly diagnosed cases of leukemia in adults [1], the prevalence of CML in the United States, estimated at about 25-30,000 in 2000, has increased to an estimated 80-1,00,001 in 2015, and will reach a plateau of about 1,80,000 cases by 2030 [2].

CML is a clonal disorder diagnosed by leukemic cells, more than 95% of patients have a characteristic cytogenetic abnormality "the Philadelphia chromosome chromosome". It results from a reciprocal translocation between the long arms of both chromosomes 9 and 22 [3].

It has three stages:

1- Chronic phase: Patients in this phase typically have less than 10% blasts in their blood or bone marrow samples [4].

2- Accelerated phase: Patients have accelerated phase if any of the following are found; the bone marrow or blood samples have more than 10% but fewer than 20% blasts or high blood basophil count (basophils making up at least 20% of the white blood cells), high white blood cell counts that do not go down with treatment, very high or very low platelet counts that are not caused by treatment or new chromosome changes in the leukemia cells [5].

3- Blast phase (also called acute phase or blast crisis): Bone marrow and/or blood samples from a patient in this phase have more than 20% blasts. In this phase, the CML acts much like an aggressive acute leukemia [6].
The diagnosis of CML is based on histopathologic findings in the peripheral blood and blood smear in a form of high total WBC count with slightly increased basophils and eosinophils, thrombocytopenia, thrombocytosis or it may be of normal platelete counts, Leukoerythroblastic reaction, with circulating immature cells from the bone marrow and early myeloid cells [7].

The bone marrow findings in the form of Hypercellularity with increase of the myeloid cell line and its precursor cells, Megakaryocytes are prominent may be increased or decreased and presence of Philadelphia chromosome in bone marrow studies [8].

Treatment of patients with Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia (CML) is usually initiated when the diagnosis is established, with the introduction of tyrosine kinase inhibitors as a definite treatment for CML the annual mortality in CML has decreased from 10-20% down to 1-2% [9].

Tyrosine kinase inhibitors for CML:
- **Imatinib mesylate (Gleevec):** For chronic, accelerated and blast phases (standard treatment of choice).
- **Dasatinib (Sprycel):** For chronic phase, accelerated and blast phases.
- **Nilotinib (Tasigna):** For chronic phase, accelerated and blast phases.
- **Bosutinib (Bosulif):** For chronic, accelerated, and blast phases.
- **Ponatinib (Iclusig):** For chronic or blast phase T315I-positive cases, or inappropriate patients in whom no other TKI therapy is tolerated or indicated [10].

In this study we evaluated the response of chronic myeloid leukemia patients to second line of tyrosine kinase inhibitors imatinib and nilotinib in different doses after failure or tolerance to first line therapy.

**Patients and Methods**

Retrospective study records of 140 CML patients who attended Assiut University Clinical Hematology Unit and Clinical Oncology Unit from 2014 to 2016 were revised and evaluated for response of second line tyrosine kinase inhibitors in form of complete hematological response, partial cytogenetic response, complete cytogenetic response and major molecular response.

The study evaluated the response of 140 CML patients to two types of tyrosine kinase inhibitors; Imatinib and Nilotinib as a second line therapy either by increasing the dose of Imatinib 400mg to 800mg and Nilotinib 600mg to 800mg or shifting to another line.

Efficacy of the drug was evaluated at different four times during the therapy; at end of 1st month to assess CHR, 3rd month to assess PCR, 6th to assess CCR and MMR was assessed during the 12th month of therapy unless any responsive criteria was achieved from first line therapy.

**Criteria for responses:**
- A complete hematologic response includes the following:
  - Complete normalization of peripheral blood counts, with a leukocyte count <10 X 10^9/L and a platelet count <450 X 10^9/L.
  - No immature cells (eg, myelocytes, promyelocytes, or blasts) in the peripheral blood.
  - No signs and symptoms of disease; disappearance of palpable splenomegaly.
- A partial hematologic response is indicated by one or more of the following:
  - Immature cells in the peripheral blood.
  - Platelet count <50% of the pretreatment count but >450 X 10^9/L.
  - Persistent splenomegaly, but <50% of the pre-treatment extent.
- A complete cytogenetic response requires that there will be no Ph+ metaphases.
- A partial cytogenetic response includes 1-35% Ph+ metaphases.
- A complete molecular response requires that BCR-ABL mRNA be undetectable by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).
- A major molecular response includes >3 log reduction of BCR-ABL mRNA.

**Statistical analysis:**

The results of the study were tabulated and statistical analysis was carried out using statistical package spss/pc Version 12 using significant level \( p < 0.05 \).

Continuous data will be expressed in form median and analyzed by using student t-test while nominal data will be expressed in form of frequency and proportion and compared by Chi square test.
Results

The study evaluated response of CML patients to two different types of tyrosine kinase inhibitors; Imatinib and Nilotinib. The study included 140 patients were diagnosed to have CML. Patients were divided into two according to type of tyrosine kinase inhibitor was used as a first line of therapy; Imatinib group (400mg/day) that included 84 patients and Nilotinib (600mg/day) group that included 56 patients.

Clinical and demographic data of the patients: Males were more frequent in both groups; 49 (58.3%) patients in Imatinib group and 30 (53.6%) patients in Nilotinib group. Median age was 53 and 30 years for Imatinib and Nilotinib group respectively.

Median duration of the disease since diagnosis till last visit was 15 months in case of Imatinib group but in case of Nilotinib group was 14 months. Huge splenomegaly was found in 61 (72.6%) patients who received Imatinib and in 30 (57.1%) patients in case of Nilotinib group respectively.

Majority of patients in both groups had low Sokal risk score for CML where 49 (58.3%) and 21 (37.5%) patients in Imatinib and Nilotinib group respectively had low Sokal risk score.

It was noticed that there were significant statistical differences between both groups respecting median age, splenomegaly and Sokal risk score where $p$-value was 0.00, 0.03 and 0.00 respectively. In contrast median of disease duration and sex of the patients had no significant statistical differences where $p$-value was 0.06 and 0.3 respectively.

Sixty five (77.4%) patients in Imatinib group were in chronic stable phase of the disease but in case of Nilotinib group 30 (53.6%) patients were in this phase. The results showed a statistical significant difference between Imatinib group and Nilotinib group regarding the phase of the disease with $p$-value was 0.02.

Characteristics of patients who started second line of therapy (either increasing dose of 1st line or shifted to other line):

Fifty three patients from those who not respond to the first line were shifted to the second line. All of those patients had CHR to 1st line of therapy but failed to achieve the subsequent response.

Majority of them, 30 (57%) patients, were on Imatinib in the 1st line and 23 (43%) patients were on Nilotinib ($p<0.04$). There was no specific guide to type of second line either increasing dose of 1st line or shifted to other agents where in 6 (11.3%) patients dose of Imatinib was increased to 800mg, 20 (37.7%) patients dose of Nilotinib was increased into 800mg and 27 (50.9%) patients were shifted to Nilotinib 600mg.

Regarding demographic and clinical data of those patients; only age was significantly differed between the three groups ($p=0.02$) where those patients who received Imatinib 800mg were older than other patients. Other data as duration of disease, splenomegaly, and Sokal risk score were statistical insignificant variables between the three groups where $p$-value was >0.05.

Outcome of 2nd line of therapy:

- Achievement of PCR: Only three patients didn’t achieve PCR during 1st line of therapy and shifted to 2nd line; all of them achieved PCR with 2nd line of therapy. All of those patients received Imatinib 800mg/day.

- Achievement of CCR: It was noticed that all patients on 2nd line of therapy achieved CCR during their follow-up.

- Achievement of MMR: Out of 53 patients received 2nd line of therapy; 48 (90.5%) patients achieved MMR with high percentage of achievement of MMR among those used Nilotinib 600mg/day ($p=0.00$).

Five patients deteriorated and died; two of them had disease progression and were on Imatinib 800 mg/day and other three patients deteriorated due to adverse effect of therapy and were on Nilotinib 600 or 800mg/day.

Table (1): Clinical and demographic data of patients in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Imatinib group (n=84)</th>
<th>Nilotinib group (n=56)</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>53 (22-75)</td>
<td>30 (20-66)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median duration (months)</td>
<td>15 (4-34)</td>
<td>14 (5-32)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49 (58.3%)</td>
<td>30 (53.6%)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>35 (41.7%)</td>
<td>26 (46.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenomegaly:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huge</td>
<td>61 (72.6%)</td>
<td>32 (57.1%)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>23 (27.4%)</td>
<td>24 (42.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sokal risk score:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>49 (58.3%)</td>
<td>21 (37.5%)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>33 (39.3%)</td>
<td>26 (36.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2 (2.4%)</td>
<td>9 (16.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Data was expressed in form of median while nominal data was expressed in form of frequency (percentage).

$p$-value considered of statistical significance if <.05.
Table (2): Different types of 2nd line in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second line</th>
<th>First line</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>Nilotinib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing dose of Imatinib</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing dose of Nilotinib</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shifted to Nilotinib</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data was expressed in form frequency.

Table (3): Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients started 2nd line therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Imatinib 800mg (n=6)</th>
<th>Nilotinib 800mg (n=20)</th>
<th>Nilotinib 600mg (n=27)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>54 (40-75)</td>
<td>36 (20-66)</td>
<td>50 (20-70)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median duration (months)</td>
<td>14 (8-34)</td>
<td>15 (12-30)</td>
<td>13 (10-34)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4 (66.67%)</td>
<td>14 (70%)</td>
<td>15 (55.6%)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2 (33.33%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>12 (44.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleenomegaly:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huge</td>
<td>4 (66.67%)</td>
<td>14 (70%)</td>
<td>24 (88.9%)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>2 (33.33%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>3 (11.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sokal risk score:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>8 (29.6%)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>2 (33.33%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>7 (25.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>4 (66.67%)</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
<td>12 (44.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Data was expressed in form of median while nominal data was expressed in form of frequency (percentage).
- p-value considered of statistical significance if <.05.

Table (4): Disposition of patients according to achievement of PCR during 2nd line therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Imatinib 800mg (n=6)</th>
<th>Nilotinib 800mg (n=20)</th>
<th>Nilotinib 600mg (n=27)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achieve CCR</td>
<td>6 (100%)</td>
<td>20 (100%)</td>
<td>27 (100%)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoppage due to adverse effects</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost follow-up</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data was expressed in form of frequency (percentage). p-value was considered significant if <0.05.

Table (5): Disposition of patients according to achievement of MMR during 2nd line of therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Imatinib 800mg (n=6)</th>
<th>Nilotinib 800mg (n=20)</th>
<th>Nilotinib 600mg (n=27)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achieve MMR</td>
<td>4 (66.7%)</td>
<td>18 (90%)</td>
<td>26 (96.3%)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death due to:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease progression</td>
<td>2 (33.3%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse effects</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td>1 (3.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2 (33.3%)</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td>1 (3.7%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoppage due to adverse effects</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost follow-up</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data was expressed in form of frequency (percentage). p-value was considered significant if <0.05.
Osama A. Ibrahim, et al.

Discussion

The treatment of patients with CML who are intolerant of or have a primary or secondary resistance to a TKI will make choosing a second line treatment is mandatory (either increasing dose of 1st line imatinib from 400mg to 800mg or nilotinib 300mg to 600mg or shifting to another line).

Our study included 140 patients diagnosed to have CML ph +ve at Clinical Hematology Unit Internal Medicine Department and Medical Oncology Department at Assuit University Hospital from 2014 to 2016.

We evaluated the response of CML patients to two different types of tyrosine kinase inhibitors; Imatinib and Nilotinib as a second line therapy.

Efficacy of the drug was evaluated at four different times during therapy; at end of 1st month to assess CHR, 3rd to assess PCR, 6th to assess CCR and MMR was assessed during the 12th month of therapy.

Fifty three patients from those who has not respond to the first line were shifted to the second line, in six (11.3%) patients the dose of Imatinib was increased to 800mg, in 20 (37.7%) patients the dose of Nilotinib was increased to 800mg and 27 (50.9%) patients were shifted to Nilotinib 600mg.

In our study out of 53 patients who had received 2nd line therapy; 48 (90.5%) patients had achieved MMR with high percentage of achievement of MMR among those who used Nilotinib 600mg/day ($p=0.00$) which is in agreement with Saglio et al., [11] who have reported that on the pivotal ENESTnd results from an international, phase III randomized open-label active-control multicenter study; the MMR rate at 12 months for nilotinib was 44% in the 300mg twice daily recipients and 43% for the 400mg twice daily recipients, with both MMR rates being nearly twice that seen in the imatinib treated patients (22%; $p$-value <0.001 for both comparisons).

It is supported also by Norbert Gattermann et al., [12] study which showed that major molecular response was achieved in 134 of 280 patients (48%; 95% CI, 41.9%-53.9%). Rates of major molecular response were 48% (95% CI, 41.2%-55.7%) in patients with imatinib intolerance and 47% (95% CI, 35.7%-57.6%) in patients with imatinib resistance.

In this current study, the responsive criteria of nilotinib is in agreement with the study of Hochhaus et al., [13] who showed that nilotinib has achieved a greater cumulative response rate than imatinib and also that the rates with nilotinib has grew faster than that of imatinib, this is in agreement also with Jorge E. Cortes et al., [14] who has suggested that...
Nilotinib is a front-line treatment for patients with chronic myeloid leukemia in early chronic phase and that Nilotinib is an effective option for the initial management of CML in early chronic phase, producing high rates of CCyR and MMR, with most patients reaching these responses early during their study, his study included 51 patients in chronic phase observed for at least 3 months, 50 (98%) achieved a Complete Cytogenetic Remission (CCyR), and 39 (76%) achieved a Major Molecular Response (MMR) which supports our study with a \( p \)-value <0.05.

**Conclusion:**
The development of TKIS has changed the natural history of CML patients with improvement in the overall survival and life style.

Imatinib mesylate and new TKIs along with allogeneic stem cell transplantation and other factors have contributed to the life expectancy in patients with CML approaching that of the general population today.

On the basis of this systematic study's results, among 140 patients of ph +ve cml nilotinib is an selective efficient second generation TKI drug after failure or tolerance to imatinib and it is more effective than imatinib as a second line therapy either by increasing the dose or shifting to it regarding MMR with a \( p \)-value 0.04.

**Recommendations:**
We recommend further studies are needed to a wide group of patients to compare between both agents regarding efficacy, side effects and overall survival.

Administrative and financial facilities are needed for the patients in order to allow all the lines of treatment available to overwiden the options for treatment according to the patient's condition.
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إجابة مرضى اللوكيميا الميلوديية المزمنة للأنواع المختلفة
من مثبتات التايموزين كايناز

سرطان الدم اللوكيميا المزمن هو إضطراب تتكاثر نقوي يؤثر على مقشرة الخلايا الجذعية الكونية للدم. ويمكن أن يحدث في جميع الفئات العمرية ولكن في الغالب من البالغين، وهو ما يمثل 20٪ من سرطان الدم الكبار.

ويعتبر مجموعات الأنساب السرطانية الدم في جميع أنحاء العالم لعام 2012 بمعتبر ست سنوات متوسط (أ يور) في كل 100000 من السكان.

وانتشرت 5 سنوات 1.5 ونسبة التكاثر الإنتهائية حوالي 4٪.

سرطان الدم اللوكيميا المزمن هو نتيجة إضطراب تبسيط يتم تخليطه من قبل خلايا اللوكيميا، وهو أكثر من 90٪ من المرضى لديهم خلل خلوى مميز "كروموسوم فيليديفا". ينتج هذا الكروموسوم من المتشابك عضلي العضلي العضلي من الكروموسومات 9 و22 ويمكن إثباته في جميع السالكين المزمن

الدم. يؤدي هذا الإنتقال إلى نقل أنيبوزون (أبل) على الكروموسوم 9 إلى النواة إلى منطقة الكروموسوم 22 التي تسمى منطقة المنطقة المتوقعة نقطة الإنتقال، وهذا يؤدي إلى جين شاذ غير طبيعي وتعزيز في وظائف البروتينات التايموزين كايناز الذي يسبب خلل

التناغم والإصابة بالمرض.

ويستند التشخيص على نتائج التشخيص السريري في الدم المحيطي وفيليديفا (ف) كروموسوم في خلايا نخاع العظام وبكم من صورة

دم كاملة وتطهير دم طريقة وتحليل نخاع عظمي.

من أهم وسائل علاج مرض إبتسام الدم المزمن هم مثبتات التايموزين كايناز.

مثبت التايموزين فيليديفا هو نواة التي ينتج التايموزين كايناز الذي يعتبر أحد الإثباتات المطلوبة لعلاج العديد من البروتينات بواسطة

أجهزة توصيل الرصد. تشمل مجموعات مثبتات التايموزين كايناز على عدد مختلف من الأدوية التي تستخدم لعلاج عدد من أمراض

الدم والأورام من أهمهم الإيماتيب والنيبتوبين والدايماتيب.

هدف الرسالة: دراسة إيجابية لقياس إجابة مرضى اللوكيميا الميلوديية المزمنة للأنواع المختلفة من مثبتات كايناز التايموزين.

الطريق والوسائط: سلسلة مرضى اللوكيميا الميلوديية المزمنة الذين يعانون من عد أمراض الدم الإكلينيكية قسم أمراض الدم الباطنة جامعة

اسيوط الجامع من عام 2014 إلى عام 2021. نظم تجميع بيانات عنب الإيجابية دم كاملة، الإيجابية الإيجابية الكامنة،

والإيجابية المجتمعية.

قامت الدراسة إجابة مرضى إبتسام الدم المزمن إلى نوعين مختلفين من مثبتات كايناز التايموزين، إيماتيب وبيلويديبا. لقياس التأثير إلى مجموعتين

وقيمة النوع من مثبت التايموزين كايناز وجرعته كنقطة قياس للعلاج ما عن طريق زيادة جرعة إيماتيب (0.000125 الملغ/يوم) إلى (0.000125 الملغ/يوم)

بينتينيبين 0.000125 الملغ/يوم إلى (0.0000125 الملغ/يوم) في الجرونتا، من نوع آخر.

أنهت النتائج ما يلي: بيلويديبا هو نوع فعال مع إجابة إيجابية إيناتيكوكا ولكن، فإن كما دائماً تمت إجراءات بسبب تكلفتها التي تجعل

إستخدامها يقتصر على أن يكون السبر الثاني بعدفشل إيماتيب.