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Abstract

Background: Neonatal sepsis is considered a major cause of morbidity and mortality among neonates worldwide. Premature infants are more susceptible to sepsis. Diagnosis and management of sepsis are great challenges facing neonatologists in NICUs.

Aim of Study: The aim of this study was to evaluate the incidence of neonatal sepsis at neonatal Intensive Care Unit in Tanta University Hospital. The study was carried out on all admitted neonates with clinical signs and symptoms of sepsis at the time of admission or who developed sepsis during their hospital stay.

Patients and Methods: This study was prospectively conducted over a period of 12 months from August 2017 to August 2018, at NICU in Tanta University Hospital.

Results: A total of 330 neonates admitted to our TUH NICU along one year from August 2017 to August 2018 were divided into 2 groups as regard clinical and laboratory findings of sepsis. The 2 groups were: Group 1 (case): Sepsis group included (145) neonates who showed clinical presentation and laboratory findings of sepsis and Group 2 (control): Non sepsis group included (185) neonates who were free and not showing any manifestations of sepsis or any laboratory findings of sepsis.

Conclusions: The incidence of neonatal sepsis in our TUH NICU was about 43.94% along one year and the most common organisms was klebsiella (31.03%) followed by staph aureus (20%).
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Introduction

NEONATAL sepsis is defined as a clinical syndrome in an infant 28 days of life or younger, manifested by systemic signs of infection and isolation of a bacterial pathogen from the blood stream [1].

Neonatal sepsis is considered a major cause of morbidity and mortality among neonates worldwide [2].

Neonatal sepsis is broadly categorized into two categories: Early-Onset Sepsis (EOS) and late-onset sepsis according to the postnatal day of presentation [3].

Early-Onset Neonatal Sepsis (EOS) occurs within the first 72 hours of life, while late-onset sepsis occurs after 72h of life [4,5].

The microorganisms most commonly implicated in early-onset infection include the following: (Group B Streptococcus (GBS), Escherichia coli, Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus, Haemophilus influenza, Listeria monocytogenes) [6].

Early-onset sepsis is associated with acquisition of microorganisms from the mother. Transplacental infection or an ascending infection from the cervix may be caused by organisms that colonize the mother's Genitourinary (GU) tract; the neonate acquires the microorganisms as it passes through the colonized birth canal at delivery [7].

Organisms that have been implicated in causing late-onset sepsis include the following: (Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus, Staphylococcus aureus, E coli, Klebsiella, Pseudomonas, Enterobacter, Candida, GBS, Serratia, Acinetobacter, Anaerobes) [8].

Late-onset sepsis occurs after the third day of life and is acquired from the caregiving environment [9,10]. The signs and symptoms of neonatal sepsis are nonspecific. These include fever or hypothermia, respiratory distress including cyanosis and apnea, feeding difficulties, lethargy or irritability, hypotonia, seizures, bulging fontanel, poor
perfusion, bleeding problems, abdominal distention, hepatomegaly, guaiac-positive stools, unexplained jaundice, or more importantly, “just not looking right” [11].

Severe sepsis manifestations include cardiovascular organ dysfunction or acute respiratory distress syndrome or two or more other organ dysfunctions (respiratory, renal, neurologic, hematologic, or hepatic) [12].

Premature and ill infants are more susceptible to sepsis and subtle nonspecific initial presentations; considerable vigilance is therefore required in these patients so that sepsis can be effectively identified and treated [8].

Diagnosis and management of sepsis are great challenges facing neonatologists in NICUs. Clinical diagnosis of presentation is difficult due to non-specific signs and symptoms. In addition, laboratory diagnosis is time consuming. This matter necessitates the initiation of empirical antibiotic therapy till the suspected sepsis is ruled out [13].

Aim and objectives:

The aim of this study is to evaluate the incidence of neonatal sepsis and the causative organisms in neonatal Intensive Care Unit in Tanta University Hospital.

Patients and Methods

This study was prospectively conducted over a period of 12 months from August 2017 to August 2018, at NICU in Tanta University Hospital. The study was carried out on all admitted neonates with clinical signs and symptoms of sepsis at the time of admission or who developed sepsis during their hospital stay were assessed.

Inclusion criteria:

All neonates (both preterm and full-term) admitted to our NICU all over one year but blood culture is recommended to:

1- Any neonate having risk factor for neonatal sepsis as in (Table 1), [14].

Table (1): Major risk factors for neonatal sepsis [14].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early-onset infection</th>
<th>Late onset infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal infection, usually primary infection</td>
<td>Extreme prematurity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged premature rupture of membranes &gt;18 hours</td>
<td>VLBW (&lt;75gm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorioamnionitis</td>
<td>Bronchopulmonary dysplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrapartum fever &gt;37.5C</td>
<td>Complex congenital malformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy on intrauterine device or with cervical cerclage</td>
<td>Short bowel syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal colonization with GBS</td>
<td>Delayed enteral feeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perterm labor</td>
<td>Prolonged TPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prematurity</td>
<td>Previous broad spectrum antibiotic therapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic or traumatic delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinatal asphyxia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBW (&lt;2.500gm.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal infection (usually urogenital)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal poverty, poor/no prenatal care, preeclampsia,maternal cardiac disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital immune defects or asplenia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal obstructive uropathy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactosemia in neonates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2- Any neonate with clinical symptoms and signs or laboratory data of neonatal sepsis as demonstrated in Griffin Score, Tollner Score and Hematological sepsis score.

The following was done to all selected cases:

History:

Full history was taken including (antenatal, natal, postnatal history) by collection of these data:

- Maternal data was obtained including: Gestational age, mode of delivery, and risk factors of sepsis such as Prolonged Rupture of Membrane (PROM), maternal fever.

- Neonatal data was obtained including: Sex, birth weight, and risk factors for sepsis such as (prematurity, chorioamnionitis, or insertion of umbilical catheter).
- Other data such as social, demographic data was recorded by qualified medical staff.

All these data was listed on a standardized data collection sheet.

**Clinical examination:**

Full clinical examination was done.

**Laboratory investigations and methods:**

1- *Laboratory investigations included:*
   - CBC
   - CRP.
   - Blood Culture is recommended to those with risk factors of sepsis or those who were suspected having sepsis according to Griffin Score, Tollner Score and hematological score of sepsis.

2- *Laboratory methods included:*
   **A- Collection of specimens:**
   Blood samples were collected under complete aseptic conditions for CRP, CBC, and blood cultures. About (3.5-4ml) of blood was taken. 1ml for CBC, 1ml for CRP, (1.5-2ml) for blood culture.

   Blood was collected from a peripheral vein. Approximately (1.5-2ml) of blood was inoculated directly into blood culture medium vials and was sent to our clinical microbiology laboratory for cultivation and subsequent processing.

   **B- Processing of specimens:**
   The blood cultures were incubated aerobically and anaerobically at 37°C in blood culture bottle. And subcultures were made every 3 days on enriched and selective media including blood, chocolate, MacConkey Agar plates and examined for growth after 24-48 hours. The same protocol was repeated until the 9th day before blood culture was considered to be free of microorganisms (to be negative blood culture). Isolates obtained were identified by standard microbiological techniques, namely, Gram staining, colony characteristics, and biochemical properties including catalase, coagulase, growth on mannitol salt agar, and hemolytic activity on blood agar plates for Gram-positive isolates, and Triple Sugar Iron (TSI), motility, indole, citrate utilization, urease, oxidase for Gram-negative bacilli. Candida isolates were confirmed by growth on Sabouraud media.

   **C- Antimicrobial susceptibility testing:**
   Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was done on Mueller-Hinton agar according to the isolated organisms.

**Consent:**

Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of all subjects of the study. The study was approved by Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University.

**The risk to the participants and measures used to minimize this risk:**

When we take any sample we can introduce infection to the patient, so to minimize this risk, samples were taken under complete aseptic conditions.

**Privacy:**

To maintain privacy of participants and confidentiality of the data we did the following:

- Code number was given to every patient symbol to the name and address that was kept in a special file.
- The name of the patient in the research was hidden.
- The results of the research were used only in scientific aim and not used in any other aims.

**Results**

A total of 330 neonates admitted to our TUH NICU along one year from August 2017 to August 2018 were studied and were divided into 2 groups as regard clinical and laboratory findings of sepsis. The 2 groups were: Group 1 (case): Sepsis group included (neonates who showed clinical presentation and laboratory findings of sepsis, group 2 (control): Non sepsis group included neonates who were free and not showing any manifestation of sepsis or any laboratory findings of sepsis.

The incidence of neonatal sepsis in our TUH NICU was about 43.94% (number of sepsis cases =145) and the non sepsis cases 56.06% (number of non sepsis cases=185) of the total number of cases admitted to our TUH NICU along one year which was about 330 neonates (100%).

There was no significant difference between sepsis and non sepsis group as regard gestational age, sex and mode of delivery (p-value 0.288, 0.692, 0.167 respectively), but as regard mean body weight, it was significantly lower in the neonates with sepsis compared to nonsepsis group (p-value <0.001 *).

Most of cases with sepsis showed manifestations of sepsis after admission to our NICU. The number of cases who showed sepsis manifestations before admission=61 cases of the total number of sepsis group (145 neonates) by percentage of (42.07%)
which was lower than the number of cases who showed sepsis after admission whose number=84 neonates by percentage of (57.93%).

Sepsis group was classified into 2 groups as regard to operated or not operated cases because the operated group may catch the organism of sepsis from the operating theater. We correlated the causative organisms of the cases undergoing operation and others who were not operated. The number of the operated cases=43 cases of the total number of sepsis group (145) neonates, by percentage of (29.66%) and the number of non operated cases who showed sepsis manifestations= 102 neonates by percentage of (70.34%).

Of the total number of neonates under study (330), 42 neonates had history of PROM. From which 32 neonates showed manifestations of sepsis who represented about (22.07%) of sepsis group. Thus PROM was considered an important risk factor for sepsis.

Of the total number of neonates under study (330), 15 neonates had history of chorioamnionitis which was considered an important risk factor to sepsis and all of them (15 neonates) showed manifestations of sepsis who represented about (10.34%) of sepsis group.

Loss of interest for feeding and poor suckling was the most frequent clinical finding of sepsis (27.59%) followed by hypothermia (20.69%), NEC (15.86%), cyanosis, grunting, persistent vomiting, fever (13.79%), mottling (13.10%) and not doing well and lethargy (12.41%).

The percentage of blood culture negative patients showing manifestations of sepsis was (27.59%), but blood culture positive cases were about (72.41%). Among positive cultures, Klebsiella was the most prevalent organism (31.03%) followed by Staphylococcus aureus (20%).

Among positive culture cases of operated group, the most prevalent organism was staph.aureus (25.58%) followed by Klebsiella (16.28%). On the contrary, non operated group showed that the most prevalent organism was Klebsiella (37.25%) followed by staphylococcus aureus (17.65%).

As regard CRP which was considered acute phase reactant non specific to sepsis. Among sepsis group, CRP was positive in about (78.62%) only and among non sepsis group, CRP was positive in about (11.89%) with sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV of (79%, 25%, 79%, 24% respectively).

As regards blood culture positive cases of sepsis group, only 80% had CRP positive (>6mg/dl) and about 20% had negative CRP inspite showing manifestation of sepsis and positive blood culture for organism and this means that CRP is acute phase reactant highly sensitive, non specific to sepsis. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV of CRP were (79%, 25%, 79%, 24% respectively).

Sepsis group had high incidence of mortality (57.42%). On the contrary to non sepsis group which showed low mortality rate (13.51%).

Among died cases of sepsis group, the most common organism causing sepsis was Klebsiella (22.89%) followed by Staph. aureus (19.28%) then E. Coli then Proteus, Pseudomonas, Candida and Yeast.

HB level and platelet count were significantly lower in sepsis group than non sepsis group ($p$-value <0.001 *, <0.001 * respectively). While WBCS count was significantly higher in sepsis group than non sepsis group ($p$-value= <0.001 *).

Some cases of sepsis group responded to sepsis by leukopenia (WBCS <5000) who represented about (10.3%) of cases and others responded by leukocytosis (WBCS >20000) who represented about (24.1%). This classification was according to hematological score of sepsis which was done by (Pinky P., Laishram R.S. & Devi K.A., 2018). [15].

Discussion

Neonatal sepsis is the third leading cause of neonatal mortality, only behind prematurity and intrapartum-related complications (or birth asphyxia) [16].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the incidence of neonatal sepsis in the NICU of TUH and the causative organisms causing sepsis.

In the present study, the incidence neonatal sepsis was (43, 94%), this agreed with other studies [17-19] who showed that the incidence of sepsis was (45.9%, 62%, 41, 7% respectively) and this disagreed with other studies [20,21] which showed low incidence of sepsis (7.6%, 7.8%) respectively.

The mean gestational age of sepsis group was 35.710±2.970 weeks, this agreed with another study [22] in which the mean gestational age for sepsis cases was 34.4±3, 8 weeks.

This study showed that there were no significant differences between the 2 groups as regard to
gestational age, sex and mode of delivery (p-value =0.288, 0.692, 0.167 respectively) and this agreed with other studies [23-26] who found that there was no significant difference between sepsis group and non sepsis group with respect to their gestational age, sex and mode of delivery.

As regard gestational age, the present study disagreed with other studies [20,27] who found that sepsis was common in LBW infants (both preterm and term babies small for gestational age). This may be due to:

a- Innate immunity is affected by impaired cytokine production, decreased expression of adhesion molecules in neutrophils and a reduced response to chemotactic factors [27].

b- Also, transplacental passage of antibodies starts during the second trimester and achieves its maximal speed during the third trimester. As a result, most preterm newborns have significantly reduced humoral responses [20,27].

Our present study also disagreed with other studies [17,28] who found term babies' incidence to sepsis more than preterm babies.

As regard sex, neonatal septicemia was found to be more common in males. The factors regulating the synthesis of gammaglobulin are probably situated on X chromosomes in the male infants thus confers less immunological protection compared to female counterpart [34].

Our present study disagreed with other studies [17,20,29-33] that showed that males were more affected by neonatal sepsis than females.

Also the present study disagreed with another study [35] who stated that females accounted for 53.6% of the studied septic cases and males accounted for 46.4%.

This disagreed with Kardana 2011 study [36] who observed that babies born by vaginal delivery were more likely to have sepsis than those delivered by caesarean section. This may be related to good sterilization and intrapartum chemoprophylaxis which dramatically decreased the risk of sepsis in neonates delivered by caesarian section.

On the contrary, our present study disagreed with another studies [17,33,37-39] who found the incidence of sepsis was higher in neonates born via CS than in those born via VD.

The present study showed that the mean body weight was significantly lower in the neonates with neonatal sepsis compared to the control group (mean ± SD=2.309±0.770, 2.948±0.939 respectively) (p<0.001 *), this agreed with the study of Schrag 2011 study [40] who found that low birth weight was associated with higher risk for sepsis, and disagreed with Mike 2011 study [23].

This agreed with studies which said that low birth weight LBW (IUGR & prematurity) were risk factors for neonatal sepsis, a result similar to many previous studies carried in different countries whether developing and developed world [17,18,20,42-46]. This is caused by an immature inexperienced immune system; a fragile cutaneous barrier; and a prolonged hospital stay with increased exposure to the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) environment, including various invasive devices and procedures [18,41]

In the current study among the septic group patients, the number of cases showing sepsis before admission was 61 representing (42.07%), and the number of cases showing sepsis after admission was 84 representing (57.93%), similar finding was reported by Selim 2018, [17] who found that the percentage of sepsis cases before admission was 44.2% and after was 55.8%.

In the current study it was found that the septic group had highly significant increase in occurrence of PROM when compared to non sepsis group (p<0.001 *). And this agreed with Selimovic 2010 study [47] who reported the same results.

In the present study, 12.72% (42 cases) of all cases under the study (330) had PROM, but only 32 cases of PROM were proved as sepsis which represented about 22.07% of sepsis group. This agreed with other studies [20,28,48,49] who found that PROM represent about (12, 9%, 61%, 45%, 75% of sepsis cases respectively).

This higher incidence of prolonged PROM in some of the previous studies might be due to low socioeconomic state and lack of antenatal care of the mothers as mentioned by Sakr 2016 [50].

In the current study it was found that the septic group has highly significant increase in occurrence of chorioamnionitis when compared to non sepsis group (p<0.001 *). And this agreed with other studies [51,52].

But as regard chorioamnionitis as an important risk factor to sepsis, 15 neonates of the total number of neonates under study (330) had history of chorioamnionitis and showed manifestations of sepsis who represented about 10.34% of sepsis group. This agreed with other studies [20,28] who found
that chorioamnionitis represented about (15, 1%, 33, 33%) of sepsis group respectively.

In the current study, clinical evaluation of neonates with sepsis revealed that that loss of interest for feeding and poor suckling were the most frequent clinical findings of sepsis (27, 59%) followed by hypothermia (20, 69%) and this agreed with other studies [18, 20, 27, 53] who found that loss of interest for feeding and poor suckling was the most common presentation of neonatal sepsis.

This finding disagreed with a study [17] at Mansoura hospital in Egypt and also disagreed with other studies [25, 54-56] who found that respiratory distress was the most prevalent presentation of sepsis. It also disagreed with Tewabe 2017 [28] who found that fever was the most common presentation of sepsis and also disagreed with Shitaye 2008 [38] who found that hypothermia (84.8%) was the most common presentation.

In the current study, among sepsis group who showed clinical presentation of sepsis (145 neonates), there were neonates negative for blood culture without growth although they showed high CRP and the CBC was showing sepsis (low HB, low Platelets, leukopenia or leukocytosis), there number was 40 neonates with percentage of (27, 59%) of sepsis group, but positive culture cases were 105 neonates with percentage of (72, 41%) of sepsis group.

This agreed with another studies [17, 20, 25, 29, 53, 57] who found that the incidence of bacteriologically positive cases was (40.7%, 48%, 45.2%, 34.78%, 45%, 30%) respectively.

Among the positive group 31.03% were caused by Klebsiella, 20% were caused by Staphylococcus aureus, 10.34% were caused by Escherichia coli organism, 5.52% were caused by Proteus, 2.76% were caused by Candida, 1.38% were caused by Pseudomonas and 1.38% were caused by yeast.

Also this agreed with other studies [18, 20, 41, 53, 58, 59] who found that the most common microorganisms isolated from blood culture positive cases was Klebsiella.

Our present study disagreed with other studies [25, 46, 60, 61] who found that staph.aureus was the predominant isolate. It also disagreed with another study [22] who found that the commonest organism isolated was S. epidermidis followed by S. haemolyticus.

The causative organisms in neonatal sepsis vary from place to place and the frequency of the causative organisms is different in different hospitals and even in the same hospital at different time. Also there is increasing trend of antibiotic resistance to the commonly used and available drugs. Continuous surveillance is needed to monitor changing epidemiology of pathogens and antibiotic susceptibility pattern [25, 62].

Our present study disagreed with Bhatt 2015 study [59] which showed higher incidence of post-operative sepsis in neonates which was found to be 73.75%.

Also our study disagreed with another study [63] who reported low incidence of post-operative sepsis (6.9%) which was much lower than our incidence. This was done in well-developed setups; so, their sepsis rate was much low. Hence, precise and well-organized strategies are required in developing countries such as India for the prevention of post-operative sepsis rates.

In our current study, the percentage of the negative blood cultures among operated sepsis group was 23.26% which is lower than that of the non operated sepsis group (29.41%) and among positive cultures, it showed that the most prevalent organism was staph.aureus (25.58%) on the contrary to non operated group was Klebsiella (37, 25%) followed by Staphylococcus aureus (16.28%) then Escherichia coli (18,60%), Proteus (11,63%), Candida (2,33%), Yeasts (2,33%), Pseudomonas (0%).

Our present study agreed with Bhatt 2015 study [59], but disagreed with others [63, 64] who found that coagulase-negative staphylococcal sepsis (E. coli and K. pneumonia respectively) were the most common organisms in post operative sepsis.

In the current study, the mean Hb of sepsis group was (10.561 ± 2.975gm/dl) and was significantly lower than that of non sepsis group (14.132 ± 1.587gm/dl) (p<0.001 *) this and agreed with other studies [53, 65, 66] who found mean Hb of the patients was significantly lower than that of the control group.

In the current study the mean platelets count of the septic group (172.322 ± 145.020 X 10^3/cmm) was significantly lower than that of the controls (322.286±91.290 X 10^3/cmm) (p<0.001*), this was in agreement with others [53, 65-67] who found that platelets count of the septic group was significantly lower than that of the control group.

Also, this agreed with similar studies [23, 48] who stated that low platelet count is associated
with sepsis. This could be due to direct toxic injury of platelets, megakaryocytic suppression, increased peripheral consumption as in DIC or presence of immune component due to increased level of platelet associated immunoglobulins. Abdel-Hakim (2019) study [67] found that thrombocytopenia was consistently associated with poor prognosis in infant with sepsis.

The present study disagreed with Gonzalez 2003 [68] who found that there is no statistical difference between patients and controls as regards to and platelet count.

In the current study the total leucocytic count of patients (17.969 ± 6.776 X 10^3 /cmm) was significantly higher than controls (6.344 ± 3.670 X 10^3 /cmm) (p<0.001 *), this agreed with other studies [23,53,65,66]. However our present study disagreed with [67,68] who found that there was no significant difference between sepsis and control group (p-value > 0.05).

Sometimes neonates respond to infection by decreasing WBCs count < 5000 (leukopenia), others respond by increasing WBCs > 20000 (leukocytosis) [48].

Boseila 2011 [48] found that Total Leucocytic Count (TLC) was not much informative for the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis. This may be because septic infants, in contrast to adults in whom hematopoiesis is developmentally mature, may deplete their neutrophil reserve and develop neutropenia during overwhelming infection.

In our study, some cases of sepsis group responded to sepsis by leukopenia (WBCs < 5000) who represented about (10.3%) and others responded by leukocytosis (WBCs > 20000) who represented about (24.1%). This agreed with [22] who reported that (6.9%) of sepsis cases had leukopenia (WBC < 5,000/mm^3) and (22.3%) was showing leukocytosis (WBC > 20,000/mm^3). This classification was according to hematological score of sepsis which was done by (Pinky P., Laishram R. S. & Devi K.A., 2018), [15].

Our present study showed that among sepsis group (145 cases), only 78.62% (114 cases) were CRP positive. Then we classified the sepsis group into 2 subgroups, blood culture negative cases (out of which 20% were CRP positive), blood culture positive cases (out of which only 80% were CRP positive). Also we found that CRP was positive in about (11.89%) of the control group due to non sepsis causes. Thus CRP is highly sensitive to sepsis but not specific. This agreed with another studies [17,20] in which CRP was positive (>6mg/dl) in (85.3%), (56.9%) of suspected sepsis cases respectively.

Predictive accuracy of CRP of this study was compared with other studies. In the present study, CRP had high sensitivity (79%) specificity (25%), PPV (79%) NPV (24%). This agreed with a study [25] in which CRP had a high sensitivity (77.8%), specificity (66.7%), positive predictive value (68.2%) and negative predictive value (76.5%). Our study also agreed with another study [18] who found that CRP had high sensitivity of (90.32%), specificity (42.10%), positive predictive value (71.79%) and negative predictive value (72.72%).

Since our neonatal unit is a referral unit, it attracts mainly the high risk patients and so in this study high mortality rate was reported to be about (57, 24%), and this agreed with another study [41] who also showed high incidence of mortality (44.2%).

These differences in mortality rate in neonatal sepsis among different countries may be explained by many factors e.g.: Socioeconomic, geographical and racial factors, use of ventilators, incubators, different microorganisms and use of different antibiotics [41].

In our study, it was found that among died cases of sepsis group, the most common organism causing sepsis was Klebsiella followed by Staph then E. Coli then Proteus, Pseudomonas, Candida and Yeast. This agreed with another study [20] where the mortality rate due to Klebsiella was 33.33% which was the commonest organism among died cases as our present study.

Our study observed that higher mortality rate was reported with culture positive cases (66, 27%) than culture negative cases (33.73%). This agreed with a study [20] who observed higher mortality rates with culture positive cases (35.71%) than culture negative cases (19.67%). Higher mortality in culture positive group was due to invasion of blood stream by larger number of bacteria.

Blood culture positive cases showed the causative organisms causing sepsis, and also showed their sensitivity and resistance to antibiotics which agree with other studies [17,20,46,58].

Conclusions:

The incidence of neonatal sepsis in our TUH NICU was about 43, 94% along one year. The most common causative organism was Klebsiella (31.03%) followed by Staphylococcus.aureus (20%).
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